

**Dentistry-New Facility Study (Planning Services)  
Question and Answer List**



The Ohio State University  
Facilities Operations and Development  
400 Enarson Classroom Building • 2009 Millikin Road Columbus, Ohio 43210

<http://fod.osu.edu>  
Phone: 614-292-4458

---

Project Name	<u>Dentistry-New Facility Study</u>	Project Numbers	<u>OSU-140142</u>
Project Location	<u>Columbus Campus</u>		

---

Date posted: October 9, 2013

Date revised:

Below are the questions that have been received to date for the RFQ of the above-referenced project:

1. Does the program [for the new dentistry building] contain wet research lab functions/spaces or a vivarium?
  - A. The program will include all current research lab functions, including wet labs. The program will not include a vivarium.
2. Is it expected that the program review will involve detailed meetings with end users? Or is it intended to be a more streamlined process conducted with an executive committee from the College of Dentistry?
  - A. No, we do not anticipate individual meetings with end users. The office of Physical Planning and Real Estate (PPARE) conducted a charrette with 40 end users within the college, and the results of this will be provided to the selected firm. An executive committee and a steering committee have been heavily involved in the process to date, and the selected firm will use these groups to collect and share information.
3. Could you please provide any further insight into what type of fee breakdown you are seeking and where in the proposal should we include this information (i.e. would you like it in the F110-330 form in section H or attached separately).
  - A. Please provide an estimate of proposed fees that corresponds to your proposed process (by phase of work, by team, or by services provided). We would like to see labor and travel expenses as a lump sum but other reimbursable expenses separated out. You may submit this as part of the F110-330 form, or as a separate attachment.



## Question and Answer List

400 Enarson Classroom Building • 2009 Millikin Road Columbus, Ohio 43210

<http://fod.osu.edu>  
Phone: 614-292-4458

---

	Fisher College of Business-New Fac. Study	OSU-130244
	CFAES Master Plan	OSU-140145
	Advanced Materials Facility Study	OSU-140116
	CAR and CDME Feasibility Study	OSU-130549
Project Names	<u>Dentistry-New Facility Study</u>	Project Numbers <u>OSU-140142</u>
Project Location	<u>Columbus Campus</u>	

---

Date posted: October 7, 2013

Date revised: October 9, 2013

Below are the questions that have been received to date for the RFQ of the above-referenced project:

1. Are you asking for full teams as part of the RFQ?
  - A. Forming a team is acceptable to meet all of the skills required for the project. See the RFQs for more information.
2. Will you select local and national architects separately or will you expect them to create a team to pursue the project?
  - A. Only one firm/team will be selected for the project.
3. How much programming will be required?
  - A. For the four scoping studies, we have done initial, high level programming to understand order of magnitude space needs, general size of building footprints, etc. The next phase will build on this work and will refine the program to a more detailed level as needed to develop conceptual floor plans, determine building size and massing, and explore or confirm location and siting.
  - B. For the CFAES Master Plan some high-level programming will need to be developed for the Columbus Campus and satellite locations. Programming will consider the unique needs and strategic goals of the CFAES while reinforcing the University Framework Plan.
4. Will the programming materials be shared?
  - A. They will be shared with the selected firm.
5. Have you done any benchmarking and can you share those benchmarks?
  - A. The benchmarks for Advanced Materials Corridor are:
    - Penn State University
    - Millenium Science Complex
  
    - Louisiana State University
    - Chemistry and Materials Building
  
    - University of Minnesota
    - Gore Annex
  
    - Georgia Tech University
    - UA Whitaker Building
  
    - Washington University, St. Louis
    - Uncas A. Whitaker Hall
  
    - University of Rochester
    - Robert B. Goergen Hall for Biomedical Engineering and Optics

The benchmarks for CAR/CDME are:  
Ansty Manufacturing Technology Centre

# Question and Answer List

(continued)



---

Project Names:	Fisher College of Business-New Fac. Study CFAES Master Plan Advanced Materials Facility Study CAR and CDME Feasibility Study Dentistry-New Facility Study	OSU-130244 OSU-140145 OSU-140116 OSU-130549 OSU-140142
----------------	---	--

---

Coventry, England

Edison Welding Institute  
The Ohio State University

University of Sheffield, UK  
Advanced Manufacturing Research Centre

Institute for Machine Tools and Forming Technology  
Fraunhofer Chemnitz  
Chemnitz, Germany

Research Institute of Automotive Engineering  
University of Stuttgart  
Stuttgart, Germany

Metal Industries R&D Center  
Taiwan

Commonwealth Center for Advanced Manufacturing (CCAM) – 2013  
Crosspointe, Virginia

The benchmarks for Fisher College of Business are:

University of Michigan  
Ross School of Business

Stanford University  
Knight Management Center

University of Washington  
PACCAR Hall, Foster School of Business

The benchmarks for Dentistry are:

Dental School Building  
University of Maryland (Baltimore)

College of Dental Medicine  
Georgia Regents University

Health Sciences Center at Houston, Dental Branch  
University of Texas (Houston)

We have not done benchmarking for FAES yet.

6. "For purposes of completing the Relevant Project Experience Matrix in Section F of the Statement of Qualifications (Form F110-330), below is a list of relevant scope of work requirements for this RFQ:" Are these the three scope areas what the firms should use in the matrix?
- A. Yes

# Question and Answer List (continued)



---

Project Names:	Fisher College of Business-New Fac. Study CFAES Master Plan Advanced Materials Facility Study CAR and CDME Feasibility Study Dentistry-New Facility Study	OSU-130244 OSU-140145 OSU-140116 OSU-130549 OSU-140142
----------------	---	--

---

Project Numbers: OSU-140142

---

7. Is the selected team excluded from pursuing the architecture of the new building if/when the project moves forward?
  - A. No. If any of the scoping studies move forward into a construction project, or if the FAES master plan leads to future construction projects, these will be new projects with separate RFQ processes. The team selected for the scoping study or master plan is welcome to submit for any future construction projects that result from these studies or plans.
8. Can you please clarify the project deliverable for "exterior renderings" with regards to the number that are to be provided and the level of detail and representation? Are they to accurately depict materials or are they to be more of an abstract representation of massing and building volumes?
  - A. For each of the scoping studies, if we decide that renderings are needed, we anticipate up to three (3) conceptual exterior renderings that reflect an abstract representation of massing and building volumes. These images will be used for planning purposes only.
9. Can you please clarify that you just want pre-design services included in the requested fee.
  - A. That is correct. Include only pre-design services, as described by the RFQ, in your fee.
10. Is the 5% EDGE fee a requirement for this study phase?
  - A. Yes, EDGE participation is a requirement.
11. I would like to verify the response deadline for the CAR and CDME Feasibility Study RFQ. It is noted as 10/14 on the RFQ, which we understand is Columbus Day. With the date being a holiday have an impact on the deadline?
  - A. We recognize that there will be no US postal service that day, so we will be extending the deadline for OSU-140145 and OSU-130549. Please check the website for the new deadline.
12. Typically RFQs do not ask for a Fee proposal. But in this RFQ on page 3 under H. Submittal Instructions it states under 6. for what is asked to be provided in the submittal - "Fee for proposed services." My understanding is that architectural/planning services under the Ohio Revised Code are to be solicited first by qualifications, then ranked by the client (university) then fee negotiations can begin. Are you asking for a fee proposal to be submitted with this submittal?
  - A. Yes we are asking for a fee proposal, but you will notice that fee is not part of the scoring criteria on the score sheet, so we will not use that in the selection process. The code allows us to request fee proposals for studies.
13. The submittal instructions in the RFP states to include 4-6 examples of similar projects in our Statement of Qualifications. Typically, we would include 10 projects for a 330 submittal. Could you please clarify how many projects to include in Section F for our qualifications?
  - A. The 330 form Section F instructions state: "*Present as many projects as requested by the Contracting Authority, or a maximum of 10 projects, if not specified. Complete one Section F for each project.*" Please only present 4 to 6 projects as stated in the RFQ.