

**Ohio School Facilities Commission**  
**November 29, 2007**  
**William McKinley Room 1:30 PM**

**MINUTES**

The meeting was called to order at 10:12 AM.

**Roll Call**

Members present: Chair Pari Sabety, Vice Chair Hugh Quill, Dr. Steve Puckett, Senator Larry Mumper, Senator Tom Roberts, Representative Clyde Evans and Representative Matt Szollosi.

**1. Adoption of the October 25, 2007 Meeting Minutes**

Dr. Puckett moved to approve the September 27, 2007 meeting minutes.

Vice Chair Quill seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

**2. Executive Director's Report**

The Director updated the Commission members on the following: prospective dates for Commission Meetings for the Year 2008; the Christmas gift notice that is sent out yearly to all of our vendors reminding them that we accept no gifts or donations; groundbreaking and dedications report; recognition of Portsmouth Junior/Senior High School Architect in the School Planning and Management's Education Design Showcase which lists some of the top designs in the country; school district regional workshops for planning outreach; Governing Magazine's article about LEED standards and sustainable design that lists OSFC as one of the prominent figures in the country in terms of what we are going to do with LEED; a report from US Green Building Councils Greenbuild Conference on the William J. Clinton Foundation that Franklin Brown and Madison Dowlen attended on LEED standards and Green designs for buildings. Franklin represented us on stage with former President Clinton. Franklin also has been invited to another conference by the US Green Building Council in Washington DC in December. We have used all these opportunities along with about 14 other staff members to gather as much information as we can as we begin to talk about growing our process in terms of internal information. Meetings are being scheduled in the near future with architects to do some round table discussion of how we get from Point A to Point B. A sample letter, resolution, LEED survey and LEED fact sheet was sent to superintendents explaining the Commission's policy on LEED SILVER standards, as well as, letters sent to superintendents that were not under the LEED standard, but were given option to participate.

Dayton had a consultant come in that was formerly with US Green Building Council and talked to them about what LEED points were possible with their facilities in terms of the geography and the location.

The Director also informed the Commission that he has had contact with districts at Strausburg, Fairlawn, Lakota, and Southern Hills. The BASA Facilities Advisory Committee

meets quarterly. We spoke to them about 3 months ago and then had another meeting just this week where we tried to get some input from the districts on what they think their relationship is with us and received good constructive suggestions. Director Shoemaker, Eric Bode, Steve Berezansky presented at the Ohio School Boards Association meeting. A Memorandum of Understanding has been signed for the Ohio School for the Blind and the Ohio School for the Deaf project and the two superintendents are very happy with the process. We are trying to work with the Department of Taxation and the Department of Education to come up with a reasonable solution regarding the tangible personal property changes that affected districts that had locked into ELPP projects a few years ago. The Director and Jerry Kasai met with the Associated General Contractors (a group of both union and non-union contractors). The Director and Mark Wantage addressed the Ohio Public Facilities Managers Association meeting held in Columbus discussing how we wanted to work with them in terms of potential problems. A quarterly update meeting with organized laborers, as well as, amerishop owners has been scheduled to ensure that sharing of information is very vital from both their prospective and ours. We concluded our regional meetings with the high wealth districts in Mansfield with some very good feedback that we will be discussing with the Commission later to talk about how we might be able to reach out to them. The Director will be going to Gibsonburg on November 30<sup>th</sup> to walk through the building and talk with their superintendent. While there, the Director plans to meet with four superintendents from northwestern Ohio districts that were unsuccessful in November with their levies.

OSFC is currently in the process of CM selection. Construction Managers have been short-listed for the next 12 groups of projects. The rating sheet for short-listing the construction managers was shared with the Commission members. There are two major changes that were made. The first change was on EDGE participation. Typically a construction manager was given a maximum of five points if he had any EDGE vendor as part of his project and that EDGE vendor only had to be 5% of the project. Category C- CM past performance were given ten points for familiarity with OSFC policy and past performance and we said that probably in effect eliminates a lot of new potential CM's from the field. They now get five points for knowledge of OSFC policies and procedures. That was reduced from ten to five points. The potential EDGE points they can pick up were increased from five points to ten points. If they have 50% EDGE vendor participation they can get a maximum of ten points. We are trying to encourage as much participation as possible.

A major policy issue that we need to be aware of – historically OSFC on all the reports that you had examined and that we had looked at talked about inflexibility and one of those areas was the size of the classroom where we had said the 900 square foot classroom – that was always a policy that said you can get a variance on that, but you have to ask for the variance. Sometimes districts felt like that was cumbersome. So we said the 10% now could be an automatic variance if in fact the district simply says we want to do that and will sign off and that 810 square foot classroom will be accepted. Again we are being very careful to make sure that we are encouraging particularly for the elementary classrooms those sizes probably need to stay up in that higher range. For the middle school and the high school there probably is some flexibility and that 810 probably works pretty well. Originally the 900 square foot was kind of a national average, but we are saying now that if a district in a design phase says I want to recover two more classrooms out of this total square footage by reducing so many classrooms

by 90 square feet, they are allowed to do that. It has to be academic for academic exchange. It basically says that they do not actually have to prove what they are doing. They ask for it, go through the process and OSFC will approve it. That is a big issue for a lot of districts that think they are short one, two or three classrooms.

Glenn Rowell attended a safety conference in Maryland and came back with some very good information. Tony Lococo had been to Dearborn, MI for a safety conference titled Safe Routes to Schools depicting internal and external situations. Cheryl Lyman will be meeting with the Department of Development to discuss financing opportunities for some of the small contractors.

Chair Sabety asked about the CM proposals especially given the comments of the Dayton School Design Association as they are attempting to implement this. This is a very interesting look and felt it validates our enthusiasm for the LEED. That they are going through and aggressively figuring out exactly which credits they can go after and how much will it cost. Chair Sabety expressed concern on the construction manager's evaluation and asked if this evaluation has already taken place. Director Shoemaker responded that it had. Chair Sabety suggested that on the next evaluation given our emphasis on LEED's construction and the strong concerns relayed to us by staff within the OSFC on behalf of the architect and construction manager community that we ought to be providing points to construction managers with a track record of doing work with LEED's certification and aggressively pursuing that.

Vice Chair Quill commented that he would like to see more attention given in the next cycle toward the evaluation process that focused on bringing more minority Construction Managers to the table creating some minority opportunities and EDGE opportunities. He knows that there was an effort in this process to make some adjustments. It appeared to him that there are huge advantages for previous experience within our universe and understands the bias in that regard in terms of efficiencies, but he is very sensitive to expanding opportunity especially to Ohio construction managers, EDGE and MBE companies that can add some value and will create true competition and maybe some more competitive pricing as well. Director Shoemaker commented that the final interview there would be an emphasis on the LEED question. We will ask them about staff familiarity and the experts on it and what experience they have. That is a point well taken on this initial interview that we did not show points directly for that. It may have shown up in the team organization based on the rater what they were looking for. That would be a good thing for us to indicate as a separate category. We are trying our best to encourage the smaller businesses to get involved.

One of the concerns that some of the vendors expressed that have a relationship with a large Construction Manager that has consistently been doing business with OSFC is that they are afraid to break that relationship and all of a sudden compete against that company. So that is one of the things that we are talking about the possibility of a generic proposal where everyone simply gives their best proposal and then we begin to be selective about assignments. We do not have that flexibility right now due to the way the RFP was written, but we legally need to figure out how we can do that and give everyone that opportunity. Vice Chair Quill

commented the proof in the pudding is the outcome when you adjust the evaluation process. We have to see some desired results in terms of expanding opportunity at the other end.

Senator Mumper asked given the length of time that we have been working on LEED, are there that many construction managers that have the experience in that program. Director Shoemaker responded that the industry is probably ahead of us in the marketing part. They knew this was coming. This is not just a fad; this is obviously something that is going to happen industry-wide. Whether they have that much experience in school construction is another issue. Most of the firms are saying we do have LEED experience. Sometimes that is commercial construction. The school construction part of it is limited and that is the part that we are concerned about to make sure we manage that as we go along in the process that we do not get so far along that we forgot something way back when and we say let us correct it as we come into these areas that might be areas of difficulty or where they need a little help. We are trying to do that and trying to manage that particularly with our training to say what do we need internally, externally, whom do we need to bring in or who are these people that have actually done this. The school construction is limited, the commercial construction is a little broader based and they are probably a year or two ahead of us because they saw this coming that is where the business was going to be. Vice Chair Quill pledged that DAS stands ready to help and knows the OSFC has an obligation to be transparent so the vendors can see opportunity there, but DAS and through our Equal Opportunity Division obviously has an obligation and responsibility to make sure that we do our due diligence and identify vendors that may have an interest in this type forward.

### **3. School Energy Conservation Financing Program Approval – Presented by Mark Wantage**

Two School Districts requested participation in the Energy Conservation Financing Program.

#### Canton Local School District of Stark County:

- Comfort Control Group, Inc.
- Six Buildings
- Total Cost \$859,980 (Including Interest @ 4.00%)
- Annual Savings \$59,473
- Payback Period 14.92 Years
- Lighting Retrofit (6)
- Bathroom Upgrades (1)
- Garage Door Replacement (2)

#### Mt. Vernon City School District of Knox County:

- Comfort Control Group, Inc.
- Three Buildings
- Total Cost \$1,739,431 (Including Interest @ 4.25%)
- Annual Savings \$116,000
- Payback Period 14.99 Years
- Lighting Retrofit (3)
- Chiller Replacement (1)
- Boiler Replacement (1)
- Building Controls Upgrades (3)

These projects have been reviewed by the Ohio Department of Development/Office of Energy Efficiency and the staff of the OSFC and are recommended for approval of Resolution 07-140.

Director Shoemaker commented that Mark and the Director talked about this project and is one that has wide spread implications. The initial cost savings in terms of energy did not justify approval. The reason it did not was the equipment did not work, so the district simply shut it down and did not use any energy. So you could not take a historical energy record of what the equipment used because there was several months that it was shut down. We then looked at the prospective energy use if in fact if it would have been operating. Mark Wantage worked with the school district to figure out what would this have been if the equipment would have been operating the way it should have been and what the savings would have been. Chair Sabety asked by doing that we got the payback period to 14.99 years. Mark Wantage responded that the original proposal had the same payback period. The only change that was made was the adjustment of the baseline for the energy consumption. By adjusting the baseline the percentages of the total numbers changed from an operational savings that was about 54% and with that adjustment showing more savings from the energy consumption reduction that brought it under 40%. The total savings is still the same, it is just the percentage is shifted from maintenance to operational. The operational costs came up and the maintenance costs went down. Chair Sabety asked Mark to explain how you calculate payback. Mark Wantage responded that we first look at it from an engineering standpoint. We establish a baseline for consumption for that facility as it is today. That is comprised of at least a years worth of data that establishes so much energy is consumed. The project, depending on what the aspect is, will provide for a calculated reduction in that consumption of energy. That provides your energy savings. In most cases, that is enough to pay for the project within 15-year period. In most cases there is operational savings associated with these projects just because you are replacing old equipment with new equipment. In most cases that operational savings is not included into the proposal because that is an extra that they will achieve. One of the things required when they do talk about operational savings is that the treasurer signs off on it saying these are real. That actually ends up being a bonus to most projects. Representative Szollosi asked if the operational savings that are typically a bonus to the district were being used within the calculation for the reduction of consumption. Mark Wantage responded that you have consumption savings and have operational savings bundled together for the total savings of the project. Dr. Puckett asked what the downside of delaying this for this district. Mark Wantage responded that in this particular case they wanted to perform the work over the winter break. Their goal was to have everything done by spring because that is when the problems are greatest and they have a lot of issues with teachers not being accepting of the environment. Chair Sabety asked even with the recalculation you started with operational and maintenance costs of 53.7% of total savings, but once you recalculated that went to 37% which is still higher than our previous 30% guideline and does that mean the guideline is going to be 37% from now on or is this a case-by-case basis. Mark Wantage responded that in terms of the guideline we have used 30% as our standard. We have allowed a little bit of flexibility because there is a little bit of an art to the numbers and we just want to be very careful and cautious about how they claim that operational savings, so we have allowed maybe 32% or something like that within reason. In this particular case, it was reasonable to go ahead to the extent that we did for two purposes – first we are allowing the use of a baseline now in terms of the OSFC projects with new build in the effort to help support the districts; the other aspect is that in this

particular case we were very conservative in utilizing those numbers. Chair Sabety moved to amend the resolution to approve Canton Local School District and contingently approve Mt. Vernon City School District subject to Director Quill's review.

Dr. Puckett moved to approve Resolution 07-140.

Vice Chair Quill seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

Chair Sabety moved to amend Resolution 07-140 to approve Canton Local School District and hold Mt. Vernon City School District contingent on Director Quill's review and approval.

Dr. Puckett seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

**4. Maintenance Plan Approval – Presented by Mark Wantage**

We have for your approval an interim maintenance plan. This resolution is to seek the approval to allow for the use of interim approval for the maintenance plans that are in process for Toledo City School District and Cleveland City School District. They have been working on their maintenance plans for a couple of years and have facilities that they are currently occupying and would like to be able to utilize those resources to help maintain those facilities. We are seeking approval of granting the executive director the authority to grant interim maintenance plan approvals in these two cases. The Commission staff reviewed and recommended approval of Resolution 07-141.

Vice Chair Quill moved to approve Resolution 07-141.

Dr. Puckett seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

**5. FY08 Projects Approval – Presented by Eric Bode**

**a. CFAP**

This is an unprecedented step that we are asking the Commission to take today. It is approvals that usually happen just once a year in July and we always had a round of funding for ten years and have gotten that approval. This is an extra approval round that is unprecedented, of course due to the Tobacco Securitization funds. The \$4.1 billion that arrived October 29<sup>th</sup> and we have already started to spend. In preparation for that we knew there were a lot of districts that we had to get through the pipeline to meet our spending goals of spending that money within three years. So because of that there has been a lot of work done and a lot of accelerating of districts. The Commission passed a resolution in June offering funding to group of approximately 60 school districts. Essentially we then went out to those districts and said it is your call, when do you want to go – do you want to go on this fast track, do you want to go on the normal cycle, do you want to go on a two year and a number of districts said they wanted to be on that fast track. Some of them were not quite able to make it. They got on that track and then got off it and are more now looking at doing all the planning to get on the one-year cycle to be ready for next July. We do have 13 districts today for

your approval. Eric Bode recognized Lisa Laney, Steve Roka and Eugene Chipiga whom are three of our six field people that do the planning and had this wave of instead of 30 or 40 districts in planning, we have over 100 districts now and they are bearing the brunt of all that. Thanks to their efforts that we are bringing these projects for your approval today. We did have \$1.2 billion approved in new projects in July. This is approximately an additional \$800 million. So our second round of approvals for this fiscal year is over a 50% increase. So the effort really was quite successful to accelerate some school districts. Another way that this is unprecedented is because this is the first group of districts that are approved with the LEED Standard. Going backwards we have offered it voluntarily to school districts and many of them are taking us up on that, but this is a group of districts that this is the standard and we have put in an allowance for additional costs for the energy pieces so that they are able to accomplish the LEED goals. Eric Bode noted that in your materials originally we had 14 districts on the list for approval, but today we only bring 13. There is one where this week the architect came back and talked to us about a measurement issue of career tech space about what was all adult education versus non-adult education and because of that we did agree that we would change those calculations and needed a little time to do that so we will be presenting that at the next Commission meeting. Approving this today also means that these districts will be in time to certify for their elections and go and be on the March ballot to try and get their bond issues. Of the 13 districts, six have ELPP credit and two have an ELPP credit large enough that they probably do not need any additional funding with a bond issue. So as soon as approval goes, they are ready to roll. In all we have 13 districts: 10 of them are CFAP, 2 of them are a sub-set of CFAP – which is the 1990 look back and one is a vocational facility VFAP program.

The first one of those groups is the CFAP program. We have 10 districts; state shares vary from about 50% to 62%. Eric Bode highlighted Liberty Union Thurston School District. It has been a long winding road with them. They are a district that had an ELPP project. They did an elementary school and did it successfully. They are one whose equity list ranking has climbed over the years and so they are looking to get funded. Their equity list kept climbing and they were getting further and further frustrated and had brought in their legislative delegation to see what they could do on that and because of the wave of districts that we are now able to offer funding to, we overcame that. Even though they are moving inland. They are also a frugal district. They are a district that had some renovation and the architect and the district really worked and said do we really need to do all that and is there a cheaper way of doing some of this renovation and still get the product that we needed. There is also one school district, Greeneview, which is something of an exceptional case. They were a retro ELPP district. They were a district that had their bond approved and had some design in place when the ELPP program was created and so despite the fact that they have an ELPP building, we got a credit for them. They are one that some of that building was not completely built to design manual standards, so because of that we now reaching them under CFAP. We are doing some additional work on that high school and because it is a small amount of work and most of the building was already done we considered and decided that it really was not feasible to fully get them to a LEED SILVER standard for the small scope of work that still needs to be done. We

thought we just wanted for full disclosure to bring that to you. We do want to work with the district on green principles, but we do not think achieving the SILVER standard is practical. The Commission staff reviewed and recommended approval of Resolution 07-142.

Chair Sabety asked for a quick run through of what we are doing in Maple Heights noting a number of these elementary, middle and high schools are being abandoned and demolished. Just want to make sure that we have double or triple checked the enrollment projections for Maple Heights. Eric Bode responded that this is one of all the districts here, one that we have probably engaged the most on in enrollment projections. We have a team that looks at that. Steve Roka is actually our lead planner in terms of the enrollment projections, but one that he brought in a number of staff including Melanie and myself in looking at the enrollment projections here. Certainly they are ones where they had a little bit different pattern because of some of the performance measures from past administrations and now they got more on track and it affects some of their enrollment. They had visions of a larger enrollment. We had visions of a somewhat smaller enrollment, but we got some additional information from them. We revised projections by about 60 students. We definitely took a fine toothcomb in looking at those enrollment projections. For all of that, it was actually a fairly stable projection. It was 3,600 students. Their enrollment has been fairly steady over the past and I think we are projecting pretty close to where they currently are. Chair Sabety asked Steve Roka what the latest data we look at in terms of actual enrollment projections for this year's enrollment. Steve Roka responded last month's enrollment. Chair Sabety asked if the trend is going down, steady or up. Steve Roka responded that it is a slight trend down. Chair Sabety asked if that is the trend we use to project these. Steve Roka responded that it was. Eric Bode said that we have a ten-year history. There was something like five years where they increased and five years where they decreased, so it really has been a mixed bag. Chair Sabety asked if there were other opportunities in the process for us to adjust enrollments and in general for all not just Maple Heights. Eric Bode responded that every year we do look at the actual enrollments and compare them to the projected enrollments and particularly for districts that are like Maple Heights where it is a multiple building district and a year or two years in the future they may well be in design or even not have started design on some of the projects. There is a real opportunity to look at that enrollment projection and adjust accordingly. Chair Sabety thanked all the project managers that worked to deal with the triple caseload knowing they have been working long hours, but was delighted to be able to make the tobacco funds available for this terrific purpose.

<b>School District</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>State Share</b>	<b>Local Share</b>	<b>Total Budget</b>
Batavia LSD	Clermont	\$26,149,140	\$22,275,193	\$48,424,333
Carrollton EVSD	Carroll	\$43,617,199	\$29,078,133	\$72,695,332
Genoa Area LSD	Ottawa	\$21,870,782	\$15,837,462	\$37,708,244
Greeneview LSD	Greene	\$23,340,141	\$14,305,248	\$37,645,389
Liberty Union-Thurston LSD	Fairfield	\$17,149,100	\$11,432,734	\$28,581,834
Liberty-Benton LSD	Hancock	\$12,337,843	\$12,337,843	\$24,675,686
Maple Heights CSD	Cuyahoga	\$55,652,177	\$53,469,738	\$109,121,915

Newark City SD	Licking	\$73,546,948	\$70,662,753	\$144,209,701
South Range LSD	Mahoning	\$19,923,609	\$18,391,023	\$38,314,632
Valley View LSD	Montgomery	\$34,704,725	\$25,131,007	\$59,835,732

Dr. Puckett moved to approve Resolution 07-142.

Vice Chair Quill seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

**b. 1990 Look Back**

The next group has two districts that are in the 1990 Look Back program. This is a program where some of the districts, 44 in all that were served back in the early '90's, not for a full district fix, but just a partial fix of one or more schools in their district and the law which generally says we cannot serve a district more than once every twenty years, but specifically says for those group of districts we can go back and serve the rest of their needs that were not done under the original 1990 project. The two school districts are Graham Local School District and Reynoldsburg City School District. Eric highlighted Reynoldsburg is a 1990 Look Back. It is also an ELPP participant. They had a significant project of \$36 million that was done under the Expedited Local Partnership Program. This is our third round with them, from the 1990 to ELPP and now CFAP. An interesting twist with this one is that they had a little policy shift that is not a huge thing, but certainly one thing that we have looked just in the past couple months and looked at whether we can do some abatement for school districts without doing demolition that if there is a building that would be good for reuse and could we do some abatement work in there and make it so it is more acceptable for another community partner to take on, we would then not have to do the demolition, but it could be used for reuse and still have some use of those abatement dollars. Reynoldsburg is one where we actually have that where in the master plan the Graham Road Elementary School there is money for abatement, but not for demolition, so they asked for that and we have accepted and they are looking for reuse of that building for something other than putting school kids in. The Commission staff reviewed and recommended approval of Resolution 07-143.

<u>School District</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>State Share</u>	<u>Local Share</u>	<u>Total Budget</u>
Graham LSD	Champaign	\$20,476,587	\$16,088,747	\$ 36,565,334
Reynoldsburg CSD	Franklin	\$55,369,829	\$73,397,216	\$128,767,045

Vice Chair Quill moved to approve Resolution 07-143.

Dr. Puckett seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

**c. VFAP**

The final of these groups of three resolutions has to do with the Vocational Facilities Assistance Program. There is one school district that we present for your approval. This is the one where there were two and the second one will be presented at the next Commission meeting in January. The one that is being presented today is Mid-East Career and Technology Centers outside of Zanesville in Muskingum County. They are our first district to come through that has an ELPP credit for a vocational program. A

side program that is named VFAP ELPP. They have a number of renovations that they did totally about \$1.1 million, so we are incorporating that into this pool. They are actually a school district that has two campuses and this project will serve both of them. The Commission staff reviewed and recommended approval of Resolution 07-144.

<u>School District</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>State Share</u>	<u>Local Share</u>	<u>Total Budget</u>
Mid-East Career & Technology Centers	Muskingum	\$20,183,884	\$9,784,763	\$29,968,647

Dr. Puckett moved to approve Resolution 07-144.

Vice Chair Quill seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

**6. Consultant Contract Agreements and Amendments Approval – Presented by Eric Bode**

The next agenda item is for contract amendment approval for one group of three contract amendments. They are for three contractors; Regency, Resource and Quandel that all are in the same scope of work which is termed Regional Program Consultants (RPC). It is about \$1.5 million overall. The RPC's do essentially two main things. One of the things that they do is all the planning. So all this wave planning work that we are doing, they are the eyes and ears and the field people for our planning staff making sure that all the assessments are done, making sure that all the enrollments are done, meeting with the district answering their questions and putting together the master plan under the direction of our planners. They do that across all programs. The second thing that they do in the Expedited Program once the planning work is done is taking the projects through design and construction. So they are not full blown construction managers, but kind of again our eyes and ears for quarterly meetings with the ELPP projects that are in design and construction. They also do other much more minor assigned work when we have a particular district that needs some work where a construction management firm would be appropriate. Again largely because we have this huge wave of districts, we have found a need for additional work from these program consultants that we initially went through a Request for Proposal (RFP) two years ago. This would be to complete their third year. As you know our policy is to do a selection process, do two years and do a third year if it is appropriate and then re-select. In fact our goal is this coming spring, we will do a reselection process with a RFP to select regional program consultants. This is to add money for the third year of the program and allow them to keep working with the districts they are currently working with to get them all ready for next summer. The Commission staff reviewed and recommended approval of Resolution 07-145.

Vice Chair Quill asked for further clarity on the disparity of dollar and scope among the three regional consultants. Eric Bode responded that we are looking at what they have been billing to date and what the assigned work has been. The contract is for a not to exceed that is based on hourly rates and so these would be increased on a not to exceed basis. We have three geographical areas of the state that we try to provide work more or less equally, but it is not exactly equal some have more districts, sometimes you assign a district and they do very little work and it does not take much. Other districts need a lot of hand holding, a lot of meetings and a lot more follow-up so there is some disparity between the three. Vice Chair Quill asked how do you draw those lines regionally? Eric Bode responded eastern side of the state, northwest and southwest. Chair Sabety asked who was assigned to each region. Eric Bode

responded that Quandel does Southwest, Regency does Northwest and Resource does Eastern. We looked at this at past billing patterns to see where we are and looking at the workload to be more precise about how many more extra dollars we think each of these needs. Chair Sabety asked if these would be going out to bid next spring. Eric Bode responded they would go out to bid approximately March. These contracts will be extended to September 30, 2008. The districts are currently in planning and will be going up to the July/August Commission approval, and do not want to break mid-cycle. The new group would start planning with the new equity list in September and a new group would take over after that.

	<b>Contractor</b>	<b>Scope of Work</b>	<b>Amount</b>
<b>CONTRACT</b>	<b>Regency Construction Services, Inc.</b>	Fees to Date	\$1,800,000
		<b>Regional Program Consultant</b>	<b>\$50,000</b>
	<b>Total</b>		<b>\$1,850,000</b>
	<b>Contractor</b>	<b>Scope of Work</b>	<b>Amount</b>
<b>CONTRACT</b>	<b>Resource International</b>	Fees to Date	\$1,800,000
		<b>Regional Program Consultant</b>	<b>\$650,000</b>
	<b>Total</b>		<b>\$2,450,000</b>
	<b>Contractor</b>	<b>Scope of Work</b>	<b>Amount</b>
<b>CONTRACT</b>	<b>The Quandel Group, Inc.</b>	Fees to Date	\$1,800,000
		<b>Regional Program Consultant</b>	<b>\$820,000</b>
	<b>Total</b>		<b>\$2,620,000</b>

Dr. Puckett moved to approve Resolution 07-145.

Vice Chair Quill seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

**7. Master Facilities Plan Agreement and Amendment Approval – Presented by Steve Lutz**

Before I describe the changes in the plan, I would like to acknowledge that we have Mr. Gary Bonnell, a member of the school board of the district, with us today. Mr. Bonnell has taken a leadership role in working to right size the district’s project. Steve Lutz thanked Gary for all his hard work that he has done on this project. It has been an epic voyage for him. Mr. Bonnell spoke to the Commission. This is a kind of different day for me, \$44,300 later of my own money. In February and August of 2003, I approached this Commission and had a little different attitude and I have to say that I am really enthused today. I have been following the OSFC, as I said it has been quite expensive, near and dear to my heart and it is a breath of fresh air. People believe it or not listen and that is very positive. I want to commend our local OSFC project administrator, Gary Kasper. He is tremendous and a breath of fresh air. RP Carbone, the construction manager has been excellent, very ethical people. They know the financial condition of our district. Columbiana County has the highest foreclosure rate of any county in Ohio. The school district had 60% of its resident’s poverty level or below. We have

70% free and reduced lunches in our school. We have hemorrhaged because of open enrollment, aka Buckeye Online School for Success Cyber School who almost took our school district over a year ago. We have gone through a lot of turmoil in our district. I have been two years on the board of education after battling the board of education and the OSFC. It has been a very, very interesting venture and enlightening for us. We have lost over 500 students from our district. It is kind of strange, I received a call a couple years ago from the superintendent of the Akron School District stating that I was at the base of adjusting enrollment, but I look at what we can afford. We are a very poor school district. We have been in fiscal emergency four years. We are coming out of that. It looks like 2010 through 2012 we are going to have a \$5 million surplus. With the adjustment here if you approve, we are going to have about a \$3 million reserve on our LFI. That will be excellent and we are going to be very conservative. One thing that makes me feel very happy and as I conclude is the fact that most schools want more, more, more. I applaud you for looking at enrollment and looking at the economics of what people can afford. That has helped us in two ways. I was head of negotiations for not one contract, but two. One that was not done three years ago and one that was post that six years of teacher negotiations that turned pretty ugly at one point, but the OSFC has helped us because they have said you have a ratio of 25 to 1 in core classes. In the teachers union, I said how could I have the buildings for you. We can build anything you want to build, we can negotiate any contract you want to negotiate, but you are going to have to be efficient and effective. Of course that does not include special education. So it is a big asset. I commend the Commission for looking at enrollment. I think enrollment is the lynch pin of being successful once the schools are built. Being successful in the functionality of that school district and being able to afford it. By the way, our local mileage went from 3.2 to 1.8 and has been lowered to 1.6 and we have come out of fiscal emergency without any levy at all. Chair Sabety thanked Mr. Bonnell for taking the time to be here. It always is refreshing to hear a voice that is out there struggling to deal with the finances of school districts and really bringing them out of it. It is very tough circumstances dealing with lots of issues like the online school that you indicated in the market that I do not think any of us anticipated when the OSFC was put together a decade ago. I want to thank you for your patience and for coming here to talk with us about how we are doing. It is really good for us to hear not just the good, but also to know there were difficult times, but we have gotten through them together. Thanks very much for that special effort.

Steve Lutz presented for consideration the amendment of four master facility plans and the approval of one new plan. The first is the second amendment of the plan for the East Liverpool City School District. This amendment will address serving fewer students than originally projected, provides for a consolidation of the district's facilities and an update of the cost set for the remaining projects. The amendment will serve 558 fewer students, abate and demolish the 5<sup>th</sup> –8<sup>th</sup> grade school, which was previously planned for renovation, place the 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> grade population at LaCroft Elementary School and increase the size of the addition there, place the 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> grade population at the high school and also provides a budget adjustment for market condition for the North Elementary School building. This master plan amendment provides a reduction of the project budget by \$7.7 million. The staff worked closely with the district to develop this master facilities plan amendment and recommended approval of Resolution 07-146.

Vice Chair Quill moved to approve Resolution 07-146.

Dr. Puckett seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

We have an amendment to the master facilities plan for the Galion City School District in Crawford County to adjust the project budget due to market conditions. This project was budgeted in 2004 prior to the impact of the increase in cost of many construction materials that occurred in 2004 and 2005. The buildings were bid out in 2005 and 2006. From 2004 to 2006 the Ohio School Design Manual cost set has increased by more than 13% and through value engineering exercise the district and the project team has contained the growth and this projects cost to 6.7%. This amendment will add \$3.4 million to the project fund. Staff worked with the district to contain the project cost and recommended approval of the Resolution 07-147.

Dr. Puckett moved to approve Resolution 07-147.

Vice Chair Quill seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

We have a second amendment to the master facilities plan for Plymouth-Shiloh Local School District in Richland County to adjust the project budget due to market conditions. The first amendment to the master facilities plan changed the elementary school from renovation to new construction. That first amendment retained the 2004 cost set for budgeting the project. The elementary school was bid out in March 2007 and came in just 3.9% above the 2004 budget and over that time span from 2004 cost set up through the bidding in 2007 construction costs used in budgeting have inflated approximately 20%. So again the project team had worked diligently to value engineer and contain the cost of the project. Steve Lutz noted there was an error in the Commission materials regarding the scope of work at the elementary school. There has been no change between the first amendment and this amendment and that has been corrected in the Commission resolution. The Commission staff reviewed and recommended approval of Resolution 07-148.

Chair Sabety asked if you were saying the original scope and the modified scope is something we have already approved and that it is not a subject of this resolution. Steve Lutz responded that was correct and that the first amendment approved the change to the new construction.

Vice Chair Quill moved to approve Resolution 07-148.

Dr. Puckett seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

We have a second amendment to the master facilities plan for the Washington Nile Local School District in Scioto County. This amendment provides for facility commissioning services and indoor air quality work at the middle school. This district was partially served under the 1990 program. During the Classroom Facilities Assistance Program the district identified problems at the middle school and commissioning was undertaken to reveal the cause. Indoor air quality issues were identified and remedial work was undertaken. This amendment adds \$107,0000 to the project fund. The Commission staff reviewed and recommended approval of Resolution 07-149.

Dr. Puckett moved to approve Resolution 07-149.

Vice Chair Quill seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

We have a new master facilities plan for the Xenia Community City School District in Greene County for their participation the Expedited Local partnership Program. This master facilities plan provides for a new high school, the renovation of the existing high school to house Grades 6 – 8, the renovation of the existing middle school to house Grades PK – 5, building of four new elementary schools to house Grades PK – 5 and the abatement and demolition of eight buildings. The master facilities budget is \$121,693,681 million with a state share of \$55,979,093. The Commission staff reviewed and recommended approval of Resolution 07-150.

Vice Chair Quill moved to approve Resolution 07-150.

Dr. Puckett seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

**8. Expedited Local Partnership Program Agreement Amendment Approval – Presented by Steve Lutz**

We have an amendment to a Part 2 Agreement with the Lake Local School District in Stark County for their project in the Expedited Local Partnership Program. This amendment reconciles the changes the district has made to the scope of work they completed in the discrete portion. The amendment changes the high school project from a full addition to a partial addition, increases the scope of renovations at the Lake Elementary School and the Lake Middle School, adds the Uniontown Elementary School for a full addition, partial renovation and abatement and demolition of the 1922 portion of the building complex. This change increases the district's credit by \$1,616,608 bringing it to a total of \$21,819,653. The Commission staff reviewed and recommended approval of Resolution 07-151.

Dr. Puckett moved to approve Resolution 07-151.

Vice Chair Quill seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

**9. Architectural Agreements and Amendments Approval – Presented by Steve Berezansky**

We have for your consideration for design profession agreement and amendments. Steve Berezansky noted that the amount for Buckeye Local School District \$20,350 has been corrected to \$191,000 and has been reflected in the resolution. The Commission staff reviewed and recommended approval of Resolution 07-152.

**Agreements:**

School District	Project	Architect	Amount
Elyria CSD	Renovations/additions of Elyria High School to house grades 9 thru 12	Architectural Vision Group Ltd.	\$3,184,861.00
Jefferson Area LSD	New Jr. and Sr. High School	Buehrer Group Architecture & Engineering, Inc.	\$1,571,407.94

Locally Funded Initiatives:

School District	Project	Architect	Amount
Buckeye Central LSD	Addition of visual arts spaces, music spaces, physical education spaces and building services spaces.	Buehrer Group Architecture & Engineering, Inc.	\$191,000.00
Elyria CSD	Auditorium stone flooring and bleachers	Architectural Vision Group Ltd.	\$297,594.00
Jefferson Area LSD	15,233 s.f. for auditorium & related spaces	Buehrer Group Architecture & Engineering, Inc.	\$61,968.60

Vice Chair Quill moved to approve Resolution 07-152.  
 Dr. Puckett seconded the motion.  
 Approval: Vote 3-0.

10. **Construction Manager Agreement Approval – Presented by Steve Berezansky**

There is one CM Agreement for Morgan Local School District. This scope for this agreement includes renovating the existing high school, which will accommodate approximately 734 students. The commission staff has reviewed and recommends approval of Resolution 07-153.

CM Firm	District	County	Total CM Compensation
Project and Construction Services, Inc.	Morgan LSD	Morgan	\$1,239,838

Dr. Puckett moved to approve Resolution 07-153.  
 Vice Chair Quill seconded the motion.  
 Approval: Vote 3-0.

11. **Trade Construction Contracts Approval – Presented by Steve Berezansky**

The approvals do not include any second low bidders. All the contracts are the apparent low bidder. Due to not having a meeting in December there were seven contracts added that do not appear in your materials that do appear in the resolution. The Commission staff reviewed and recommended approval of Resolution 07-154.

<u>School District</u>	<u>Contracting Entity</u>	<u>Scope Of Work</u>	<u>\$ Amount</u>
Akron CSD	Bob Bennett Construction	Demolition	\$189,820.00
Akron CSD	Eslich Wrecking Company	Demolition	\$117,900.00
Ashtabula Area CSD	Ronyak Paving, Inc.	Asphalt Paving	\$279,581.20
Bucyrus CSD	D & R Demolition & Removal, LLC	Partial and Selective Demolition	\$535,000.00
Cincinnati CSD	Library Design Associates	Library Furniture	\$200,097.00
Cincinnati CSD	Continental Office Furniture Corp.	Office and Academic Furniture	\$1,000,840.00
Cleveland CSD	Fire Protection, Inc.	Fire Protection	\$164,600.00
Cleveland CSD	Miles Mechancial, Inc.	HVAC	\$1,355,000.00
Cleveland CSD	Miles Mechanical, Inc.	HVAC	\$1,185,000.00
Cleveland CSD	Northeast Ohio Trenching Service	Sitework	\$1,100,000.00
Cleveland CSD	Northeast Ohio Trenching Service	Sitework	\$567,000.00
Cleveland CSD	Giambrone Construction, Inc.	Concrete Flat	\$262,192.00
Columbus CSD	Simplex Grinnell, LLP	Fire Protection	\$175,000.00

Columbus CSD	International Masonry	Masonry	\$1,636,854.00
Columbus CSD	Crawford Mechanical Services, Inc.	Plumbing	\$644,000.00
Columbus CSD	Crawford Mechanical Services, Inc.	Plumbing	\$319,480.00
East Liverpool CSD	Horizon Information Services	Technology Cabling & Security, Sound & Video	\$313,300.00
East Liverpool CSD	Martin Public Seating, Inc.	Loose Furnishings	\$124,218.04
East Liverpool CSD	Continental Office Environments	School Specialties, Music & Media	\$153,668.10
Fairfield Union LSD	Loveland Excavating of Columbus, Inc.	Early Site	\$1,452,000.00
Gallipolis CSD	Nitro Electric Company, Inc.	Electric/Technology	\$3,789,165.00
Gallipolis CSD	The Wasserstrom Company	Food Service	\$459,186.00
Hamilton LSD	Farber Mechanical Contractors	HVAC	\$2,188,800.00
Hamilton LSD	Stanley Security Solutions	Technology	\$625,000.00
Hamilton LSD	Central Fire Protection Co., Inc.	Fire Protection	\$270,705.00
Knox County Career Center	Simonson Construction Services, Inc.	General Trades	\$1,820,218.00
Knox County Career Center	Guenther Mechanical, Inc.	Plumbing/Mechanical	\$461,500.00
Knox County Career Center	Vulcan Fire Protection, Inc.	Fire Protection	\$42,972.00
Knox County Career Center	Accurate Electric Construction, Inc.	Electrical	\$622,115.00
Millcreek-West Unity LSD	Heer Excavating, Inc.	Early Site	\$589,000.00
Minerva LSD	GMR Builders, Inc.	Masonry	\$1,831,811.14
Minerva LSD	Alex Roofing Construction Co., Inc.	Roofing	\$669,500.00
Minerva LSD	Fire Foe Corporation	Fire Protection	\$186,670.00
Minerva LSD	Feinman Mechanical, Inc.	Plumbing	\$559,964.00
Minerva LSD	Jeffrey Carr Construction, Inc.	General Trades	\$4,760,500.00
Minerva LSD	Wood Electric, Inc.	Electrical	\$975,425.00
Minerva LSD	TriMark SS Kemp	Foodservice Equipment	\$264,120.00
Minerva LSD	Standard Plumbing and Heating Company	HVAC	\$1,819,000.00
Mount Healthy CSD	Sehlhorst Equipment Services Company, Inc.	Demolition	\$106,980.00
Scioto County JVSD	The Wasserstrom Company	Food Service Equipment	\$556,451.00
South Point LSD	Smartsolution Technologies	A/V Equipment	\$290,000.00
South Point LSD	Smartsolution Technologies	A/V Equipment	\$270,000.00
Tri-Valley LSD	Tom Sexton & Associates	Classroom Furniture	\$788,950.32
Washington Court House CSD	Central Fire Protection	Fire Protection	\$163,575.00
Washington Court House CSD	Central Fire Protection	Fire Protection	\$126,762.00
Washington Court House CSD	Weller's Plumbing and Heating	HVAC	\$1,520,000.00
Washington Court House CSD	Saturn Electric	Electrical	\$960,000.00
Washington Court House CSD	Muetzel Plumbing and Heating	Plumbing	\$461,555.00
Washington Court House CSD	Muetzel Plumbing and Heating	Plumbing	\$750,625.00
Washington Court House CSD	Loop Master International	Geothermal Wells	\$482,000.00
Washington Court House CSD	Loop Master International	Geothermal Wells	\$684,000.00
Washington Court House CSD	Weller's Plumbing and Heating	HVAC	\$1,035,000.00
Wauseon Ex Vill SD	Miller Contracting Group, Inc.	Early Site	\$335,000.00
Youngstown CSD	Environmental Protection Systems, LLC	Asbestos Abatement	\$74,163.00
Youngstown CSD	Landscape By Design	Landscaping	\$64,280.00
Youngstown CSD	DeSalvo Construction Company, Inc.	General Trades	\$5,259,000.00
		<b>Total</b>	<b>\$47,639,542.80</b>

Vice Chair Quill moved to approve Resolution 07-154.  
Dr. Puckett seconded the motion.  
Approval: Vote 3-0.

**12. Settlement Agreements Approval – Presented by Jerry Kasai**

Jerry Kasai presented three resolutions for consideration. All of them are settlement agreements. The first one is Resolution 07-155. Motter & Meadows was the architect for the Canton City School District project. During the course of the project the District experienced a dramatic decrease in enrollment, which required redesign for many of the schools within the District. Motter incurred a number of extra costs in the redesign, which were addressed in the three amendments approved by the Commission at its October 2007 meeting. However, during the process of reviewing the billings of Motter during the amendment process it was determined that Motter, over the course of the Project, had billed for, and been paid, for non-permissible reimbursable expenses totaling between \$15-20,000. These amounts were reconciled in the contract amendments. The contract amendments and the reconciliations were negotiated through mediation. In the mediation, Motter required a settlement, which released it from any claims from over billing. The Commission staff reviewed and recommended approval of Resolution 07-155, which releases claims against the architect for the reimbursable that it had billed for over and above the contractual amount.

Dr. Puckett moved to approve Resolution 07-155.  
Vice Chair Quill seconded the motion.  
Approval: Vote 3-0.

This settlement is for Cleveland Metropolitan School District. John Hay High School was a renovation/addition with an original budget of approximately \$32 million. During selective demolition, additional asbestos was discovered which required abatement. The abatement work was on a tight schedule and was awarded to LVI. Soon after beginning work, LVI began falling behind and could not come up with the necessary certified abatement manpower to perform the work. The co-owners supplemented LVI's work and eventually terminated LVI from the Project. Total hard cost of supplementing LVI's work was approximately \$185,000, with additional soft costs being incurred also. Co-owners had withheld nearly \$400,000 from the LVI contract. LVI sued for the amounts it believed were owed under the contract. Through mediation, it was agreed to pay LVI an additional \$200,000 of the \$400,000 withheld for the services it had performed on the Project. The Commission staff reviewed and recommended approval of Resolution 07-156.

Vice Chair Quill moved to approve Resolution 07-156.  
Dr. Puckett seconded the motion.  
Approval: Vote 3-0.

This again concerns the Cleveland Metropolitan School District and the settlement with the contractor R.J. Martin, Inc. R.J. Martin was the electrical trades contractor on a number of projects in the Cleveland Metropolitan School District. Late in 2006, the Cuyahoga County Prosecutors Office initiated an investigation of R.J. Martin on various public projects concerning the true billing rate R.J. Martin was using on change orders. It became apparent

that R.J. Martin was utilizing an inflated billing rate for its employees in the change order process. Subsequent to this discovery, R.J. Martin was rejected as a bidder on a number of OSFC funded projects in Cleveland and Akron. Projects that R.J. Martin had already been awarded were not terminated, however care was taken to assure that proper billing rates were utilized. After all R.J. Martin change orders were examined on CMSD projects involved, it was determined that R.J. Martin had over billed CMSD by \$178,000. Demand was made upon R.J. Martin for this amount. OSFC, CMSD and R.J. Martin participated in a mediated process, where it was agreed that R.J. Martin would pay back CMSD \$158,000. R.J. Martin was able to demonstrate that the analysis performed by the co-owners had double counted some wages. The parties also determined a process to determine a proper billing rate for R.J. Martin to utilize in the future on CMSD projects. Resolution of this matter resolves this issue for bidding purposes for R.J. Martin. The Commission staff reviewed and recommended approval of Resolution 07-157.

Dr. Puckett asked if we have in the settlement agreement would we review carefully and agree to a set rate. Jerry Kasai responded the settlement agreement outlines a process of how we will determine their billing rates covered in Paragraph 3 and Paragraph 5 of the Mediator's Memorandum Documenting Agreement in Principle. In Paragraph 5 they agreed to provide all reasonable backup information to support the change order credit and labor rate pricing and that in fact is what is going on. Our construction manager has been looking over their various payrolls to see what they are paying their people and we are matching it up with the people that are on a particular project and they are coming up with a blended rate. So for any particular change order it is not going to be the exact amount, but it will be a fairly accurate because you cannot always trace Joe Smith pulling conduit on this side of the building with a particular change order that happened to be going on that day, but you know Joe Smith was on the job. We believe we have a pretty good handle on the way that will be going in the future.

Representative Szollosi asked how the \$158,000 figure came about. Jerry Kasai responded that we went back through the certified payrolls and to superintendent reports. We figured out all the hours. We tried to figure out when the change order work was going on and then we tried to take what they were billing and put it all on a spreadsheet. So then you had hours of the change order on a spreadsheet versus hours of actual payment to individuals and then you aggregated them altogether and came up with one page for each project. There were five spreadsheets that listed the hourly differential from what R.J. Martin was charging the project versus what the project was paying them for that particular change order. You cannot get it down to the penny, but you can get it down fairly accurately. We took those differentials, added them up and came up with the \$182,000. Somehow some of the data got entered into it more than once. The spreadsheets just showed the summations, but somehow data got entered into the spreadsheets and got double counted. That is where the error was. Representative Szollosi asked if the certified payroll that was mentioned was a requirement of the school district. Jerry Kasai responded that it was.

Vice Chair Quill asked if there was still a case before the prosecutor in Cuyahoga County on this. Jerry Kasai responded that he talked to the Cuyahoga County Prosecutor's Office on November 28<sup>th</sup>. Jerry was told that they were still investigating the matter. It has been over a

year and they have not done anything with it, which does not mean they will not do anything with it, but it is still in the process.

Vice Chair Quill asked how initially this matter came to the attention of the Commission. Jerry Kasai responded this matter referring to R.J. Martin originally came to the attention of the staff of the Commission. R.J. Martin was served with a search warrant by the Prosecutor's Office whom took 58 computers from the offices of R.J. Martin, their books and took as many documents and records as they could. Then the week after that the Prosecutor's Office served OSFC's construction manager with a subpoena, which came to Jerry Kasai and then Jerry arranged for the Prosecutor's Office and their experts to come in and review various Cleveland projects. Specifically they wanted to review pay apps and change orders. That was approximately November 2006. Vice Chair Quill pointed out there is an awful lot of money on the table from the accountability standpoint and that we have to make sure our internal controls and processes are such that and maybe they are fully operational and we are doing spot audits and what not in terms of billings, but and this is not necessarily related to the passage of this resolution, but just want to put it on the radar screen that we have to be vigilant going forward with the amount of dollars and contractors involved and making sure they are accountable for their billings. Jerry Kasai responded that is certainly an issue that we have looked at and an issue that we are always aware of. Throughout the course of a project it is a standard procedure and has been a standard procedure for our construction manager to be reviewing the labor rates that are being charged by contractors and at some point in the project and it is usually even before construction begins. They sit down and they get some type of feel whether it is looking at the books or looking at the payroll what their hourly rates are so we try to come up with a blended rate.

Director Shoemaker thanked Representative Szollosi for calling this to our attention. We were aware of it back in November 2006 and Representative Szollosi came up with this in a prior Commission meeting when we took action with another contract with R.J. Martin and this one was not resolved. Director Shoemaker also complimented Jerry Kasai and the quality control people for working the same process here. At our next Commission meeting, we are going to have some very exciting news regarding Frontier. It is the same process that we use with all of our construction managers and our contractors, but we also use this as a learning experience for us to say how do we pass this on to all of our construction managers in terms of negotiating the blended rate. This is not tolerable in terms of rate inflation. Representative Szollosi responded that it was important to highlight that the \$158,000 was going to be returned to the school district and also thought it was a job well done on the recovery side.

Vice Chair Quill moved to approve Resolution 07-157.

Dr. Puckett seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

Senator Roberts mentioned that several times the enrollment modifications came up in our conversations and just wanted to remind the Director that the Commission was promised a presentation in the near future on that issue. Senator Roberts just wanted to remind the Director to keep that on the radar. Chair Sabety added that would be very much appreciated, in fact Senator Roberts referred to the issue of foreclosures having a major impact on his

enrollment projections. Chair Sabety also said that is exactly why she was drilling down on Maple Heights. Chair Sabety feels this is something that is going to have to be monitored very closely for the next four to six years. Director Shoemaker responded that DeJong does our enrollment figures. In January or February we are trying to arrange a time for a presentation for anyone that wants to avail themselves to that as Senator Mumper suggested in our October meeting. Chair Sabety responded that would be especially good in view of what we are watching take place around Columbus.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:12 PM.

  
Chair

These meeting minutes were prepared by:  
Carolyn McClure  
Executive Assistant  
Ohio School Facilities Commission