

**Ohio School Facilities Commission
December 16, 2010
William McKinley Room
1:30 PM**

MINUTES

Chair Sabety called the meeting to order at 1:30 PM.

Roll Call

Members present: Chair J. Pari Sabety, Vice Chair Hugh Quill (arrived at 1:34 PM), Mr. Francis Pompey and Sara Spence for Senator Cates.

Adoption of the November 18, 2010 Meeting Minutes

Mr. Pompey moved to approve the November 18, 2010 meeting minutes.

Madam Chair Sabety seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 2-0.

Executive Director's Report

I. Topics

1. OSSB/OSD Process

Director Murray informed the members that their Resolution packets included the trade contracts for the OSSB/ OSD for contractors to do the dormitory portion of the project, which was separately bid in October. As he explained at the last meeting, this award will allow contractors to proceed if weather permits. We have set a process in place for the remaining part of the project in which the CM and the Architect are consulting with each other and trying to figure out the two academic buildings. Because this is a capital appropriation, we can't add budget scope to this through the OSFC process. If, and when, the CM believes that the project is at estimate and at budget, then he believes they can proceed to bid. At this point, the Director believes that the decision and that work will take some time, maybe a month from now.

Chair Sabety asked if this process will allow the work to proceed pending a new administration.

Director Murray confirmed that it will.

Mr. Pompey asked, with respect to OSSB/OSD, assuming they do have to some agreement with the estimate, how long the process will take to go out to bid.

Director Murray commented that it will depend on part on whether or not value engineering has taken place and some items in scope has been reduced or changed. The Architect then has to go out and redraw and re-specify what has to been done. So this could take weeks, if that is to take place, but it will depend on the CM estimate. If the estimate is over budget

then they will have to look at what portions on the project will have to be reduced in scope and/or value items within the school itself.

Mr. Pompey asked if the Commission has been working actively with the OSSB/OSD in coming to an agreement with the bid process estimates.

Director Murray responded that the design professionals are doing research, and if they believe that they have a project at budget, then we can go to ~~bid~~. It is when, in their judgment, they have a project that is overdesigned and over budget that those decisions will have to be made. So we hope for everything will be within the budget and can proceed.

bid TK

2. Southeast Portage

Director Murray commented that in April, the Commission members approved a Corrective Action Grant of about \$5.1 million for this school district in Portage County. This involved work to deal with deficiencies in their HVAC system in their elementary and high schools. There were also some roof problems in their high school. At the time, it was the largest Corrective Action Grant that this body has awarded. In the interim, the school district has come back, having hired a consultant, and there is some dispute as to whether the original scope in direction of the fix to the HVAC system is in fact, workable. The district believes that the outside ventilator for the heating/cooling system is not going to work properly. OSFC has some disagreement with that, so we have had facilitated sessions with them and we are trying to hire a consultant to work things out. If we go and spend \$2.5 million and we dispute whether that fix is going to work, then that may not be the best approach. It may be that the fix is not entirely satisfactory to the school district, but it may be that the fix does work but just not what they would like.

3. Wellston City SD

Director Murray commented that on December 3rd, he, Tom Brannon, Mike Mendenhall and John Eufinger visited Wellston City SD in order to look at their pavement driveway because their pavement it is cracking badly. The site is on a hillside and there is little swamp nearby, so the site takes in a lot of water and does not have proper water drainage. They have applied for a Corrective Action Grant and we are in the process of determining what the fix should be. He mentioned that Mr. Berezansky has some thoughts about going forward and at a lesser cost in order fixing this issue. The pavement is badly cracked and something has to be done, but we are not in agreement on the scope of what has to be done.

4. New Boston Local SD

Director Murray commented that New Boston Local SD is prepared to go out to bid in late January, which is a good time to be out to bid. We think that all of the issues related to design and construction have been resolved and that district is ready to go.

II. Personal Service Contract Approvals

1. Stanbetter Architects for \$10,000 related to the Wellston litigation.

Jon Walden informed the members that the contract relates to the request for the OSFC to engage in consulting to help with the litigation and the claims we have against the architects and Construction Manager. Stanbetter is serving as one of the three consultants for the co-

owners in the litigation. We requested that contract so we could move forward with some additional assistance through depositions and mediation.

III. Director Activities

Visits

1. Wellston City SD – 12/03/10

Meetings

1. Wellston Paving Discussion – 11/22/10
2. OSSB/OSD Superintendents – 11/29/10
3. Bovis Lend Lease and SHP – 11/29/10

School Energy Conservation Financing Program Approval

Resolution 10-160

Mark Wantage presented the Chagrin Falls Exempted Village SD (Cuyahoga), Columbiana Exempted Village SD (Columbiana), Dawson-Bryant Local SD (Lawrence), Hilliard City SD (Franklin), Mechanicsburg Exempted Village SD (Champaign), North Canton City SD (Stark), Northwestern Local SD (Clark), Paulding Exempted Village SD (Paulding), Perry Local SD (Stark), Revere Local SD (Summit), Southwest Local SD (Hamilton), Sycamore Community City SD (Hamilton), to participate in the School Energy Conservation Financing Program, for Commission approval.

| Columbiana Exempted Village SD (Columbiana) | |
|--|----------------|
| Total Project Cost | \$1,061,500 |
| Interest Rate (Included in Total Project Cost) | 1.25% |
| Totaled Annual Savings | \$72,071 |
| O&M Savings (Maximum Limit of 30%) | \$72,071 |
| O&M Savings is Percentage of Total Savings | 0% |
| Payback Period (Maximum 15 Years) | 14.7 years |
| Number of Buildings | 3 |
| Vendor | Gardiner Trane |
| Scope of Work: | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lighting Upgrades (1) • Variable Refrigerant Flow (1) • Dynamic Air Filtration System (1) • Building Controls Upgrade (2) • Window Replacement (1) | |

| Paulding Exempted Village SD (Paulding) | |
|---|----------------|
| Total Project Cost | \$800,610 |
| Interest Rate (Included in Total Project Cost) | 3.5% |
| Totaled Annual Savings | \$73,874 |
| O&M Savings (Maximum Limit of 30%) | \$9,231 |
| O&M Savings is Percentage of Total Savings | 12.5% |
| Payback Period (Maximum 15 Years) | 10.8 years |
| Number of Buildings | 2 |
| Vendor | Brewer-Garrett |
| Scope of Work: | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lighting Upgrades (2) • Building Controls Upgrades and Modifications (2) | |

- Replace Roof-top AHUs (1)
- Vending Machine Controls (2)
- Envelop Thermal Scan & Window Caulking (2)

| Southwest Local SD (Hamilton) | |
|---|----------------------|
| Total Project Cost | \$3,277,564 |
| Interest Rate (Included in Total Project Cost) | 3.0% |
| Totaled Annual Savings | \$222,991 |
| O&M Savings (Maximum Limit of 30%) | \$17,403 |
| O&M Savings is Percentage of Total Savings | 8.5% |
| Payback Period (Maximum 15 Years) | 14.7 years |
| Number of Buildings | 10 |
| Vendor | Vista Consulting Grp |
| Scope of Work: | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lighting Upgrades (9) • Building Controls Upgrades and Modifications (3) • 19.8 KW Solar PV Array (1) • New Solar Domestic Water Heater (1) • Steam to HW Boiler Conversion (1) • New High Efficiency Boiler (1) • Vending Machine Controls (9) | |

| Dawson-Bryant Local SD (Lawrence) | |
|---|---------------|
| Total Project Cost | \$2,326,431 |
| Interest Rate (Included in Total Project Cost) | 5.0% |
| Totaled Annual Savings | \$161,701 |
| O&M Savings (Maximum Limit of 30%) | \$48,507 |
| O&M Savings is Percentage of Total Savings | 30% |
| Payback Period (Maximum 15 Years) | 14.4 years |
| Number of Buildings | 3 |
| Vendor | Sabo/ Limbach |
| Scope of Work: | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lighting Upgrades (2) • Building Controls Upgrades and Modifications (2) • Chiller Heat Recovery System (2) • Kitchen Hood Control (2) • Athletic Building Insulation (1) | |

| Sycamore Community City SD (Hamilton) | |
|--|--------------|
| Total Project Cost | \$11,334,297 |
| Interest Rate (Included in Total Project Cost) | 3.35% |
| Totaled Annual Savings | \$859,388 |
| O&M Savings (Maximum Limit of 30%) | \$139,541 |
| O&M Savings is Percentage of Total Savings | 16.2 % |
| Payback Period (Maximum 15 Years) | 13.2 years |
| Number of Buildings | 6 |
| Vendor | Trane |
| Scope of Work: | |

- Lighting Upgrades (6)
- Building Controls Upgrades & Modifications (6)
- 19.8 KW Solar PV Array (1)
- New Solar
- Domestic HW Heater (1)
- New High Efficiency Boiler (5)
- New Chiller Cooling System (1)
- New Var. Refrigerant Flow System (1)
- Vending Machine Controls (6)
- Dynamic Air Filtration (6)
- Gas to HW Boiler Conversion (1)

| Hilliard City SD (Franklin) | |
|--|---|
| Total Project Cost | \$6,412,042 |
| Interest Rate (Included in Total Project Cost) | 4.0% |
| Totaled Annual Savings | \$436,073 |
| O&M Savings (Maximum Limit of 30%) | \$44,430 |
| O&M Savings is Percentage of Total Savings | 10.2% |
| Payback Period (Maximum 15 Years) | 14.7 years |
| Number of Buildings | 25 |
| Vendor | Bruner Corp |
| Scope of Work: | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gym/ Cafeteria Lighting Upgrades (16) • Parking Lot Lighting Upgrades (18) • Occupancy Sensors (18) • Building Controls Upgrades and Modifications (18) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chiller Replacement (1) • 100KW PV Array (2) • Solar Thermal Hot Water System (2) • Boiler Replacement (5) |

| Mechanicsburg Exempted Village SD (Champaign) | |
|--|---------------|
| Total Project Cost | \$1,735,603 |
| Interest Rate (Included in Total Project Cost) | 5.0% |
| Totaled Annual Savings | \$130,430 |
| O&M Savings (Maximum Limit of 30%) | \$39,127 |
| O&M Savings is Percentage of Total Savings | 30% |
| Payback Period (Maximum 15 Years) | 13.3 years |
| Number of Buildings | 1 |
| Vendor | Sabo/ Limbach |
| Scope of Work: | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lighting Upgrades • Building Controls Upgrades and Modifications • Boiler Heat Recovery System • Kitchen Hood Control • Building Air & Water Balance | |

| North Canton City SD (Stark) | |
|--|----------------|
| Total Project Cost | \$1,917,519 |
| Interest Rate (Included in Total Project Cost) | 3.0% |
| Totaled Annual Savings | \$136,640 |
| O&M Savings (Maximum Limit of 30%) | \$36,401 |
| O&M Savings is Percentage of Total Savings | 26.6% |
| Payback Period (Maximum 15 Years) | 14.0 years |
| Number of Buildings | 7 |
| Vendor | Brewer-Garrett |

| | |
|---|--|
| Scope of Work: | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exterior Lighting Upgrades to LED (7) • New Dishwasher (2) • New Heating Hot water Boiler (1) • Thin Client Computing (7) • Food Freezer retrofit (1) | |

| Northwestern Local SD (Clark) | |
|---|-----------------------|
| Total Project Cost | \$1,755,241 |
| Interest Rate (Included in Total Project Cost) | 3.65% |
| Totaled Annual Savings | \$202,558 |
| O&M Savings (Maximum Limit of 30%) | \$0 |
| O&M Savings is Percentage of Total Savings | 0% |
| Payback Period (Maximum 15 Years) | 9 years |
| Number of Buildings | 2 |
| Vendor | Energy Optimizers USA |
| Scope of Work: | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Geothermal HVAC Well System | |

| Paulding Exempted Village SD (Paulding) | |
|---|----------------|
| Total Project Cost | \$800,610 |
| Interest Rate (Included in Total Project Cost) | 3.5% |
| Totaled Annual Savings | \$73,874 |
| O&M Savings (Maximum Limit of 30%) | \$9,231 |
| O&M Savings is Percentage of Total Savings | 12.5% |
| Payback Period (Maximum 15 Years) | 10.8 years |
| Number of Buildings | 2 |
| Vendor | Brewer-Garrett |
| Scope of Work: | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lighting Upgrades (2) • Building Controls Upgrades and Modifications (2) • Replace Rooftop AHUs (1) • Vending Machine Controls (2) • Envelop Thermal Scan & Window Caulking (2) | |

| Perry Local SD (Stark) | |
|--|-----------------------|
| Total Project Cost | \$6,827,055 |
| Interest Rate (Included in Total Project Cost) | 3.30% |
| Totaled Annual Savings | \$456,810 |
| O&M Savings (Maximum Limit of 30%) | \$137,042 |
| O&M Savings is Percentage of Total Savings | 30.0 % |
| Payback Period (Maximum 15 Years) | 14.9 years |
| Number of Buildings | 7 |
| Vendor | Johnson Controls Inc. |
| Scope of Work: | |

- | | |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lighting Upgrades (7) • Building Controls Upgrades and Modifications (1) • Building Envelop Weatherization (7) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New High Efficiency Boiler (3) • New Pool Cover (1) • Water Conservation Retrofit (7) |
|--|---|

| Revere Local SD (Summit) | |
|--|----------------------|
| Total Project Cost | \$3,264,069 |
| Interest Rate (Included in Total Project Cost) | 3.0% |
| Totaled Annual Savings | \$217,639 |
| O&M Savings (Maximum Limit of 30%) | \$49,890 |
| O&M Savings is Percentage of Total Savings | 22.9% |
| Payback Period (Maximum 15 Years) | 15 years |
| Number of Buildings | 5 |
| Vendor | CCG Energy Solutions |
| Scope of Work: | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lighting Upgrades (5) • Building Controls Upgrades and Modifications (4) • Window Retrofit (2) • Heating Plant Retrofit (2) | |

| Southwest Local SD (Hamilton) | |
|---|------------------------|
| Total Project Cost | \$3,277,564 |
| Interest Rate (Included in Total Project Cost) | 3.0% |
| Totaled Annual Savings | \$222,991 |
| O&M Savings (Maximum Limit of 30%) | \$17,403 |
| O&M Savings is Percentage of Total Savings | 8.5% |
| Payback Period (Maximum 15 Years) | 14.7 years |
| Number of Buildings | 10 |
| Vendor | Vista Consulting Group |
| Scope of Work: | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lighting Upgrades (9) • Building Controls Upgrades and Modifications (3) • 19.8 KW Solar PV Array (1) • New Solar Domestic Water Heater (1) • Steam to HW Boiler Conversion (1) • New High Efficiency Boiler (1) • Vending Machine Controls (9) | |

| Sycamore Community City SD (Hamilton) | |
|--|--------------|
| Total Project Cost | \$11,334,297 |
| Interest Rate (Included in Total Project Cost) | 3.35% |
| Totaled Annual Savings | \$859,388 |
| O&M Savings (Maximum Limit of 30%) | \$139,541 |
| O&M Savings is Percentage of Total Savings | 16.2 % |
| Payback Period (Maximum 15 Years) | 13.2 years |
| Number of Buildings | 6 |
| Vendor | Trane |
| Scope of Work: | |

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lighting Upgrades (6) • Building Controls Upgrades & Modifications (6) • 19.8 KW Solar PV Array (1) • New Solar Domestic HW Heater (1) • Dynamic Air Filtration (6) • Vending Machine Controls (6) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gas to HW Boiler Conversion (1) • New High Efficiency Boiler (5) • New Chiller cooling System (1) • New Var. Refrigerant Flow System (1) |
|---|---|

Vice Chair Quill moved to approve Resolution 10-160.
Mr. Pompey seconded the motion.

Chair Sabety asked if there are other school districts whose interest rates all the way up to five percent, which seems rather high in the current interest rate environment. She asked Mr. Wantage to comment on how school districts are typically financing and why that would be true.

Mr. Wantage responded that the districts that have applied for the QSCBs, they put in a few percent just to cover the cost of financing. The school districts that are not pursuing the QCSB funds or any AARA funds, the interest rates will range anywhere between 2.3 to 5 percent. Usually they will figure a little bit high just to make sure that when the final calculation comes in, they are going to exceed the fifteen year limit. Mr. Wantage presented the Commission with a graph showing the number of projects that have been approved in the last few years. This year, 91 projects have been approved and that is over \$190 million worth of work that is on-going in the districts. In the last two years, there has been a lot of activity.

Mr. Pompey asked if when we look back at the HB 264 history, how comfortable are we that we are actually achieving the savings.

Mr. Wantage that OSFC has conducted one Look Back already working on another Look Back to 2002. OSFC is currently completing a 2003 Look Back and both of those are showing that the numbers are being exceeded, and only a few of them have not performed as expected. The other factors that OSFC has put in place, is the requirement that school districts enroll their projects into EnergyStar's Portfolio Manager, which serves as a litmus test to see if the districts are actually performing as they would expect to see the actual reduction in their consumption rate overall. The average for this year is about 8.3 years for a payback.

Director Quill commented that we should be thoughtful about this in terms of making it part of the process and have it be a more rigorous and robust Look Back with an expectation built into the culture of funding so that there is no prospect that anyone would think that they could gain those numbers. He stated that we are always working on credibility when we are the front end lender and the approver of these projects. It does seem as if they are very successful, but we have to be mindful of the credibility of these programs and having something institutionalized in terms of a Look Back and compliance check would enhance that credibility.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

Master Facilities Plan Amendments
Resolution 10-161

Steve Lutz informed the Commission that he has two amendments to the Master Facilities Plan (MFP) for Warren City SD of Trumbull County for their 2003 participation in the Classroom Facilities Assistance Program. As this project progressed it became apparent that the actual enrollment was trending below the projected enrollment. Mr. Lutz commented that the Second and Third Amendments for Warren City SD will reconcile the project scope and budget with changes in the enrollment. The Second Amendment reduces the enrollment by 908 students, with a \$14 million reduction in the total budget, a drop of 8.3 percent. The Third Amendment provides co-funding for additional space in the last two schools which was planned as a Locally Funded Initiative (LFI). This amendment adds 212 students and increases the budget by \$1.1 million, which is seven-tenths of one percent of the total budget. These two amendments reconcile the project scope and budget to allow close-out of the project. This project realized \$2.3 million in bid savings and saved over \$27 million from reduced student enrollment.

Melanie Drerup presented the members of the Commission with a history of the Warren City project. In 2002, OSFC began the planning effort with Warren City SD. In 2003, the Commission approved a \$169 million project consisting of five new PK-8 facilities and one new high school to house 7,085 students. In 2004, OSFC reviewed the enrollment and worked with the district to revise their Master Plan, reducing the number of new PK-8 facilities by one and adjusting the high school enrollment, leading to a reduction of \$16.5 million captured in Amendment 1 (2005). She went on to say that in 2008, it was determined that enrollment was declining at a rate greater than anticipated by the projection. A new enrollment projection was developed and the master plan was adjusted, reducing the enrollment of two of the PK-8 buildings, leading to a Notice of Intent to Amend followed by today's Amendment 2. The district decided in 2008 to build additional space to ensure that all students would have an equal opportunity. This LFI space supports 212 students at two elementary schools. In 2010, when the last building was completed, district enrollment was 330 students higher than the co-funded enrollment. In July 2010, the district requested consideration for additional space beyond what had previously been co-funded. Commission staff has been working with the district to develop a solution which is represented by Amendment 3. This is the only CFAP district where we have made two reductions and the enrollment is now stabilized.

Mr. Eric Bode presented an overview on the Commission Enrollment Projection accuracy and review the facts behind the changes in Warren City's enrollment. He commented that primarily the story with Warren City is about the enrollment changes. Generally, we have been very pleased with the results from the enrollment projects. They are generally accurate over the past few years. The projections are built on mathematical models based primarily on ten years of history. They look at, for instance, how many of the second graders become third graders every year for the past ten years and project that out ten years into the future. When we start a program with a district, the district has input and OSFC gets input from the Department of Education and the consultant, Dejong Healy, which then develops a draft projection. Commission staff then uses that and shares it with the district. Mr. Bode referred to a slide that showed the accuracy of the projections. About the last 150 to 200 projections that OSFC has had since 2003 have been analyzed and categorized. The slide shows that the further out in years you go, the less accurate the projections will be. Mr. Bode commented that the biggest challenge for enrollment projections have been in the urban school district projects. One big factor in the changes has been due to community school enrollment and other urban demographics as well. For the big six districts, OSFC has segmenting as a way to deal with the projections in order to build an accuracy as we go forward. However, for the next tier districts, like Warren, which are fairly large, long-term projects, there is time for the accuracy of the enrollment to change. For Warren City in particular, a big factor in change on enrolment projections is the charter school enrollment, which is small at the beginning of the project and

has really accelerated over a few years and then has tapered off. The situation with Warren City is a very particular circumstance.

Mr. Bode went on to say that OSFC reviews enrollment projections while larger, long-term projects are in design and construction. The changes to building size are only made when enrollment changes are significant and the benefit outweighs the cost. Warren City’s plan was previously reduced twice and for the “build-out year,” enrollment was off by 330 students, which is almost a whole building. So the proposed solution does not extend the schedule for close-out. He noted that the Warren City enrollment changes are not typical.

Kathryn Hellweg, Superintendent of the Warren City School District, was in attendance. She commented that without the OSFC project it would be impossible for the students to be in new schools. Historically the community was the center of culture, industry, and government and then the close of the steel mills in the late 1970s caused economic hardship which, with the demise of the auto industry which followed that, created a community which has dwindled not only in population but has become a blighted, urban area. The city has tried to reinvent itself but has not quite gotten there yet. She went on to say that the new schools and the other changes being made in the district will help with the revitalization project. The community has always valued education, and the district is one of the few districts in the state that passed the bond issue for new schools on the first try. For a long period of time there has been a divide in the city. The Mahoning River runs through the city and divides it from the East side and the West side and unfortunately the East-West division has followed through many years through the OSFC project. The consolidation of two high schools into one in the 1990s happened on the East side where the most affluent people supposedly live. With the figures that Mr. Bode showed with the enrollment, she believes that there was some faulty enrollment data that included mailing addresses for Warren that were in other school districts. This meant an elimination of one of the buildings, which was on the West side. Because of some property that the board had purchases prior to her arrival, which turned out to be wetlands, they had to change sites for the schools. This meant that the schools were built before any schools were started on the West side. The board of education in Warren accepted a recommendation to build out the schools at 750 rather than 644 because they are overcrowded today even with the additions. By next fall, there will be modular units at the new schools because they are over capacity. With the approval of the Commission, we are going to look at add additional classroom space to either one or both of the schools. One of the Community schools that created the big dip in enrollment announced that they are closing at the end of the school year which will put more students in their schools.

Chair Sabety asked Ms. Drerup as she looks at the list of districts and we are watching consolidation and contracting with the charter school area as the economy continues to takes its toll and education reform and accountability measures put into statute begin to take their toll on many of these schools, are we going to see more of these and if we vote favorably on this, what precedent does she see that setting.

Ms. Drerup responded that this is a unique situation because we have worked together to reduce the enrollment and we feel that it is appropriate, as with the Urbans, that if you have worked with us to reduce, then its appropriate to right-size the district.

| School District (County) | Recommended Modifications to the Master Facilities Plan | Change to the Project Budget | |
|--------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------|
| Warren City SD | • Reduce the student enrollment by 454 (now 644) at new ES/MS #1 | (\$11,335,715) | State Share |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------|
| (Trumbull) Amendment 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce the student enrollment by 454 (now 644) at new ES/MS #2 • Reduce budget by \$13,994,720 | (\$2,659,005) | Local Share |
| | | (\$13,994,720) | TOTAL |
| Warren City SD (Trumbull) Amendment 3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase student enrollment by 106 (now 750) at new ES/MS #1 • Increase student enrollment by 106 (now 750) at new ES/MS #2 • Bid savings of \$2,328,768 • Increase budget by \$1,128,011 | \$913,689 | State Share |
| | | \$214,322 | Local Share |
| | | \$1,128,011 | TOTAL |

Mr. Pompey moved to approve Resolution 10-161.
Vice Chair Quill seconded the motion.
Approval: Vote 3-0.

Construction Manager Agreement Amendments
Resolution 10-162

Mr. Berezansky presented Construction Manager Amendments to an Agreement, for Commission approval.

| School District | County | Construction Manager | Amount |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Cincinnati City SD - Segment 2b | Hamilton | Turner Construction Company | \$858,000 |

Vice Chair Quill moved to approve Resolution 10-162.
Mr. Pompey seconded the motion.
Approval: Vote 3-0.

Resolution 10-163

Mr. Berezansky also presented a Construction Manager Locally Funded Initiative, for Commission approval.

| School District | County | Construction Manager | Amount |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Cincinnati City SD - Segment 2b | Hamilton | Turner Construction Company | \$187,000 |

Mr. Pompey moved to approve Resolution 10-163.
Vice Chair Quill seconded the motion.
Approval: Vote 3-0.

Approving Correction to Resolution 10-129
Resolution 10-164

Mr. Berezansky also presented a correction to Resolution 10-129, a Construction Manager Amendment, for Commission approval.

| School District | County | Program | Construction Manager | Amount |
|-----------------|--------|---------|----------------------|--------|
|-----------------|--------|---------|----------------------|--------|

| | | | | |
|--------------------|--------|------|----------------------------|----------|
| Gallipolis City SD | Gallia | CFAP | Shae Construction Services | \$33,934 |
|--------------------|--------|------|----------------------------|----------|

Vice Chair Quill moved to approve Resolution 10-164.
 Mr. Pompey seconded the motion.
 Approval: Vote 3-0.

Construction Trade Contracts Approval
Resolution 10-165

Mike Mendenhall presented the Trade Construction Contracts, for Commission approval.

| | School District | Contracting Entity | Building Type | Scope Of Work | \$ Amount |
|----|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------|
| 1 | Barberton CSD | Thompson Electric, Inc* | West ES | Electrical | \$1,566,534.00 |
| 2 | Bellevue CSD | D & R Enterprises of Genoa LLC | Shumaker ES | Building/Site Demolition | \$160,000.00 |
| 3 | Cincinnati Public SD | American Sound & Electronics, Inc. | Segment 3 Bldgs | Broadband Video Distribution & Sound System Equipment | \$651,000.00 |
| 4 | Cincinnati Public SD | Astar Abatement, Inc. | Sayler Park School | Asbestos Abatement | \$282,620.00 |
| 5 | Cincinnati Public SD | Spectra Contract Flooring | Segment 3 Bldgs | Playground Surface | \$770,000.00 |
| 6 | Cincinnati Public SD | Norwood Hardware & Supply Company | Segment 3 Bldgs | Door Closers & Interiors | \$537,174.00 |
| 7 | Cleveland MSD | John G. Johnson Construction Company | New Ginn/Clement Academy | General Trades/Site work | \$923,500.00 |
| 8 | Cleveland MSD | Ace & AIM/Joint Venture | Orchard ES | Demolition & Abatement | \$498,750.00 |
| 9 | Crestline EVSD | Cuyahoga Fence, LLC | New PK-12 School | Temporary Construction Fencing | \$79,175.00 |
| 10 | Crestline EVSD | Telamon Construction, Inc. | New PK-12 School | Site work & Building Foundations | \$2,116,000.00 |
| 11 | Crestline EVSD | Jackson & Sons Drilling & Pump, Inc. | New PK-12 School | Geothermal Bore Field, Vault & Piping | \$1,043,000.00 |
| 12 | Geneva Area CSD | LoopMaster International, Inc. | Austinburg ES | Geothermal | \$270,000.00 |
| 13 | Geneva Area CSD | V.I.P. Electric Company | Cork ES | Electrical & Technology | \$1,370,000.00 |
| 14 | Geneva Area CSD | C.T. Taylor Co., Inc. | Cork & Austinburg ES | General Trades Combination | \$9,827,984.00 |
| 15 | Geneva Area CSD | Major Drilling Environmental, LLC | Cork ES | Geothermal | \$229,026.00 |
| 16 | Geneva Area CSD | R.J. Martin Electrical Services, Inc. | Austinburg ES | Electrical & Technology | \$1,378,000.00 |
| 17 | Geneva Area CSD | Western Reserve Mechanical, Inc. | Cork & Austinburg ES | HVAC Combination | \$1,832,000.00 |
| 18 | Genoa Area LSD | Southeast Security Corporation | Genoa New ES | Technology | \$433,600.00 |
| 19 | Genoa Area LSD | Executone Communication Systems LLC | Genoa New ES | Telephone | \$31,540.00 |
| 20 | Girard CSD | Mike Pusateri Excavating, Inc. | Ward Avenue HS | Demolition | \$181,595.00 |
| 21 | Girard CSD | Environmental Protection Systems, LLC | Ward Avenue HS | Abatement | \$188,300.00 |

| | | | | | |
|----|--|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 22 | Maple Heights CSD | The Ohio Floor Company | Milkovich MS & Kennedy/Obama 2-5 ES | Flooring Upgrades | \$163,000.00 |
| 23 | Niles CSD | DSV Builders, Inc.* | New McKinley HS | Early Site Preparation | \$745,000.00 |
| 24 | Niles CSD | Penn-Ohio Electrical Contractors | New McKinley HS | Electrical Relocation | \$141,700.00 |
| 25 | Orrville CSD | Russ James Contracting, Inc. | Old JHS & Maple Street ES | Demolition | \$178,662.50 |
| 26 | Pickerington LSD | Martin Public Seating | Central HS | Metal Casegoods | \$90,393.61 |
| 27 | Ross LSD | NOR-COM, Inc. | Ross MS & Elda ES | Technology | \$994,000.00 |
| 28 | Ross LSD | LVI Environmental Services, Inc. | Ross MS & Elda ES | Asbestos Abatement | \$178,731.00 |
| 29 | Ross LSD | Atkins & Stang, Inc. | Ross MS 2 Classroom Addition | Electrical | \$30,400.00 |
| 30 | Ross LSD | Loth, Inc. | Ross MS & Elda ES | Loose Furnishings | \$328,129.85 |
| 31 | Ross LSD | Library Design Associates, Inc. | Ross MS & Elda ES | Loose Furnishings | \$16,800.00 |
| 32 | Ross LSD | Endeavor Construction** | Ross MS 2 Classroom Addition | General Trades | \$172,000.00 |
| 33 | Ross LSD | Triton Services, Inc. | Ross MS 2 Classroom Addition | HVAC | \$52,920.00 |
| 34 | Switzerland of Ohio LSD | Accent Electronic Systems Integrators | New Beallsville PK-12 School | Structured Cabling | \$129,000.00 |
| 35 | Switzerland of Ohio LSD | Accent Electronic Systems Integrators | New Beallsville PK-12 School | Sound and Video Systems | \$298,000.00 |
| 36 | Switzerland of Ohio LSD | Accent Electronic Systems Integrators | New Monroe Central HS & New Woodsfield PK-8 | Sound and Video Systems | \$490,000.00 |
| 37 | Switzerland of Ohio LSD | Accent Electronic Systems Integrators | New Monroe Central HS & New Woodsfield PK-8 | Structured Cabling | \$247,000.00 |
| 38 | Teays Valley LSD | Wenger Corporation | Freshmen & South Bloomfield | Music Furniture | \$15,581.62 |
| 39 | Toledo CSD | Environmental Assurance Company, Inc. | Whitney Adult Education Center | Abatement | \$289,850.00 |
| 40 | Toledo CSD | Quality Environmental Services, Inc. | Keyser ES | Abatement | \$68,209.00 |
| 41 | Wadsworth CSD | Jones & Associates Excavating, Inc. | Overlook ES | Site | \$274,800.00 |
| 42 | Wayne County JVSD | APG Office Furnishings | Career Center | Office Furniture | \$58,969.00 |
| | Lowest Responsible, Second Low Bidder * EDGE Waiver ** | | | TOTAL | \$29,332,944.58 |

Vice Chair Quill moved to approve Resolution 10-165.

Mr. Pompey seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

Resolution 10-166

Mr. Mendenhall also presented the Trade Construction Contracts for the Ohio School for the Deaf and the Ohio State School for the Blind, for Commission approval.

| | School District | Contracting Entity | Building Type | Scope Of Work | \$ Amount |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| 1 | OSD-OSSB | TP Mechanical Contractors, Inc. | OSDB - Residential Buildings | Residential Fire Protection | \$139,500.00 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| 2 | OSD-OSSB | TP Mechanical Contractors, Inc. | OSDB - Residential Buildings | Residential Plumbing | \$922,498.00 |
| 3 | OSD-OSSB | TransAmerica Building Company, Inc.* | OSDB - Residential Buildings | Residential General Trades | \$3,975,000.00 |
| 4 | OSD-OSSB | Jutte Electric Ltd. | OSDB - Residential Buildings | Residential Electric | \$786,892.00 |
| 5 | OSD-OSSB | Hall Aluminum Products, Inc. | OSDB - Residential Buildings | Residential Windows/Glass/Glazing | \$438,000.00 |
| 6 | OSD-OSSB | Vaughn Industries, LLC | OSDB - Residential Buildings | Residential HVAC | \$715,000.00 |
| | Lowest Responsible, Second Low Bidder * | | | Total | \$6,976,890.00 |

Mr. Pompey moved to approve Resolution 10-166.

Vice Chair Quill seconded the motion.

Approval: Vote 3-0.

On behalf of OSFC staff, Cheryl Lyman and Lisa Laney thanked Chair Sabety and Vice Chair Quill for their many years of leadership and service on the Commission and the accomplishments they have made.

Public Testimony

None

The meeting was adjourned at 2:37 PM.



Commission Chair

These meeting minutes were prepared by Mary F. Adams, Secretary to the Commission