

Proposal Tabulation Form (CM at Risk Contract)

State of Ohio Standard Forms and Documents

Project Number: DRC-16F110
 Project Name: ORW Lincoln Replacement Housing
 Estimated Cost of Work: \$10,050,000

Proposer I: Elford, Inc.
 Proposer II: Peterson Construction Company*
 Proposer III: Smoot Construction Company

A. Technical Proposal Evaluation (100 points maximum before weighting)

Qualifications Criteria	Range	Proposer I	Proposer II	Proposer III
1. Proposed Staffing	0 - 20	16.00	18.75	14.75
2. Subcontracting Plan	0 - 10	6.00	8.50	7.75
3. EDGE Plan	0 - 5	4.25	3.00	3.50
4. Estimating Strategies	0 - 10	7.75	8.00	6.50
5. Procurement Strategies	0 - 10	5.75	8.25	6.75
6. Value Added Suggestions	0 - 5	3.25	4.75	3.50
7. Timeline	0 - 20	7.00	9.00	6.00
8. Site Logistics & Safety Plan	0 - 10	6.75	9.00	6.75
9. Quality Assurance / Control Plan	0 - 5	9.00	7.25	6.50
10. Unique Challenges & Solutions	0 - 5	7.50	8.00	5.25
Total Qualifications Score		73.25	84.50	67.25
Weight				
Subtotal (A)	60%	44.0	50.7	40.4

B. Pricing Proposal Analysis (100 points maximum before weighting)

Compensation Component	Proposer I	Proposer II	Proposer III	
1.a. Preconstruction Stage Fee	15,000	10,000	8,000	
1.b. Preconstruction Stage Personnel Costs	51,504	85,650	85,443	
1.c. Preconstruction Stage Reimbursable Expenses	5,289	1,000	4,000	
2.a. Construction Stage Personnel Costs	472,080	507,040	432,945	
2.b. General Conditions Costs	260,077	135,970	258,461	
2.c. CM's Fee (at Risk Services)	284,666	206,729	284,666	
2.d. Owner Accepted Schedule Enhancements (+/-)				
3.a. Guaranteed Maximum Price (if requested)				
Total Price Proposal	\$1,088,616	\$946,389	\$1,073,515	
4.a. CM Adviser Fee (Advisory Services only)			201,000	
4.b. CM's Contingency	301,500	286,425	301,500	
5.a. Proposed price from this CM team [x]	1,088,616	946,389	1,073,515	
5.b. Lowest proposed price from all CM teams [L]	946,389	946,389	946,389	
Normalized Price Ranking = [1 - ((x - L) / L)] * 100	85.0	100.0	86.6	
Weight				
Subtotal (B)	40%	34.0	40.0	34.6

C. Approvals and Best Value Score (A + B)

Selection Coordinator:	Proposer I	Proposer II	Proposer III
Contracting Authority:	77.9	90.7	75.0