



RFQ Question and Answer List

Project Name Flood Mitigation Study
Project Location Columbus Campus

Project Number OSU-150234

Date posted: October 31, 2014

Date revised:

Below are the questions that have been received to date for the RFQ of the above-referenced project:

1. Page 1, Section B lists relevant scope of work requirements for this RFQ. Can you more clearly define what "Experience with permits associated with Natural Hazards" means?
 - A. Provide experience with obtaining permits for any work associated with efforts designed to mitigate natural hazards
2. Page 4, Planning Services Selection Rating Form, Sections 2 and 5 refer to showing experience with capital improvement and master plans. Should our response focus on these planning mechanisms, or hazard mitigation planning?
 - A. Focus should be aimed at hazard mitigation planning
3. Is the "Flood Mitigation Study" with respect to pool conditions in the Olentangy River?
 - A. The project is to review and help determine strategies for the University to understand and prepare for natural hazards, floods being one of them (probably the main one given our location next to the river).
4. Is the University looking for a presentation of the detailed tasks/fees to meet the 6 listed scope of services items as a lead-in to a formal proposal?
 - A. No fees should be discussed, we are only seeking qualifications. Those 6 items are "relevant scope items" that should be used when preparing the Relevant Project Experience Matrix in section F. The purpose of this matrix is to show how the projects selected by the submitting firm fit with the relevant scope items of this specific project.
5. Under Section B (text just above Section B1.), there is a statement indicating all of the 10 relevant projects need to be attributed to the lead firm. We are still planning on forming a team to bring the most experience possible to this effort. The other team member has unique and relevant experience that we believe will add considerable value to the University. In past submittals, we have provided a mix of our projects and those of our sub-consultants to show the broad range of capabilities. The good news in this case is that we have several projects where we can show prior teaming. The question is whether ALL of the projects have to be attributed to us or whether some of them can be unique to the sub-consultant to show their added value.
 - A. Show projects of the entire team
6. Section B (Scope of Services) of the RFQ mentions " For the purpose of the selection of 10 relevant projects in Section F of the Statement of Qualification, projects must be designed by the Lead FIRM". Does this require the Lead FIRM alone to show 10 relevant projects excluding any sub consultant experience or is it 10 relevant projects from the team (lead firm and subconsultants) ? Please clarify.
 - A. Go with team (lead firm and subconsultants) so we don't limit experiences. However, please designate who was involved in each project.