



John R. Kasich / Governor
State of Ohio

Robert Blair / Director
Ohio Department of Administrative Services

Ohio**DAS**

General Services
State Architect's Office

Ohio Register

Information of Interest for the
Architectural, Engineering and Construction Industry

Issue Number 224

April 2012

Response Deadline:

Varies by project

Jeff Westhoven / Deputy Director
General Services Division

Lane J. Beougher / State Architect
State Architect's Office

Angie Carder / Editor
State Architect's Office
4200 Surface Road
Columbus, OH 43228-1395
Phone 614.752.0448
angie.carder@das.state.oh.us

Ohio Register: <http://ci.oaks.ohio.gov>
State Architect's Office website: <http://ohio.gov/sao>

An Equal Opportunity Employer

Ohio Register General Information and Requirements



Ohio Department of Administrative Services

General Services Division

State Architect's Office • 4200 Surface Road • Columbus, Ohio 43228-1395

<http://ohio.gov/sao>

StateArchOff@das.state.oh.us

Phone 614.466.4761

General Requirements for Submittals of the Statement of Qualifications

Firms are required to submit the current State of Ohio version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO website at <http://ohio.gov/sao> (click on Forms). The Federal version of the SF330 form will not be accepted.

Cover letters and transmittals are not necessary. Please place the appropriate project number in the space provided on the form.

Due to limited storage space, we request that paper copies, if requested, be stapled and please refrain from submitting three-ring binders, spiral binders and booklets. SAO requests that supplemental material not be submitted with the Statement of Qualifications. The use of a computerized or typed Statement of Qualifications form is preferred.

Electronic submittals should be combined into one PDF file named with the project number listed on the RFQ and your firm's name. Use the "print" feature of Adobe Acrobat Professional or similar software for creating a PDF rather than using a scanner. If possible, please reduce the file size of the PDF. In Adobe Acrobat Professional, go to Advanced, then PDF Optimizer. Also, please label the CD and the CD cover with the project number and firm name.

Facsimile or e-mailed copies of the Statement of Qualifications will not be accepted.

Reminders

SAO no longer requires an annual submittal of Part II of the Statement of Qualifications form for professional design services. However, professional design firms and construction managers responding to a request for qualifications for State of Ohio projects must submit Part II of the Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330) for each firm on its team.

SAO Form #F110-330 (Statement of Qualifications) officially replaced both the ADM-0255 and ADM-0254 forms on March 1, 2008. The ADM-0255 and ADM-0254 forms are no longer accepted.

Declaration Regarding Material Assistance / Non-assistance to a Terrorist Organization

As a result of Ohio Senate Bill 9 (effective April 14, 2006) applicants seeking certain state issued business contracts and funding must fill out new forms indicating that they have not provided financial assistance or support to a terrorist organization.

Prior to executing the Architect/Engineer (A/E) agreement, the selected A/E must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in ORC Section 2909.33 (C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that it has provided or shall provide such to the Contracting Authority and/or the Ohio Business Gateway at <https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc>.

All DMA forms and reference information, including a list of licenses subject to DMA and the Terrorist Exclusion List, can be found on the Ohio Homeland Security website at http://homelandsecurity.ohio.gov/dma/dma_forms.asp.

The Contracting Authority is responsible for either directing applicants to the forms on the Web site or printing and providing hard copies to the applicant. The Contracting Authority will retain the completed forms along with the application.

Anyone with questions can contact Ohio Homeland Security by calling the DMA hotline number at 614.644.3892 or by email at dma-info@dps.state.oh.us.

ORC 9.24 – Auditor of State Unresolved Findings for Recovery

Effective June 1, 2004, Ohio Revised Code (ORC) 9.24 prohibits the State of Ohio from awarding a contract to any individual or organization against whom the [Auditor of State](#) has issued a findings for recovery if the findings for recovery is unresolved at the time of award of contract.

For more information about how this new statute requirement pertains to Associates, Construction Managers, Consultants, Contractors and Owners, visit the SAO website at: <http://ohio.gov/sao> (click on Auditor of State Findings for Recovery under the Hot Links section.)

EDGE Participation Required on State Design and Construction Projects

The Encouraging Diversity, Growth and Equity (EDGE) program became law July 1, 2003, when Section 123.152 of the Ohio Revised Code was enacted. The program creates a business development program for economically and socially disadvantaged Ohio businesses.

The EDGE business participation goal is usually 5 percent. Proposers for professional services agreements as well as Bidders on construction contracts must demonstrate actual participation in the EDGE program, or provide a demonstration of their good faith efforts (with a letter requesting a waiver of the advertised EDGE participation goal on its letterhead and supporting evidence) to participate in the EDGE program, or both, as indicated in the Ohio Revised Code (123.152) and the Ohio Administrative Code (123:2-16-09).

Interested A/E firms are required to submit the Commitment to Participate in the Edge Business Assistance Program form in its Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) submitted in response to the RFQ, to indicate its intent to contract with and use EDGE-certified Business Enterprise(s), as a part of the A/E's team. The Intent to Contract and to Perform and / or waiver request letter and Demonstration of Good Faith Effort form(s) with complete documentation must be attached to the A/E's Technical Proposal. Both forms can be accessed via the SAO website at <http://ohio.gov/sao> (click on Forms). The Intent to Contract and to Perform form is again required at the Fee Proposal stage.

For all Statements of Qualifications, please identify the EDGE-certified Business Enterprises by the name that will participate in the delivery of the proposed professional services solicited in the RFQ.

To learn more about the qualifications for EDGE certification, the process to become an EDGE-certified business, and to find existing EDGE-certified businesses, go to <http://EDGE.ohio.gov>.

Ohio Ethics Law Provision

All professionals that submit or intend to submit proposals for consideration of a contract for professional design services with the state of Ohio are reminded that, as applicable, no sole proprietor, partner, shareholder or other principal of the Architect/Engineer or the spouse of such principal has made, as an individual, at any time within the two previous calendar years, one or more contributions totaling in excess of \$1,000.00 to the Governor or to the Governor's campaign committee, consistent with Section 3517.13 of the Ohio Revised Code.



Professional Design Opportunities

Short List Report

Page last updated: April 26, 2012

| Published Date | Project Name | Total Project Cost | Short-listed A/E Firms <i>*Indicates selected firm</i> | Negotiated A/E Fee |
|--|---|--------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 02/08/2012 OR #222 Locally Administered | WSU-120006 Enrollment Services Renovation Fairborn, Ohio | TBD | Annette Miller Architects McGill Smith Punshon Robert Maschke Architects SHP Leading Design | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 01/24/2012 OR #221 Locally Administered | KSU-11B146, KSU-11B147 & KSU-11B148 Renovations and Additions to Multiple Science Buildings (MEP Team) Kent, Ohio | TBD | NEW Prator Engineering Associates Scheeser Buckley Mayfield URS Corporation | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 01/24/2012 OR #221 Locally Administered | KSU-11B146, KSU-11B147 & KSU-11B148 Renovations and Additions to Multiple Science Buildings (Architectural Team) Kent, Ohio | TBD | Ayers Saint Gross BHDP Architecture Stantec Architecture | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 01/24/2012 OR #221 Locally Administered | OHU-121720 Heritage College of Osteopathic Medicine, Columbus Area Medical Facility - Ohio University Franklin County, Ohio | \$80,000,000 | *BHDP Bostwick Design Partnership Design Group NBBJ | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 01/13/2012 OR #221 SAO - Administered | SOC-120001 Brown County Campus - Southern State Community College, Mt. Orab, Brown County, Ohio | \$10,000,000 | *BHDP Architecture Champlin Architecture Fanning/Howey Associates VSWC Architects, Inc. | TBD |
| 12/02/2011 OR #220 SAO - Administered | DMH-120003 Patient Unit Renovations - Appalachian Behavioral Healthcare Ohio Department of Mental Health, Athens County, Ohio | \$1,700,000 | *ASM Davis Wince Feinknopf Macioce Schappa Renouveau Design | TBD |
| 10/02/2011 OR #218 SAO - Administered | DOT-120003 Lucas County Maintenance Facility Ohio Department of Transportation, Lucas County, Ohio | \$3,500,000 | Buehrer Group Architecture Jerome M. Scott Architects Poggemeyer Design Group *Schorr Architects, Inc. | TBD |
| 08/12/2011 OR #216 Locally Administered | OSU-100652 Various Building Demolitions The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$1,353,000 | eS Architecture & Development Feinknopf Macioce Schappa Panich Noel & Associates Perspectus Architecture | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 08/12/2011 OR #216 Locally Administered | OSU-100652 Various Building Demolitions The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$1,353,000 | eS Architecture & Development Feinknopf Macioce Schappa Panich Noel & Associates Perspectus Architecture | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 08/1/2011 OR #216 Locally Administered | OSU-110672 North Residential District Transformation The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | TBD | Ayers Saint Gross (MD) Design Collective (MD) DiMella Shaffer (MA) *Goody Clancy (MA) Hanbury Evans Wright Vlattas & Co (VA) Moore Ruble Yudell (CA) Robert AM Stern Architects (NY) Sasaki Associates (MA) | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 07/19/2011 OR #215 Locally Administered | SSC-010003 Administration Building Renovation Shawnee State University Portsmouth, Ohio | \$964,855 | BHDP Architecture KZF Design Levin Porter Associates RVC Architects *SPGB Architects TSHD Architects | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 07/01/2011 OR #215 Locally Administered | UTO-120742 Carlson Library Renovations University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio | \$1,250,000 | BHDP Architecture Buehrer Group Architecture & Engineering *The Collaborative, Inc. | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 07/14/2011 OR #215 | DAS-120001 North High Complex Phase 5 | | *Acock Associates Architects | |

| | | | | |
|--|---|---------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| SAO-Administered | Ohio Department of Administrative Services Columbus, Ohio | \$17,453,580 | Feinknopf Macioce Schappa URS | TBD |
| 07/01/2011 OR #215 SAO-Administered | BWC-110001 L-16 Halon Replacement Project - William Green Building Bureau of Workers' Compensation Columbus, Ohio | \$368,000 | M Engineering *Prater Engineering Star Consultants URS | \$35,700 |
| 07/12/2011 OR #215 Locally Administered | BGU-115687 Landscape & Civic Structure Master Plan Bowling Green State University Bowling Green, Ohio | \$100,000 | The Collaborative JJR Kinzleman Kline Gossman NBBJ Sasaki Associates | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 06/24/2011 OR #214 Locally Administered | UTO-121665 Replace Air Handler 4 University of Toledo Toledo | \$1,400,000 | Contech Design *JDRM Engineering Karpinski Engineering Peters, Tschantz & Associates | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 06/27/2011 OR #214 SAO-Administered | DOT-110001 & DOT-110002 Jefferson and Wayne County Maintenance Facilities Ohio Department of Transportation Wooster and Wintersville | \$7,000,000 | *Miller Watson/JMSA Panich, Noel & Associates Richard Fleischman + Partners Schorr Architects Strollo Architects | \$429,790 |
| 06/08/2011 OR #214 Locally Administered | UTO-111619 New Operating Rooms 13 & 14 University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio | \$1,400,000 | Buehrer Group Architecture and Engineering Harley Ellis Devereaux RCM Architects | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 05/27/2011 OR #213 Locally Administered | KSU-11B168 LEED Process Consulting Services Kent State University Kent, Ohio | \$210,000,000 | Doty & Miller Emersion Design Heapy Engineering Sasaki Associates | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 05/03/2011 OR #213 Locally Administered | ADJ-110017 Rickenbacker Enclave Paving Renovation Adjutant General's Department Columbus, Ohio | \$2,500,000 | *American Structure EMHT Jobes Henderson | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 04/20/2011 OR #212 Locally Administered | ADJ-110009 Walbridge Armory HVAC Renovation Adjutant General's Department Walbridge, Ohio | \$540,000 | *Advance Engineering Buehrer Group DLZ | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 04/20/2011 OR #212 Locally Administered | ADJ-110010 Greenville Armory Masonry Renovation Adjutant General's Department Greenville, Ohio | \$180,000 | Alan Scheer SFA Shremshock *Star Consultants | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 04/20/2011 OR #212 Locally Administered | ADJ-110011 Youngstown Armory Paving Renovation Adjutant General's Department Youngstown, Ohio | \$540,000 | DLZ KZF *Star Consultants | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 04/20/2011 OR #212 Locally Administered | ADJ-110012 Tarlton Armory Plumbing Renovation Adjutant General's Department Tarlton, Ohio | \$185,000 | Advance Engineering DLZ *Dynamix Engineering | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 04/20/2011 OR #212 Locally Administered | ADJ-110013 Norwalk Armory Plumbing Renovation Adjutant General's Department Norwalk, Ohio | \$150,000 | Bodner *Buehrer Group Dynamix Engineering X-Cel Engineering | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 4/18/2011 OR #212 Locally Administered | UCN-09113A ACH Campus Lab Energy Savings University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio | \$3,340,000 | Fosdick & Hilmer *Stan & Associates URS Corporation | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 4/12/2011 OR #212 Locally Administered | UTO-111624 New Cancer Center University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio | \$5,500,000 | MBA Architects and Planners Poggemeyer Design Group SSOE | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 3/31/2011 OR #211 Locally Administered | OSU-081255 High Voltage Switch and Cable Replacement - Phase 2 The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$13,340,000 | Burns & McDonald Fosdick & Hilmer *Patrick Engineering | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 3/29/2011 OR #211 Locally Administered | OSU-080267 Boiler Replacement - McCracken Power Plant The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$15,180,000 | Burns & McDonnell Eng Co. Inc. Fosdick & Hilmer Lutz Daily & Brain *RMF Engineering | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 3/7/2011 OR #211 Locally Administered | OSU-110269 Northwest Parking Garage Renovation The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$1,300,000 | Carl Walker Inc *Desman Associates O&S Associates | \$95,744 |
| 3/29/2011 OR #211 Locally Administered | OSU-110101 Caldwell Lab Expansion The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$632,904 | eS Architecture & Development Robert E Euans Architects *SPGB Architects | \$51,564 |
| 3/7/2011 OR #211 | ZSC-6-2011-1 Advanced Science & Technology Center | \$9,750,000 | Addis-Davis-Van Wey Design Group Lincoln Street Studio | TBD: Contact Agency / |

| | | | | |
|--|---|--------------|---|---|
| Locally Administered | Zane State College Zanesville, Ohio | | Phillip Markwood Architects *SHP Leading Design URS Corporation | Institution |
| 3/7/2011 OR #211 Locally Administered | ZSC-7-2011-1 Cambridge Training & Education Center Zane State College Zanesville, Ohio | \$10,000,000 | *Addis-Davis-Van Wey Design Group Lincoln Street Studio Phillip Markwood Architects SHP Leading Design URS Corporation | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 3/10/2011 OR #211 Locally Administered | UTO-111605 Hospital Clinical Laboratory University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio | \$200,000 | *BEI Associates Harley Ellis Devereaux SSOE | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 03/29/2011 OR #211 Locally Administered | KSU-11L123 Tri-Towers Residence Halls Rooms & HVAC Upgrades (MEP Engineer) Kent State University Kent, Ohio | \$30,000,000 | Dynamix Engineering Heapy Engineering *Scheeser Buckley Mayfield Thorson Baker & Associates | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 03/29/2011 OR #211 Locally Administered | KSU-11L123 Tri-Towers Residence Halls Rooms & HVAC Upgrades (Architect) Kent State University Kent, Ohio | \$30,000,000 | *Domokur Architects KZF Design The Collaborative | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 03/09/2011 OR #211 Locally Administered | UTO-110129 Core Research Facility - Phase IV University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio | \$929,586 | Buehrer Group Architecture & Engineering The JDI Group SSOE Group | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 03/09/2011 OR #211 Locally Administered | UTO-111387 Resource & Community Learning Center - Phase II University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio | \$900,000 | Duket Architects Planners MacPherson Architects Thomas Porter Architects | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 02/22/2011 OR #210 Locally Administered | OSU-030976 Community Heritage Art Gallery The Ohio State University - Lima Campus Lima, Ohio | \$238,894 | Levin Porter Associates Phillip Markwood Architects *The Collaborative | \$31,380 |
| 02/24/2011 OR #210 Locally Administered | UCN-09137A Storm Water Demonstration Project University of Cincinnati Columbus, Ohio | \$2,600,000 | Bayer Becker Kinzelman Kline Gossman *Kleingers & Associates | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 02/09/2011 OR #210 Locally Administered | CTI-110001 Columbus State Master Plan Columbus State Community College Columbus, Ohio | TBD | The Collaborative MSI Design *NBBJ Stantec Architecture URS | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 02/14/2011 OR #210 Locally Administered | UCN-10016B Primary Electric Substation University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio | \$7,300,000 | GDP Group *Patrick Engineering RMF Engineering | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 02/09/2011 OR #210 Locally Administered | UCN-11096A Crosley Tower - Air Handling Unit Replacement University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio | \$1,500,000 | Fosdick & Hilmer *HAWA Incorporated Motz Engineering URS | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 01/11/2011 OR #209 Locally Administered | 5062-PF07357 East Regional Chilled Water Plant (CA) The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$41,055,000 | Aramark Management Services *Engineering Economics Horizon Engineering Assoc | \$431,201 |
| 01/04/2011 OR #209 Locally Administered | BGU-015585 PSLB HVAC Upgrades & Fume Hood Replacement Bowling Green State University Bowling Green, Ohio | \$3,000,000 | Buehrer Group Architecture & Engineering Heapy Engineering Korda Engineering *URS Corporation | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 12/17/2010 OR #208 Locally Administered | OSU-110215 Street and Bridge Maintenance Phase 1 The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$2,146,000 | *American Structurepoint Korda/Nemeth Engineering Prime Engineering & Architecture Resource International | \$254,956 |
| 12/20/2010 OR #208 Locally Administered | YSU-111224 STEM Planning Youngstown State University Youngstown, Ohio | \$200,000 | *BHDP/ms consultants KA, Inc. Architecture Westlake Reed Leskosky | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 12/17/2010 OR #208 Locally Administered | UCN-09080A CARE Roof Fan Support Modifications University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio | \$450,000 | Jezerinac Geers Associates *Steven Schaefer Associates THP Limited | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 12/15/2010 OR #208 Locally Administered | UCN-04132B MSB Rehabilitation - Phase 4 (CM) University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio | \$82,944,661 | Bovis Lend Lease Hunt Construction Group Messer Construction Company | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 12/17/2010 OR #208 Locally Administered | UCN-09080A CARE Roof Fan Support Modifications University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio | \$450,000 | Jezerinac Geers Associates Steven Schaefer Associates THP Limited | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 12/17/2010 OR #208 Locally Administered | OSU-110215 Street and Bridge Maintenance - Phase 1 Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$2,146,000 | *American Structurepoint Korda/Nemeth Engineering Prime Engineering & Architecture Resource International | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 11/10/2010 OR #207 Locally Administered | OSU-110207 Howlett Hall Roof Replacement The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$832,821 | *CTL Engineering DLZ KZF Design Legat & Kingscott Shremshock Architects & Engineering | \$160,470 |
| 11/22/2010 OR #207 Locally Administered | Project Number TBD 2010 Building Infrastructure Improvements: Computer Services Center (CSC) HVAC Improvements Ohio University Athens, Ohio | \$824,000 | DLZ *Kramer Engineers SHP Leading Design W.E. Monks | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 11/22/2010 OR #207 Locally Administered | Project Number TBD 2010 Building Infrastructure Improvements: Voight Hall, Electrical and Access Improvements and Gamertsfelder Hall Fire Alarm Improvements Ohio University Athens, Ohio | \$1,420,000 | DLZ Kramer Engineers SHP Leading Design *W.E. Monks | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 10/21/2010 OR #206 Locally Administered | CLS-101007 Main Classroom - Roof Replacement Cleveland State University Cleveland, Ohio | \$4,400,000 | Architectural Vision Group Domokur Architects *Makovich & Pusti Architects mbi-k2m Architecture | \$305,000 |
| 10/05/2010 OR #206 Locally Administered | UTO-111538 Clinical Simulation Center The University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio | \$1,000,000 | *BHDP Architecture SmithGroup, Inc. SSOE, Inc. | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 09/21/2010 OR #205 Locally Administered | OSU-100398 McC Campbell Hall - Ambulatory Modifications The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$10,500,000 | Bostwick Design Partnership CBLH Design *Design Group Perspectus Architecture | \$1,011,188 |
| 09/21/2010 OR #205 Locally Administered | YSU-111202 Fifth Avenue Athletic Fields Youngstown State University Youngstown, Ohio | \$2,100,000 | GPD Group James Burkart Associates JJR | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 09/09/2010 OR #205 Locally Administered | UTO-111564 UMC 3rd Floor Renovations The University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio | \$4,100,000 | *CBLH Design Hasenstab Architects URS | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 09/28/2010 OR #205 Locally Administered | UCN-10093A Siddall MarketPointe Renovation University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio | \$2,300,000 | *Champlin Architecture FRCH Design MSA Architects | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 09/27/2010 OR #205 Locally Administered | OSU-108001 BRT-Site Electrical Improvements The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$2,700,000 | Heapy Engineering *Korda/Nemeth Engineering M-Engineering | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 09/21/2010 OR #205 Locally Administered | OSU-100398 McC Campbell Hall - Ambulatory Modifications The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$10,500,000 | Bostwick Design Partnership CBLH Design Inc. *DesignGroup Perspectus Architecture LLC | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 09/30/2010 OR #205 Locally Administered | UTO-10656R (readvertised) Center for Biosphere Restoration Research: Bowman-Oddy Laboratories and Wolfe Hall Renovations (CM at Risk) The University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio | \$7,888,000 | AMEC E&C Services, Inc. *The Lathrop Company, Inc. The Whiting-Turner Contracting Company | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 08/30/2010 OR #204 Locally Administered | YSU-111204 M1 & M2 Parking Deck Repair/Restoration Youngstown State University Youngstown, Ohio | \$5,200,000 | Carl Walker, Inc. DESMAN Associates Walker Parking Consultants | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 08/31/2010 OR #204 Locally Administered | WSU-110006 Rinzler Athletic Complex Wright State University Fairborn, Ohio | \$4,400,000 | Annette Miller Architects Lorenz Williams, Inc. McGill Smith Punshon MSA Architects | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 08/31/2010 OR #204 Locally Administered | WSU-090025 Concert Hall Renovation Wright State University Dayton, Ohio | \$4,228,000 | H3 Hardy Collaboration Hardlines Design Company GGBN Architects Richard Fleischman | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 07/01/2010 OR #203 Locally Administered | OSU-090468 Howlett - Kottman Steam Upgrades The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$1,982,907 | Korda/Nemeth Engineering *RMF Engineering SSOE, Inc. Varo Engineers, Inc. | \$168,801.02 |
| 07/01/2010 OR #203 Locally Administered | OSU-100738 Pomerene - History of Art Renovation The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$400,000 | Braun & Steidl Hardlines Design *Miller Watson Architects | \$45,548 |
| 06/18/2010 | UTO-100656 Center for Biosphere Restoration Research: Bowman- | | Bostleman Mosser, LLC | N/A: Project was |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|---|---|
| OR #202 Locally Administered | Oddy Laboratories and Wolfe Hall Renovations (C/M at Risk), The University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio | \$7,888,000 | The Lathrop Co. Messer Construction | <i>readvertised on 09/30/2010 (see OR #205 above)</i> |
| 05/21/2010 OR #201 SAO Administered | DRC-090040 Roof Replacement - Ohio State Penitentiary Youngstown, Ohio | \$1,685,000 | N/A - Project Cancelled | N/A - Project Cancelled |
| 05/21/2010 OR #201 SAO Administered | DRC-090053 HVAC Upgrade 2010 - Warren Correctional Institution Lebanon, Ohio | \$2,500,000 | DLZ Ohio, Inc. Kramer Engineers *Prater Engineering Associates Scheeser Buckley Mayfield LLC | TBD / Contract not finalized |
| 05/28/2010 OR #201 Locally Administered | MUN-100014 Harris Dining Hall HVAC Replacement Miami University Oxford, Ohio | \$1,400,000 | Heapy Engineering KLH Engineers Prater Engineering | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 05/28/2010 OR #201 Locally Administered | OSU-081047 John Herrick Drive Rebuild Phase 1 The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$6,300,000 | DLZ Ohio, Inc. EMH&T Kleingers & Associates MS Consultants | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 05/27/2010 OR #201 Locally Administered | DMR-100003 NODC Paving 2010 Northwest Ohio Developmental Center Toledo, Ohio | \$400,000 | The Mannik & Smith Group Chevevey & Piccin *Buehrer Group | \$25,611 |
| 04/02/2010 OR #200 SAO Administered | CSU-100010 (CM at Risk) Emery Hall Preservation & Restoration Phase IV Central State University Wilberforce, Ohio | \$1,780,000 | Messer Construction Co. *Miles-McClellan Construction Thomas & Marker Construction | TBD / Contract not finalized |
| 02/24/2010 OR #198 Locally Administered | OSU-100266 Stone Lab Green Energy Program Ph 1 The Ohio State University Gibraltar Island Put-in-Bay, Ohio | \$320,000 | *Metro DC Engineering, LLC Santee Consulting Services Poggemeyer Design Group | \$46,798 |
| 02/19/2010 OR #198 Locally Administered | OSU-080338 College of Medicine Renovation/Addition Hazardous Material Abatement The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$13,000,000 | *Electro-Analytical Inc (dba EA Group) Lawhon & Associates Gandee & Associates | \$15,040 |
| 12/07/2009 OR #196 Locally Administered | DRC-090047 Domestic Water & Boiler Replacement Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Lebanon, Ohio | \$1,425,003 | Advanced Engineering Consultants *Kramer Engineers Roger D. Fields & Associates | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 12/07/2009 OR #196 Locally Administered | DRC-090049 Exterior Door Replacement - Warren Correctional Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Lebanon, Ohio | \$1,360,000 | *eS Architecture & Development KZF Design Renouveau Design | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 12/23/2009 OR #196 Locally Administered | OSU-090445 Dreese Exterior Sealant Repair The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$1,270,000 | Abbot Studios Architects & Planners *Shremshock Architects, Inc. CTL Engineering | \$112,649.93 |
| 12/23/2009 OR #196 Locally Administered | UTO-010656 New Science Building University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio | \$30,000,000 | BHDP Architecture *SSEO The Collaborative | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 11/18/2009 OR #196 Locally Administered | OSU-091575 Patent Cafe Food Kitchens Renovation (Food Service Consultant) The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$10,747,694 | *JEM Associates (NJ) Robert Rippe & Associates (MN) The Hysen Group (MI) | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 12/07/2009 OR #196 Locally Administered | OSU-091576 Morehouse Parking Garage - Demolish and Construct Surface Lots The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$2,209,149 | *EMH&T Jobs Henderson & Associates Resource International | \$226,551 |
| 11/05/2009 OR #195 Locally Administered | UCN-08085A Kettering North Demolition The University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio | \$3,000,000 | *Champlin Architecture JL Bender TRIAD Architects | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 11/04/2009 OR #195 Locally Administered | UCN-06040C Morgens Hall Renovation and Scioto Decommissioning The University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio | \$27,748,000 | GBBN Moody Nolan *Richard Fleischman + Partners Architects | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 11/18/2009 OR #195 Locally Administered | OSU-091575 Patent Cafe Food Kitchens Renovation (A/E) The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$10,747,694 | *FRCH Design Worldwide Hendon & Redmond M+A Architects Perspectus Architecture | \$1,217,199 |
| 11/18/2009 OR #195 Locally | OSU-100217 Mason Hall - First and Second Floor Renovations The Ohio State University | \$5,974,259 | Champlin Architecture *Kallmann McKinnell & Wood Architects (MA) with Bialosky + Partners Architects | \$400,500 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---------------|--|---|
| Administered | Columbus, Ohio | | Phillip Markwood Architects Westlake Reed Leskosky | |
| 11/10/2009 OR #195 Locally Administered | OSU-090581 Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering and Chemistry Building - <i>Design Architect</i> The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$126,000,000 | Bohlin Cywinski Jackson (PA) David Brody Bond Aedas (NY) Ellenzweig (MA) FLAD Architects (WI) Payette (NY) Pelli Clark Pelli (CT) Perkins + Will (IL) Pohlshek Partnership (NY) SmithGroup (MI) Tsoi-Kobus & Associates (MA) Wilson Architects (NY) Zimmer Gunsul Frasca (NY) | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 11/10/2009 OR #195 Locally Administered | OSU-090581 Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering and Chemistry Building - <i>Architect of Record</i> The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$126,000,000 | Anshen + Allen BHPD Braun & Steidl/IKM *Burt Hill Champlin Architecture NBBJ | \$8,425,000 |
| 11/10/2009 OR #195 Locally Administered | OSU-090581 Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering and Chemistry Building - <i>Commissioning</i> The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$126,000,000 | *Four Seasons FTC&H Heapy Engineering Horizon Engineering | \$393,333.54 |
| 11/10/2009 OR #195 Locally Administered | OSU-090581 Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering and Chemistry Building - <i>MEP</i> The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$126,000,000 | Affiliated Engineers (WI) ARUP (NY) HAWA (OH) M/E Engineering (NY) RMF (MD) Vanderweil (MA) | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 11/24/2009 OR #195 Locally Administered | OSU-081230 William H. Hall Complex Expansion, Phase 2 - Commissioning The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$33,056,494 | *Four Seasons Environmental Horizon Engineering Associates Scheeser Buckley Mayfield | \$68,088.05 |
| 11/24/2009 OR #195 Locally Administered | OSU-071589 Hopkins Hall Mechanical Improvements The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio | \$5,668,290 | Advanced Engineering DLZ Kramer Engineering *Prater Engineering | \$398,170 |
| 10/09/2009 OR #194 Locally Administered | OHU-091500 Clippinger Lab - Phase 2b-3 Ohio University Athens, Ohio | \$6,900,000 | Burt Hill URS W. E. Monks & Co. Westlake, Reed, Leskosky | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 10/19/2009 OR #194 Locally Administered | WSU-100012 Master Plan - Land Use and Development Wright State University Dayton, Ohio | \$200,000 | Burt Hill NBBJ Sasaki | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 09/21/2009 OR #193 SAO- Administered | NEM-090001 (CM) Campus Research and Academic Expansion NEOUCOM Rootstown, Ohio | \$37,930,000 | Bovis Lend Lease, Inc. Donley's Inc. *The Ruhlin Company Welty Building Company | TBD / Contract not finalized |
| 09/11/2009 OR #193 SAO- Administered | BWC-100001 BWC Chiller/Ice Tank Replacement Columbus, Ohio | \$1,550,000 | DLZ Ohio, Inc. Korda/Nemeth Engineering Motz Engineering *Star Consultants | \$141,260 |
| 09/02/2009 OR #193 SAO- Administered | DOT-100001 Noble County Maintenance Facility / ODOT Caldwell, Ohio | \$4,800,000 | *Jerome M. Scott Architects ms consultants, inc. Schorr Architects, Inc. Wachtel & McAnally Architects/Planners, Inc. | \$403,171 |
| 08/28/2009 OR #192 Locally Administered | WSU-090041 Halon Replacement Project Wright State University Dayton, Ohio | \$500,000 | Heapy Engineering Helmig-Lienesch Engineers *Prater Engineering | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 08/28/2009 OR #192 Locally Administered | WSU-090034 Elevator Upgrades Wright State University Dayton, Ohio | \$500,000 | JL Bender Oregon Group Architects *Stilson & Associates, Division of DLZ | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 08/28/2009 OR #192 Locally Administered | WSU-090038 Health Science Roof Replacement Wright State University Dayton, Ohio | \$317,000 | Annette Miller Architects eS Architecture and Development *SFA Architects | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 08/28/2009 OR #192 Locally Administered | WSU-090029 Rike Hall Second Floor HVAC and General Renovation Wright State University Dayton, Ohio | \$520,000 | *Annette Miller Architects Schorr Architects TRIAD Architects | \$50,000 |
| 07/14/2009 OR #191 SAO- Administered | NEM-090001 (A/E) Campus Research and Academic Expansion NEOUCOM Rootstown, Ohio | \$37,930,000 | Burt Hill, Inc. Hasenstab Architects *TC Architects URS | TBD / Contract not finalized |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|--|---|
| 06/15/2009 OR #190 Locally Administered | UCN-09101A Radiology Office Building The University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio | \$2,100,000 | BSA LifeStructures *GBBN PFB Architects | TBD: Contact Agency / Institution |
| 06/18/2009 OR #190 SAO- Administered | DRC-090026 Fire Alarm Upgrade Lebanon Correctional Institution Lebanon, Ohio | \$3,125,500 | *Advanced Engineering Consultants DLZ Ohio KZF Design Kramer Engineers Roger D. Fields & Associates | \$235,213 |
| 06/18/2009 OR #190 SAO- Administered | DRC-090050 Roof Replacement Correctional Reception Center Orient, Ohio | \$2,604,199 | *Archatas, Inc. Asebrook & Co. Architecture eS Architecture and Development Schorr Architects | \$199,750 |
| 06/18/2009 OR #190 SAO- Administered | DRC-090052 Medical/Dental Addition Lorain Correctional Institution Grafton, Ohio | \$1,735,000 | Kaczmar Architects Incorporated *mbi k2m Architecture Ziska Architecture | \$268,125 |
| 05/06/2009 OR #189 SAO- Administered | EXP-090003 North Parking Lot Improvements Ohio Expositions Commission Columbus, Ohio | \$5,000,000 | Kabil Associates, Inc. *Korda/Nemeth Engineering, Inc. Star Consultants, Inc. | \$345,975 |
| 04/09/2009 OR #189 SAO- Administered | DAS-010100 North High Street Complex Renovation, Phase 4 Ohio Dept. of Administrative Services Columbus, Ohio | \$52,505,945 | *Acock Associates Architects Feinknopf Macioce Schappa Architects Schooley Caldwell Associates | \$4,005,429 |
| 04/09/2009 OR #188 SAO- Administered | OHU-071730 Scripps College of Communication - Phase 1 Ohio University Athens, Ohio | \$18,000,000 | Feinknopf Macioce Schappa Architects Moody Nolan, Inc. NBBJ Schooley Caldwell Associates *The Collaborative, Inc. | \$1,159,650 |
| 02/12/2009 OR #186 SAO- Administered | DYS-050133 ORVJCF Classroom Addition - Phase 2 Ohio River Valley Juvenile Correctional Facility | \$6,800,000 | KZF Design, Inc. Shremshock Architects, Inc. *Wachtel & McAnally Architects/Planners, Inc. | \$668,827 |
| 02/04/2009 OR #186 SAO- Administered | DOT-090005 Rest Areas Renovation Various locations throughout Ohio | \$12,000,000 | Feinknopf Macioce Schappa Architects KZF Design *Shremshock Architects | \$889,360 |
| 01/30/2009 OR #185 SAO- Administered | CTI-090001 ERC Renovation (Columbus Hall) Columbus State Community College | \$5,400,000 | Acock Associates Architects *BHDP Architecture McDonald, Cassell & Bassett Schooley Caldwell Associates | \$389,226 |
| 12/15/2008 OR #184 SAO- Administered | DMR-090010 Various Improvements Northwest Ohio & Tiffin Developmental Centers | \$1,655,500 | Archatas Bodner & Kerik Architects, Inc. *Buehrer Group Architecture & Engineering, Inc. | \$107,200 |
| 12/18/2008 OR #184 SAO- Administered | DMR-090013 Various Improvements Southwest Ohio Developmental Center | \$1,260,000 | Archatas *eS Architecture and Development Star Consultants, Inc. | \$158,802 |
| 12/01/2008 OR #184 SAO- Administered | DOT-090003 District 11 Re-roof Ohio Department of Transportation New Philadelphia, Ohio | \$4,800,000 | Makovich & Pusti Architects, Inc. *Schorr Architects, Inc. Shremshock Architects, Inc. | \$389,000 |
| 11/18/2008 OR #183 SAO- Administered | EXP-090002 2008 Electrical Upgrades Ohio Expositions Commission | \$2,100,000 | Advanced Engineering Consultants *Bennett Engineering, Inc. DLZ Ohio, Inc. Star Consultants, Inc. | \$180,892 |
| 11/10/2008 OR #183 SAO- Administered | EXP-090001 Sheep and Swine Barn Renovations Ohio Expositions Commission Columbus, Ohio | \$11,900,000 | Davis Wince, Ltd. McDonald, Cassell & Bassett, Inc. *Schorr Architects, Inc. Star Consultants, Inc. | \$898,244 |
| 07/24/2008 OR #179 SAO- Administered | ADJ-090001 Delaware Training and Community Center Adjutant General's Department Delaware, OH | \$21,553,840 | KZF Design, Inc. Maddox-NBD, Inc. *Poggemeyer Design Group | \$801,724 |

Request for Qualifications (A/E)

Ohio University
Ridges Building #19
Athens, Ohio 45701



Administration of Project: Local Administration

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Project Name | <u>Classroom & Office Building Renovations</u> | Response Deadline | <u>April 24, 2012 4:00 PM</u> local time |
| Project Location | <u>Ohio University – Athens Campus</u> | Project Number | <u>OHU-03242012</u> |
| City / County | <u>Athens / Athens</u> | Project Manager | <u>Dick Planisek</u> |
| Agency/Institution | <u>Ohio University</u> | Contracting Authority | <u>Ohio University</u> |
| No. of paper copies requested (stapled, not bound) | <u>3</u> | No. of electronic copies requested on CD (PDF) | <u>1</u> |

Submit the requested number of Statements of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) directly to Dick Planisek at Facilities Planning & Space Management; Ridges Bldg #19; Athens, Ohio 45701 or electronically to planisek@ohio.edu. See Section H for additional submittal instructions.

Project Overview

A. Project Description

This project involves the renovation of a currently mothballed (unoccupied) building on the Athens Campus, as well as some related miscellaneous renovations in other (currently occupied) buildings. The involved buildings were originally constructed in the early 20th century, and have received various minor upgrades over the years. The buildings will be renovated as generic classroom/faculty office buildings to be used as swing space while other campus buildings are being renovated over the next six years. As such, the renovated buildings must have adequate flexibility to accommodate the needs of the particular programs being housed there at any given time.

B. Scope of Services

The mothballed building being considered for renovation, Tupper Hall, is in serviceable condition, and will receive a partial renovation to improve its mechanical / electrical/ plumbing systems, the building envelope, and interior finishes. This renovation will be done with a view toward a more thorough renovation several years into the future. The anticipated total project cost for the Tupper Hall portion of this project is \$4.5 million.

This project will also consider various renovations to Lindley Hall, including a major upgrade of the HVAC systems. The building will be temporarily vacated to facilitate the work. Upgrades to some interior finishes and life safety components are also expected as a component of this project, although the full extent of the required renovations have not been determined at this time.

In order to facilitate various relocations, additional small renovations to other campus buildings may be included in this project.

The University may choose to hire different consultant teams for each project, or may award multiple projects to one team. This will be determined after statements of qualifications are reviewed and interviews conducted.

All major building renovations are to be designed and constructed to achieve LEED Silver Certification.

The selected Architect/Engineer (A/E), as a portion of its required Scope of Services and prior to submitting its proposals, will discuss and clarify with the Owner and the Contracting Authority, the cost breakdown of the Architect/Engineer Agreement detailed cost components to address the Owner's project requirements. Participate in the Encouraging Growth, Diversity & Equity (EDGE) Program as required by statute and the Agreement.

As required by the Agreement, and as properly authorized, provide the following categories of services: Program Verification, Schematic Design, Design Development, Construction Document Preparation, Bid and Award Support, Conformed Documents, Construction Phase, Post-Construction Phase, and Extra Services and Additional Services of all types. These projects will be considered for construction implementation by way of design/bid/build (single or multiple prime), CM at risk, or possibly Design-Build. The submitting firm should show experience in administration of projects with alternative construction delivery methods. Refer to *The SAO Manual* for additional information about the type and extent of services required for each.

Request For Qualifications (A/E) continued



Project Name Classroom & Office Building Renovations]

Project Number OHU-03242012

G. Evaluation Criteria for Selection

Demonstrated ability to meet Owner's programmed project vision, scope, budget, and schedule on previous projects. Previous experience compatible with the proposed project (e.g., type, size). Relevant past work of prospective firm's proposed consultants. Past performance of prospective firm and its proposed consultants. Qualifications and experience of individuals directly involved with the project. Proposer's previous experience (numbers of projects, sizes of projects) when working with its proposed consultants. Specification writing credentials and experience. Experience and capabilities of creating or using Critical Path Method (CPM) schedules and of using CPM schedules as a project management resource. Approach to and success of using partnering and Alternative Dispute Resolution. Proximity of prospective firms to the project site. Proposer's apparent resources and capacity to meet the needs of this project. The selected A/E and all its consultants must have the capability to use the Internet within their normal business location(s) during normal business hours.

Interested A/E firms are required to submit the Commitment to Participate in the EDGE Business Assistance Program form in its Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330) submitted in response to the RFQ, to indicate its intent to contract with and use EDGE-certified Business Enterprise(s), as a part of the A/E's team. The Intent to Contract and to Perform and / or waiver request letter and Demonstration of Good Faith Effort form(s) with complete documentation must be attached to the A/E's Technical Proposal. Both forms can be accessed via the SAO website at <http://ohio.gov/sao> (click on Forms). The Intent to Contract and to Perform form is again required at the Fee Proposal stage.

For all Statements of Qualifications, please identify the EDGE-certified Business Enterprises, by name, which will participate in the delivery of the proposed professional services solicited in the RFQ.

Prior to executing the Architect/Engineer Agreement, the selected A/E must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in O.R.C. Section 2909.33(C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that it has provided or shall provide such to the Contracting Authority and/or the Ohio Business Gateway (<https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc>).

H. Submittal Instructions

Firms are required to submit the current version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO website at <http://ohio.gov/sao> (click on Forms).

Paper copies of the Statement of Qualifications should be stapled only. Do not use special bindings or coverings of any type. Cover letters and transmittals are not necessary. Please submit paper copies on bond paper only; two sided printing is preferred.

Electronic submittals should be combined into one PDF file named with the project number listed on the RFQ and your firm's name. Use the "print" feature of Adobe Acrobat Professional or similar software for creating a PDF rather than using a scanner. If possible, please reduce the file size of the PDF. In Adobe Acrobat Professional, go to Advanced, then PDF Optimizer. Also, please label the CD and the CD cover with the project number and firm name.

Submit all questions regarding this RFQ in writing to Dick Planisek at planisek@ohio.edu with the project number included in the subject line (no phone calls please). Questions will be answered and posted to the OAKS Capital Improvements (OAKS CI) website at <http://ci.oaks.ohio.gov> on a regular basis until one week before the response deadline. The Question & Answer (Q&A) document can be found by downloading a new version of the RFQ or by clicking on the Q&A link to the right of the project listing. The name of the party submitting a question will not be included on the Q&A document.

Architect/Engineer Selection Rating

Ohio University
Ridges Building #19
Athens, Ohio 45701



www.facilities.ohiou.edu
Phone [740-593-2727]

Project Name Classroom & Office Building Renovations Proposer Firm _____
Project Number OHU-03242012 City, State, Zip _____

| Selection Criteria | | Value | Score |
|--|---|-----------------|-------|
| 1. A/E Firm Location (5 points) | | | |
| Proximity of primary A/E firm office where majority of work is to be performed in relationship to project site | Less than 100 miles | 4 - 5 | |
| | 100 to 250 miles | 2 - 3 | |
| | More than 250 miles | 0 - 1 | |
| 2. A/E Firm Size (5 points) | | | |
| Number of relevant licensed professionals within primary A/E firm available to perform the work. | Small = Less than 5 licensed professionals | 1 | |
| | Medium = 5 to 10 licensed professionals | 2 | |
| | Large = More than 10 licensed professionals | 3 | |
| 3. Current Workload (5 points) | | | |
| Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold) | Less than \$100,000 | 4 - 5 | |
| | \$100,000 to \$250,000 | 2 - 3 | |
| | More than \$250,000 | 0 - 1 | |
| 4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points) | | | |
| a. Project Management Lead | Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality | 0 - 10 | |
| b. Project Design Lead | Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner | 0 - 5 | |
| c. Technical Staff | Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents | 0 - 5 | |
| d. Construction Administration | Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction | 0 - 10 | |
| 5. A/E Consultant Qualifications (10 points) | | | |
| Key Discipline Leads | Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work | 0 - 10 | |
| 6. Project Team Qualifications (15 points) | | | |
| a. Previous Team Collaboration Number of projects that a majority of the team members have worked together | Less than 3 projects (Low) | 0 - 1 | |
| | 3 to 6 projects (Average) | 2 - 3 | |
| | More than 6 projects (High) | 4 - 5 | |
| b. LEED* Experience within Team | LEED AP(s)** on Team | 0 - 1 | |
| | LEED Registered Project(s) | 0 - 2 | |
| | LEED Certified Project(s) | 0 - 2 | |
| | Satisfies ALL above Criteria | Sum = 0 - 5 | |
| c. Team Organization | Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization | 0 - 5 | |
| 7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points) | | | |
| a. Budget and Schedule Management | Performance in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations | 0 - 5 | |
| b. Experience with Similar Project Type | Less than 3 projects (Low) | 0 - 3 | |
| | 3 to 6 projects (Average) | 4 - 6 | |
| | More than 6 projects (High) | 7 - 10 | |
| c. Past Performance | Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference | 0 - 5 | |
| d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process | Less than 5 projects (Low) | 0 - 3 | |
| | 5 to 10 projects (Average) | 4 - 6 | |
| | More than 10 projects (High) | 7 - 10 | |
| | | Subtotal | |

* LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council
** LEED AP = LEED Accredited Professional credential by the Green Building Certification Institute

Notes:

Evaluator:

Name _____

Signature _____ Date _____

Request for Qualifications (A/E)

Clark State Community College
570 East Leffel Lane
Springfield, Ohio 45505



Administration of Project: Local Administration

| | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Project Name | <u>Student Success Center</u> | Response Deadline | <u>April 18, 2012 4:00 pm, local time</u> |
| Project Location | <u>570 East Leffel Lane</u> | Project Number | <u>CLT-100020</u> |
| City / County | <u>Springfield / Clark County</u> | Project Manager | <u>Joseph R. Jackson</u> |
| Agency/Institution | <u>Clark State Community College</u> | Contracting Authority | <u>Clark State Community College</u> |
| No. of paper copies requested (stapled, not bound) | <u>4</u> | No. of electronic copies requested on CD (PDF) | <u>1</u> |

Submit the requested number of Statements of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) directly to Joseph R. Jackson, VP Business Affairs at Clark State Community College, 570 East Leffel Lane, Springfield, Ohio 45505 or electronically to jacksonj@clarkstate.edu. See Section H for additional submittal instructions.

Project Overview

A. Project Description

This project consists of renovating the first floor of two separate buildings and constructing a new building connecting the two existing buildings. The College undertook a space planning process that was completed in June 2011, to define potential functions to be housed in these areas. This plan suggested new construction of approximately 21,500 square feet, renovation in the LRC building of 9,700 square feet, and renovation in the Rhodes Hall building of 13,800 square feet.

Rhodes Hall – The bookstore, food service, and restrooms will be renovated.

LRC – This space housed the College's library before it was relocated. The renovation will convert this space into classrooms, labs, and faculty/administrative offices for the Business & Applied Technologies academic division and will also include updating restrooms.

New Construction (Student Success Center) – This could be a one-story or two-story structure connecting, in some fashion, to the LRC and to Rhodes Hall. A little more than half of the building will be dedicated to success center functions including retention, student services, student life, testing, developmental/language labs, and career management. The remaining portion will house the remaining space needed for the Business & Applied Technologies classrooms and labs.

The space planning study and other documents related to the function of the spaces in these buildings will be provided to the short-listed firms.

It is anticipated that the project delivery method will be single prime contractor.

B. Scope of Services

The consultant will work with College personnel to review and define the scope and extent of the various renovations/new construction required. The consultant will prepare a scope of work plan and cost projection for various components involved. Construction and bidding documents will be prepared with appropriate Owner reviews at typical intervals during the Design Phase. Since this project includes renovation in currently occupied buildings, certain elements of these buildings must be protected during renovation. No known hazardous materials are present in these buildings or on the site. Although LEED Certification is not a goal of the project, the consultant is expected to incorporate sustainable materials and practices throughout the project.

The selected Architect/Engineer (A/E), as a portion of its required Scope of Services and prior to submitting its proposals, will discuss and clarify with the Owner the cost breakdown of the Architect/Engineer Agreement detailed cost components to address the Owner's project requirements. Participation in the Encouraging Growth, Diversity & Equity (EDGE) is not a legal requirement but is a concept embraced and supported by the College.

As required by the Agreement, and as properly authorized, provide the following categories of services: Program Verification and Schematic Design. At the end of Schematic Design, a determination will be made as to whether there is sufficient funding to continue the project. If there is sufficient funding, the additional categories of services shall be

Request For Qualifications (A/E) continued



Project Name Student Success Center

Project Number CLT-100020

provided - Design Development, Construction Document Preparation, Bid and Award Support, Conformed Documents, Construction Phase, Post-Construction Phase, and Extra Services and Additional Services of all types. Refer to *The SAO Manual* for additional information about the type and extent of services required for each.

During the construction period, provide not less than 20 hours (excluding travel time) on-site construction administration services each week, including (1) attendance at progress meetings, (2) a written field report of each site visit, (3) on-site representation comprised of the A/E and its consultant staff involved in the primary design of the project, all having relevant and appropriate types of construction administration experience.

For purposes of completing the Relevant Project Experience Matrix in Section F of the Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330), below is a list of relevant scope of work requirements for this RFQ:

1. Building renovation
2. New construction
3. Connecting new construction to existing buildings
4. Higher education projects
5. Renovation of occupied structures
6. Engineered system – HVAC, plumbing, fire protection, electric
7. Sustainability elements
8. Bookstore/food service
9. Technology infrastructure/computer labs
10. Student lounge spaces
11. Furnishings, Fixtures and Equipment Consultant

C. Funding / Estimated Budget

| | | | |
|--------------------|---|---------------|--------------------|
| Total Project Cost | <u>\$5,400,000</u> | State Funding | <u>\$3,700,000</u> |
| Construction Cost | <u>\$4,600,000</u> | Other Funding | <u>\$1,700,000</u> |
| Estimated A/E Fee | <u>5.0% to 6.0% - The A/E fee must be shown for each category of service (i.e., Program Verification, Schematic Design, etc.)</u> | | |

NOTE: The A/E fee percentage for this project includes all professional design services, and consultant services necessary for proper completion of the Basic Services for the successful completion of the project, including but not limited to: review and verification of the Program of Requirements provided by the Owner, validation of existing site conditions (but not subsurface or hidden conditions), preparation of cost estimates and design schedules for the project. Fees may be negotiated and allocated for Additional Services (e.g., creation of a Program of Requirements, extensive evaluation or validation of site conditions, extensive pre-design investigations, code-required special inspection and testing, Quality Assurance testing during the construction period, and testing due to unforeseen conditions).

D. Services Required (see note below)

| | |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|
| Primary | <u>Architecture</u> |
| Secondary | <u>MEP Engineering</u> |
| | <u>Hazardous Materials Assessment</u> |
| | <u>Landscape Architecture</u> |
| | <u>Technology Consultant</u> |
| | <u>FF&E Consultant</u> |
| Others | <u></u> |

E. Anticipated Schedule

| | |
|--|------------------|
| A/E Services Start (mm/yy) | <u>06 / 2012</u> |
| Construction Contracts Start (mm/yy) | <u>03 / 2013</u> |
| Construction Contracts Completed (mm/yy) | <u>07 / 2014</u> |
| A/E Services Completed (mm/yy) | <u>09 / 2014</u> |

F. EDGE Participation Goal

| | |
|---|------------|
| Percent of <i>initial</i> TOTAL A/E Fee | <u>N/A</u> |
|---|------------|

NOTE: The primary A/E shall be (1) a registered architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, (2) a landscape architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Landscape Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, or (3) a professional engineer or professional surveyor holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Engineers and Surveyors Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4733.

Request For Qualifications (A/E) continued



Project Name Student Success Center

Project Number CLT-100020

G. Evaluation Criteria for Selection

Demonstrated ability to meet Owner's programmed project vision, scope, budget, and schedule on previous projects. Previous experience compatible with the proposed project (e.g., type, size). Relevant past work of prospective firm's proposed consultants. Past performance of prospective firm and its proposed consultants. Qualifications and experience of individuals directly involved with the project. Proposer's previous experience (numbers of projects, sizes of projects) when working with its proposed consultants. Specification writing credentials and experience. Experience and capabilities of creating or using Critical Path Method (CPM) schedules and of using CPM schedules as a project management resource. Approach to and success of using partnering and Alternative Dispute Resolution. Proximity of prospective firms to the project site. Proposer's apparent resources and capacity to meet the needs of this project. The selected A/E and all its consultants must have the capability to use the Internet within their normal business location(s) during normal business hours.

Prior to executing the Architect/Engineer Agreement, the selected A/E must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in O.R.C. Section 2909.33(C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that it has provided or shall provide such to the Contracting Authority and/or the Ohio Business Gateway (<https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc>).

H. Submittal Instructions

Firms are required to submit the current version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO website at <http://ohio.gov/sao> (click on Forms).

Paper copies of the Statement of Qualifications should be stapled only. Do not use special bindings or coverings of any type. Cover letters and transmittals are not necessary.

Electronic submittals should be combined into one PDF file named with the project number listed on the RFQ and your firm's name. Use the "print" feature of Adobe Acrobat Professional or similar software for creating a PDF rather than using a scanner. If possible, please reduce the file size of the PDF. In Adobe Acrobat Professional, go to Advanced, then PDF Optimizer. Also, please label the CD and the CD cover with the project number and firm name.

Submit all questions regarding this RFQ in writing to Joseph R. Jackson at jacksonj@clarkstate.edu with the project number included in the subject line (no phone calls please). Questions will be answered and posted to the OAKS Capital Improvements (OAKS CI) website at <http://ci.oaks.ohio.gov> on a regular basis until one week before the response deadline. The Question & Answer (Q&A) document can be found by downloading a new version of the RFQ or by clicking on the Q&A link to the right of the project listing. The name of the party submitting a question will not be included on the Q&A document.

Architect/Engineer Selection Rating

Clark State Community College
 570 East Leffel Lane
 Springfield, Ohio 45505



Project Name Student Success Center Proposer Firm _____
 Project Number CLT-100020 City, State, Zip _____

| Selection Criteria | | Value | Score |
|--|---|-----------------|-------|
| 1. A/E Firm Location (5 points) | | | |
| Proximity of primary A/E firm office where majority of work is to be performed in relationship to project site | Less than 30 miles | 4 - 5 | |
| | 30 to 60 miles | 2 - 3 | |
| | More than 60 miles | 0 - 1 | |
| 2. A/E Firm Size (5 points) | | | |
| Number of relevant licensed professionals within primary A/E firm available to perform the work. | Small = Less than 5 licensed professionals | 1 | |
| | Medium = 6 to 10 licensed professionals | 2 | |
| | Large = More than 10 licensed professionals | 3 | |
| 3. Current Workload (5 points) | | | |
| Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold) | Less than \$100,000 | 4 - 5 | |
| | \$100,000 to \$500,000 | 2 - 3 | |
| | More than \$500,000 | 0 - 1 | |
| 4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points) | | | |
| a. Project Management Lead | Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality | 0 - 10 | |
| b. Project Design Lead | Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner | 0 - 5 | |
| c. Technical Staff | Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents | 0 - 5 | |
| d. Construction Administration | Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction | 0 - 10 | |
| 5. A/E Consultant Qualifications (10 points) | | | |
| Key Discipline Leads | Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work | 0 - 10 | |
| 6. Project Team Qualifications (15 points) | | | |
| a. Previous Team Collaboration Number of projects that a majority of the team members have worked together | Less than 3 projects (Low) | 0 - 1 | |
| | 3 to 5 projects (Average) | 2 - 3 | |
| | More than 5 projects (High) | 4 - 5 | |
| b. LEED* Experience within Team | LEED AP(s)** on Team | 0 - 1 | |
| | LEED Registered Project(s) | 0 - 2 | |
| | LEED Certified Project(s) | 0 - 2 | |
| | Satisfies ALL above Criteria | Sum = 0 - 5 | |
| c. Team Organization | Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization | 0 - 5 | |
| 7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points) | | | |
| a. Budget and Schedule Management | Performance in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations | 0 - 5 | |
| b. Experience with Similar Project Type | Less than 3 projects (Low) | 0 - 3 | |
| | 3 to 6 projects (Average) | 4 - 6 | |
| | More than 6 projects (High) | 7 - 10 | |
| c. Past Performance | Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference | 0 - 5 | |
| d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process | Less than 5 projects (Low) | 0 - 3 | |
| | 5 to 10 projects (Average) | 4 - 6 | |
| | More than 10 projects (High) | 7 - 10 | |
| | | Subtotal | |

* LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council
 ** LEED AP = LEED Accredited Professional credential by the Green Building Certification Institute

Notes:

Evaluator:

Name _____

Signature _____ Date _____

Student Success Center Question and Answer List

Clark State Community College
570 East Leffel Lane
Springfield, Ohio 45505



www.clarkstate.edu
937-328-6003

| | | | |
|------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Project Name | <u>Student Success Center</u> | Project Number | <u>CLT-100020</u> |
| | <u>570 East Leffel Lane</u> | | |
| Project Location | <u>Springfield, Ohio 45505</u> | | |

Date posted: 4/9/12
Date revised: 4/12/12

Below are the questions that have been received to date for the RFQ of the above-referenced project:

1. Question – You made reference in the RFP of a space planning process which took place in June 2011. Did the school perform this study or hire an outside firm? If an outside firm, can you tell me who the firm is? Are they an architecture firm and are they allowed to submit for this RFP?
 - A. Answer – The College contracted with an outside firm, The Collaborative, Inc. They are an architecture firm and they are permitted to respond to this RFP.
2. Question – Will the selection of the food service consultant be made before or after the initial Program Verification and Schematic Design phase? Will the selection of the food service consultant be by the Owner, the architect, or jointly selected? If by the architect, is it required that the food service consultant be identified in the RFQ? In any event, will an RFQ from a food service consultant be accepted and considered by the Owner?
 - A. Answer – I would anticipate that the selection of the food service consultant will be made before the initial program verification. The architect should identify the consultant that will be a part of their team in their response to the RFQ. The College will not be directly contracting with a food service consultant.
3. Question – Could you clarify the submittal instructions stated on page 1 of the RFQ for the CLT-100020 Student Success Center for Clark State Community College? If we submit our Statement of Qualifications electronically by email (one optimized PDF file), does this mean that we do not have to mail 4 paper copies and 1 CD? I recently noticed this change on several other RFQ's, so I wasn't sure if the SAO and others were changing the way we can submit our qualifications by adding another option of email. "Section H. Submittal Instructions" on page 3 of the RFQ explains electronic submittals by CD, but doesn't mention anything about electronic submittals by email.
 - A. Answer – Please submit four paper copies and 1 CD of your Statement of Qualifications. Emails of this information will not be accepted.
4. Question – The scope of services notes "no known hazardous materials"; however, Hazardous Materials Assessment is called for under required services. Has a survey and assessment been performed for the areas of renovation? Do we need to include a hazardous materials consultant?
 - A. Answer – Neither a survey nor a Hazardous Materials Assessment has been performed for the site. A Hazardous Materials Assessment will need to be performed by the selected team. If that expertise is not available within the firm, then the expectation is that a hazardous materials consultant will need to be contracted with by the architecture firm.
5. Question – Has there been any design concepts/schematics developed or just a program and space plan? Was this done in house or with a consultant? Would there be a process for strategic planning, at the beginning of the design process that may adjust the outlined program or is what has been developed

Project Name Student Success Center

Project Number CLT-100020

as the primary direction just simply be documented and constructed? You state that no known hazardous materials exist but you also ask for a hazardous materials Assessment. Is there a need for this consultant in our submitted qualifications? Not knowing the extent of the exterior work would a civil engineer be required? We assume the fee target indicated is for base A/E fees only and that additional services would be as identified in your note but also would include the Hazardous materials, Technology and FF&E design discipline services as these are typically NOT part of base fee services.

- A. Answer – Our space planning process that culminated in June 2011 included a couple of design concepts showing possible footprints of a building and listing functions that might be included within the building. We utilized a consultant for the space planning process. There will be a process at the beginning of Design to validate previous discussions on program with interested constituents at the College that could result in adjusting the outlined program. Please reference Question 4 above (regarding hazardous materials) for a previous question related to this topic; we will not require this consultant to be named in the submitted qualifications. The project, as currently envisioned, will require some level of civil engineering. It will be up to the Architectural firm to decide whether they have this expertise in-house or will need to contract for same. This consultant would not be required to be named in the submitted qualifications. Even though the last sentence is not a question, we feel the necessity to provide a comment – It will be up to each architectural firm to decide how they will structure their team and the corresponding fees.
6. Question – What is the scope of work in regards to the food service part of the job? Does the renovation involve a kitchen, a dining area or both?
- A. Answer – The renovation involves both a kitchen and a dining area. We need to bring our dining facilities into the 21st century (from the 1980's). Our students deserve it.

Request for Qualifications (CMR)

Administration of Project: State Architect's Office

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| Project Name | <u>Brown County Campus</u> | Response Deadline | <u>5/8/2012</u> | <u>4:30 PM</u> | local time |
| Project Location | <u>State Route 32 and Brooks Mallot Road</u> | Project Number | <u>SOC-120001</u> | | |
| City / County | <u>Mt. Orab / Brown</u> | Project Manager | <u>Jeff Kring</u> | | |
| Agency/Institution | <u>Southern State Community College</u> | Contracting Authority | <u>State Architect's Office</u> | | |
| No. of paper copies requested (stapled, not bound) | <u>2</u> | No. of electronic copies requested on CD (PDF) | <u>1</u> | | |

Submit the requested number of Statements of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) directly to The State Architect's Office at 4200 Surface Road, Columbus, OH 43228-1395. See Section G for additional submittal instructions.

Project Overview

A. Project Description

In November 2011, Southern State Community College received approval to purchase a 63 acre parcel of land in Brown County to establish a campus in Mount Orab. The College has served Brown County for over 30 years and this new campus will replace existing facilities. The purpose of the new campus building is to continue the College's commitment to providing higher education services to a growing student population base in the Brown County area. The property is located at the northwest corner of State Route 32 and Brooks Mallot Road.

Local funding will provide for the design and construction of a 50,000 square foot academic building with appropriate academic support services, and the infrastructure necessary to open the new building by the fall of 2014. This project's development will acknowledge future utilization of the site for other college facilities and improvements, but is not considered development of a master plan.

This project will set the architectural tone for Southern State Community College's presence in Brown County.

The POR will be developed as a part of this project by the Architect/Engineer.

This project will utilize the Construction Manager at Risk project delivery method.

Professional Design Services are being acquired by the State Architect's Office under a separate contract.

All aspects of the project and related issues will be implemented and operated consistent with College policies and procedures.

B. Scope of Services

The selected Construction Manager (CM), as a portion of its required Scope of Services and prior to submitting its proposal, will discuss and clarify with the Owner and the State Architect's Office, the breakdown of the Construction Manager at Risk (CMR) Agreement detailed cost components, to address the Owner's project requirements and refine the project schedule.

As required by the Agreement, and as properly authorized, provide the following categories of services: provide constructability review comments on documents produced by the Architect/Engineer during the Schematic Design, Design Development, and Construction Document stages; develop and maintain estimates of probable construction cost, value engineering, project schedules, and construction schedules; lead and manage the Subcontract Prequalification and Bidding process, Construction and Closeout Stage. Refer to *The SAO Manual* for additional information about the type and extent of services required for each. A copy of the standard CMR agreement can be obtained at the State Architect's Office (SAO) website at <http://ohio.gov/sao> (click on Forms).

The preconstruction and construction services are generally described below. Subcontracts including but not limited to Plumbing, Fire Protection, HVAC, Electrical and AV/Technology will be awarded by the CM to prequalified vendors using a competitive process. The parties will engage in an "open book" pricing method in which all subcontracted work shall be based upon competitive pricing that will be reviewed by the Contracting Authority, the Owner, the applicable design professional and the Construction Manager. The State Architect's Office and the Owner shall have access to all books, records, documents and other data in the Construction Manager's possession related to itself, its subcontractors and material suppliers pertaining to bidding, pricing or performance of the CMR Agreement.

Request For Qualifications (CMR) continued

Project Name Brown County Campus

Project Number SOC-120001

Preconstruction Services: The Construction Manager will work cooperatively with the State Architect's Office, the Owner, Design Professionals and Project Team, and will provide, among other services, cost estimating, budgeting, value engineering, constructability review, scheduling and preconstruction planning throughout the preconstruction stages. When the drawings and specifications are at a stage of completion specified in the CMR Agreement, such partially completed documents (the "Basis Documents") shall be provided to the Construction Manager, together with the Design Professional's detailed listing of any material incomplete design elements and the Design Professional's statement of intended scope with respect to such incomplete elements (the "Design Intent Statement"). The Construction Manager shall submit to the State Architect's Office, the Owner and the Design Professional their proposed Guaranteed Maximum Price (the "Contract Sum") and its qualifications and assumptions based upon the Basis Documents and the Design Intent Statement. The Construction Manager, the State Architect's Office, the Owner and the Design Professional (along with selected engineers and consultants) shall meet to reconcile any questions, discrepancies or disagreements relating to the qualifications and assumptions, the Basis Documents or the Design Intent Statement. The reconciliation shall be documented by an addendum to the qualifications and assumptions that shall be approved in writing by the State Architect's Office, the Owner, the Design Professional and the Construction Manager. The Construction Manager shall then submit to the State Architect's Office, for approval, the Construction Manager's proposed final Contract Sum based upon the Basis Documents, the approved qualifications and assumptions and the Design Intent Statement. Contingent upon the State Architect's Office approval of the final Contract Sum, the parties will enter into an amendment to the CMR Agreement establishing the Contract sum. The final negotiated Contract Sum shall not exceed the Project Budget established for construction. If the proposed Contract Sum exceeds such budget, then the State Architect's Office may terminate its agreement with the Construction Manager and seek from other firms, bids for completion of the Project.

Construction Services: The Construction Manager shall construct the Project pursuant to the construction documents and in accordance with the schedule requirements. The Construction Manager shall hold all subcontracts and shall be fully responsible for the means and methods of construction, project safety, project completion within the schedule agreed upon in the preconstruction phase, compliance with all applicable laws and regulations including monitoring compliance with all EDGE, equal employment, and prevailing wage requirements, and submitting monthly reports of these activities to the State Architect's Office. All subcontracts shall be on the subcontract form prescribed by OAC Section 153:1-03-02. The State Architect's Office reserves the right to approve the Construction Manager's selection of subcontractors and any supplement terms to the form subcontract.

For purposes of completing the Relevant Project Experience Matrix in Section F of the Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330), below is a list of relevant scope of work requirements for this RFQ:

1. Construction Manager-at-Risk Preconstruction Services
2. Construction Manager-at-Risk Construction Services
3. Construction Manager-at-Risk Contract Sum (GMP) Pricing Experience
4. Constructability Review Experience
5. College Campus Site Development Experience
6. College or University Academic Building Construction Experience
7. Experience with Multi-GMP Phased Projects
8. LEED Experience
9. Experience with State of Ohio Capital Projects
10. OAKS CI Experience

C. Funding / Estimated Budget

| | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Total Project Cost | <u>\$10,000,000.00</u> | State Funding | <u>\$0</u> |
| Construction Cost | <u>\$7,420,750.00</u> | Other Funding | <u>\$10,000,000.00</u> |

D. Anticipated Schedule

| | |
|--|------------------|
| CM Services Start (mm/yy) | <u>06 / 2012</u> |
| Construction Contracts Start (mm/yy) | <u>09 / 2012</u> |
| CM Services Completed (mm/yy) | <u>07 / 2014</u> |
| Construction Contracts Completed (mm/yy) | <u>09 / 2014</u> |

E. EDGE Participation Goal

Percent of Total Construction Contracts Awarded 0%
 Percent of initial TOTAL CM Fee 0%

F. Evaluation Criteria for Selection

Selection Criteria:

The Construction Manager will be selected using (i) a qualification based selection process during the initial Request for Qualifications (RFQ) stage to develop a short list and (ii) a best value selection process during the final Request for Proposal stage for the final CM selection. The qualifications-based selection criteria for the RFQ is included in this announcement. The best value criteria used in evaluating proposals from the short listed firms will include such factors that are determined to derive or offer the greatest value to the State and the Owner, combining both qualifications and fee.

Short List:

Each firm responding to this RFQ will be evaluated and selected based on its qualifications and the qualifications and experience of the particular individuals identified as the candidate's proposed team for the Project. After evaluating the responses to this RFQ, the State Architect's Office will select a short list of no fewer than three candidates that it considers to be the most qualified, except if the State Architect's Office determines that fewer than three firms are qualified, it will only select the qualified firms.

Request for Proposal:

The short-listed firms shall be sent a Request for Proposal (RFP) that will invite the firms to submit pricing proposals containing their proposed preconstruction stage compensation fee, construction stage personnel costs, construction stage itemized general conditions cost percentage, construction stage contingency percentage and construction stage fee percentage. The short-listed candidates will also receive (i) a form of the CMR Agreement with the State Architect's Office (the CMR Agreement) containing the contract terms and conditions, (ii) a set of the most recent design documents and (iii) a proposed Project schedule.

Pre-Interview Meeting:

Prior to submitting a response to the RFP, the short-listed firms will be invited to meet individually with the State Architect's Office and the Owner. The purpose of the pre-interview meeting is to permit the short-listed firms to ask the State Architect's Office and the Owner questions in an individual setting to help the firms prepare their responses to the RFP. The pre-interview meeting will be held at the State Architect's Office. The State Architect's Office will notify each short-listed firm to schedule individual times for the pre-interview meetings.

Interview:

After submitting responses to the RFP, the short-listed firms will be interviewed by the State Architect's Office and representatives of the Owner. The purpose of the interview will be to meet the proposed Project team, become familiar with key personnel, and understand the project approach and ability to meet the stated objectives for the Project. Please be prepared to discuss with specificity the firm's capacity to conduct this work in compliance with the timetable, budget and EDGE expectations. The State Architect's will notify each short-listed firm to schedule individual times for the interviews.

Selection Schedule:

Tentative schedule is subject to change.

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| Qualifications Due | May 8, 2012 |
| RFP issued to the Short-Listed Firms | May 22, 2012 |
| Site Visit at SSCC Mt. Orab project site | May 24, 2012 |
| Pre-interview Meetings at SAO | May 29, 2012 |
| Proposals Due | June 5, 2012 |
| Interviews at SAO | June 12, 2012 |
| Selection of Construction Manager | June 12, 2012 |
| Controlling Board Meeting for approval of CMR Agreement | NA (Local Funding) |
| Controlling Board Meeting for approval of GMP Amendment | NA (Local Funding) |

Cancellation and Rejection:

The State Architect's Office reserves the right to reject all proposals and cancel at any time for any reason this solicitation, any portion of this solicitation or any phase of the Project. The State Architect's Office shall have no liability to any proposer arising out of such cancellation or rejection. The State Architect's Office reserves the right to waive minor variations in the selection process.

The EDGE participation goal for Southern State Community College is 0%, but the use of EDGE certified consultants is encouraged by Southern State Community College and the State Architect's Office.

For all Statements of Qualifications, please identify the EDGE-certified Business Enterprises, by name, which will participate in the delivery of the proposed professional services solicited in the RFQ.

Prior to executing the Construction Manager at Risk Agreement, the selected CM must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in O.R.C. Section 2909.33(C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that it has provided or shall provide such to the Contracting Authority and/or the Ohio Business Gateway (<https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc>).

G. Submittal Instructions

Firms are required to submit the current version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO website at <http://ohio.gov/sao> (click on Forms).

Paper copies of the Statement of Qualifications (if requested) should be stapled only. Do not use special bindings or coverings of any type. Cover letters and transmittals are not necessary.

Electronic submittals should be combined into one PDF file named with the project number listed on the RFQ and your firm's name. Use the "print" feature of Adobe Acrobat Professional or similar software for creating a PDF rather than using a scanner. If possible, please reduce the file size of the PDF. In Adobe Acrobat Professional, go to Advanced, then PDF Optimizer. Also, please name the PDF file and mark or label the CD and the CD cover with the project number and firm name.

Submit all questions regarding this RFQ in writing to The State Architect's Office at StateArchOff@das.state.oh.us with the project number included in the subject line (no phone calls please). Questions will be answered and posted to the OAKS Capital Improvements (OAKS CI) website at <http://ci.oaks.ohio.gov> on a regular basis until one week before the response deadline. The Question & Answer (Q&A) document can be found by downloading a new version of the RFQ or by clicking on the Q&A link to the right of the project listing. The name of the party submitting a question will not be included on the Q&A document.

Proposers are requested to submit the following information in response to this RFQ within **Section H** of SAO Form F110-330.

1. Summary: Provide a summary, on one page or less, describing why your firm/team is the most qualified for the Project.
2. Bonding/Insurance: Provide evidence of capacity to provide bonding in the amount of the project budget and a copy of the firm's certificate of insurance showing the firm's current limits of liability for commercial general liability, employer's liability, business automobile liability and professional liability.
3. Management Systems: Describe the scheduling and cost control systems the firm would propose to use for the Project
4. Self-Performed Work: Indicate whether the firm intends to self-perform any work on the Project through a competitive process and, if so, the nature of the work and capability to self-perform.
5. Estimating: Demonstrated track record of performance of in-house estimating on projects comparable to the Project.
6. Scheduling: Demonstrated track record of performance of managing projects to the original schedule.

CMR Selection Rating

Ohio Department of Administrative Services

General Services Division

State Architect's Office • 4200 Surface Road • Columbus, Ohio 43228-1395



<http://ohio.gov/sao>

Phone 614.466.4761

Project Name So. St. CC Brown County Campus Proposer Firm _____
 Project Number SOC-120001 City, State, Zip _____

| Selection Criteria | | Value | Score |
|---|---|-----------------|-------|
| 1. Primary CM Firm Location, Size, and Workload (Maximum 10 points) | | | |
| a. Proximity of primary CM firm's office where the majority of work will be performed to the principal project site | Less than 50 miles from project site | 4 - 5 | |
| | 50 miles to 100 miles from project site | 2 - 3 | |
| | More than 100 miles from project site | 0 - 1 | |
| b. Number of project managers / superintendents within primary CM firm available to perform the work (based on Part II of SAO F110-330) | Less than 5 project management staff | 1 | |
| | 5 to 10 project management staff | 3 | |
| | More than 10 project management staff | 2 | |
| c. Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary CM firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold) | Less than \$250,000 in previous 24 months | 2 | |
| | \$250,000 to \$500,000 in previous 24 months | 1 | |
| | More than \$500,000 in previous 24 months | 0 | |
| 2. Primary CM Qualifications (Maximum 30 points) | | | |
| a. Project Mgt. Lead (e.g., education, experience, credentials) | Experience / ability of project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality | 0 - 10 | |
| b. Project Administration Lead (e.g., awards, publications, appropriateness, innovation) | Experience / creativity of project admin staff to achieve owner's vision and requirements | 0 - 5 | |
| c. Technical Staff (e.g., BIM/CAD capabilities / scheduling / estimating, education, experience, CDT or CCS* credentials) | Experience / ability of technical staff to fully coordinate construction documents | 0 - 5 | |
| d. Construction Administration Staff (e.g., education, experience, CDT or CCCA* credentials) | Experience / ability of field representative to identify and solve issues during construction | 0 - 10 | |
| 3. Key Consultant Qualifications (Maximum 20 points) | | | |
| a. Key Consultants (e.g., civil, mechanical, or electrical engineering, specialty consultants) | Experience / ability of key consultants to perform effectively and collaboratively | 1 - 15 | |
| b. Proposed EDGE-certified Consultant Participation** (evidenced by fully executed Statements of Intent to Contract and Perform with relevant EDGE-certified consulting firms) | 2 percent more than advertised EDGE goal | 1 | |
| | 4 percent more than advertised EDGE goal | 2 | |
| | 6 percent more than advertised EDGE goal | 3 | |
| | 8 percent more than advertised EDGE goal | 4 | |
| | 10 percent more than advertised EDGE goal | 5 | |
| 4. Overall Team Qualifications (Maximum 10 points) | | | |
| a. Previous Collaboration of the Project Team (sample projects on which a significant number of individual team members have worked together) | Less than 4 sample projects | 1 | |
| | 4 to 6 sample projects | 2 | |
| | More than 6 sample projects | 3 | |
| b. LEED*** Training / Professional Accreditation (demonstrated either by the primary CM firm or relevant consultant) | LEED*** Credentials* (Maximum 3 points) | GA | 1 |
| | | AP | 2 |
| | | AP+ | 3 |
| c. LEED*** Registered / Certified Project Experience (demonstrated either by the primary CM firm or relevant consultant) | LEED*** Registered Projects (RP) or LEED*** Certified Projects (CP) (Maximum 2 points) | RP | 1 |
| | | CP | 2 |
| d. Team Organization (showed formal relationships between owner, contracting authority, consultants) | Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization | 0 - 2 | |
| 5. Overall Team Experience (Maximum 30 points) | | | |
| a. Past Performance of the Project Team (provided reference letters from sample project contacts) | Past performance as indicated by CM evaluations and letters of reference | 0 - 10 | |
| b. Experience with similar projects and anticipated project delivery method (e.g., Multiple-Prime, General Contracting, CM at Risk , Design-Build) | Less than 4 projects | 0 - 3 | |
| | 4 to 6 projects | 4 - 6 | |
| | More than 6 projects | 7 - 10 | |
| c. Budget and Schedule Management (included data on estimate versus bid and original contract sum & time versus change orders for sample projects) | Performance in completing projects within original construction budget and schedule | 0 - 5 | |
| d. Knowledge of Ohio Capital Improvements Process (e.g., experience following <i>The SAO Manual</i> , the Standard Requirements, and O.R.C. Chapter 153) | Less than 2 projects | 0 - 1 | |
| | 2 to 3 projects | 2 - 3 | |
| | More than 4 projects | 4 - 5 | |
| * Refer to list of applicable credentials in Section H of the RFQ ** Must be comprised of consulting firm(s) and NOT the primary CM firm *** Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design administered by the Green Building Certification Institute | | Subtotal | |

Notes:

Evaluator:

Name _____

Signature _____ Date _____

Brown County Campus Question and Answer List



Ohio Department of Administrative Services
General Services Division

www.ohio.gov/sao
e: StateArchOff@das.state.oh.us
v: 614.466.4761 ▪ f: 614.644.7982

State Architect's Office ▪ 4200 Surface Road ▪ Columbus, Ohio 43228-1395

Project Name Brown County Campus Project Number SOC-120001
Project Location Southern State Community College

Date posted: May 3, 2012
Date revised: N/A

Below are the questions that have been received to date for the RFQ of the above-referenced project:

1. The selected Construction Manager (CM), as a portion of its required Scope of Services and prior to submitting its proposal, will discuss and clarify with the Owner and the State Architect's Office, the breakdown of the Construction Manager at Risk (CMR) Agreement detailed cost components, to address the Owner's project requirements and refine the project schedule." – Is it anticipated that this discussion and clarification will take place during the pre-RFP interviews scheduled to take place at the SAO on 5/29/12?
 - A. The line above shall read: The short-listed forms, prior to submitting their proposal, will discuss and clarify with the Owner and the State Architect's Office, the breakdown of the Construction Manager at Risk (CMR) Agreement detailed cost components, to address the Owner's project requirements and refine the project schedule. This discussion and clarification should be a part of the Pre-RFP interviews currently scheduled to take place on May 29, 2012
2. I am having difficulty finding the CMR agreement in the 'Forms' section of the website. Can you clarify its location? Also, are there any special or supplemental conditions to this contract?
 - A. Go to the State Architects Office website at <http://ohio.gov/sao>. On the right-hand column, click "Standard Requirements." Then scroll down to "Standard Requirements – Construction Manager at Risk." All of the documents listed under this heading pertain to CMR. The CMR Agreement is specifically M170-01-00 52 23-CF Document 00 52 23 - Agreement (CM At Risk)
3. We are interested in providing our qualification/proposal for the CM @ Risk project for the Southern State Community College.
 - A. Go to the State Architects Office website at <http://ohio.gov/sao>. On the right-hand column, click "Current RFQs" then click on Project Number SOC-120001.
4. Key Consultants (e.g., civil, mechanical, or electrical engineering, specialty consultants) - According to the evaluation matrix, we earn criteria points by including such firms on our team. It appears as though this criterion might have been intended for design services. Please confirm it applies to our scope of services. If it does, and our firm has the capacity to provide these services in-house, will we still be required to engage consultants to score appropriately in this category?
 - A. Better examples of "Key Consultants" for the CMR Rating could include scheduling, cost estimating and constructability, etc. If your firm will not use consultants for this work, then make it clear in your Statement of Qualifications how these services will be provided.

Question and Answer List continued

Project Name Brown County Campus – Southern State Community College

Project Number SOC-120002

- B.
5. Proposed EDGE-certified Consultant Participation (evidenced by fully executed Statements of Intent to Contract and Perform with relevant EDGE-certified consulting firms) – We anticipate achieving EDGE participation via subcontractor selection that will take place at a later stage. Also, the RFQ currently noted EDGE participation goal is 0%. Given these facts, how will this portion of the RFQ be scored during the evaluation process?
- A. Although the EDGE participation goal is 0%, the State is encouraging EDGE participation. Points can be earned by an EDGE-certified firm or by using EDGE-certified Consultants to increase EDGE participation above the 0% advertised goal as indicated on the CMR Selection rating sheet.

Request for Qualifications (A/E)

Bowling Green State University |
Office of Design and Construction |
1010 Administration Building Bowling Green, Ohio 43403-0390



www.bgsu.edu/offices/architect/index.html@bgsu.edu
Phone: 419.372.2511 • f: 419.372.2513

Administration of Project: Local Administration

| | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Project Name | BGSU Firelands Campus New Allied Health Sciences Building | Response Deadline | 4/27/2012 | 3:30 p.m. | local time |
| Project Location | BGSU Firelands Campus | Project Number | BGU-125778 | | |
| City / County | Huron / Erie | Project Manager | Robert J. Boucher | | |
| Agency/Institution | Bowling Green State University | Contracting Authority | Bowling Green State University | | |
| No. of paper copies requested (stapled, not bound) | 3 | No. of electronic copies requested on CD (PDF) | 1 | | |

Submit the requested number of Statements of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) directly to Beth Nagel at 103 B Park Ave. Warehouse and/or electronically to bnagel@bgsu.edu. See Section H for additional submittal instructions.

Project Overview

A. Project Description

The purpose of this proposal is to obtain professional architectural/engineering services, design through construction, plus commissioning. Design services for possible LEED certification, at a level to be determined, are to be included. The project is comprised of constructing a new two story 40,500 GSF Allied Health and Human Services Building with 12,500 GSF devoted to Allied Health program needs and support spaces and 28,000 GSF devoted to General Sciences program needs and support spaces. Additionally, re-purposing the existing lab spaces in the existing West Building slated to be vacated through relocation to the new building on the BGSU Firelands Campus is included. 13,600 GSF of existing space within the existing 60,000 GSF West Building is to be renovated to accommodate 7 new classrooms, office space, an expanded Nursing program and a relocated Geology and Astronomy program.

This building, as all new capital improvements for BGSU, will be constructed as a high performance design, and is an opportunity to employ both high and low tech sustainable solutions to maximize the long term efficiency of the building while minimizing its operational costs. BGSU is dedicated to creating a sustainable future, and the capital improvements made provide a meaningful way to both practice and showcase these initiatives. As a Health Sciences building, this design has an opportunity to create a connection between a health-focused curriculum and creating a healthy environment in which to learn.

By minimizing the impact of the development on the site, making smart material choices, and using them in a straightforward and uncomplicated way, and designing the building systems to maximize efficiency and minimize waste over the long term, this building can achieve a high level of aesthetic and technical performance.

Today, a growing need for health professionals places the BGSU Firelands Campus in a strategic position to enhance the core and specialized health curriculum currently offered in this region.

B. Scope of Services

The associate shall provide all required architectural, mechanical, electrical, and any other design and testing services required plus drawings and specifications for the scope of work. In addition to this, the associate shall provide commissioning and measurement and verification services. (Note: Fees for Schematic Design Services plus development of marketing/renderings/models for fund raising only are available at this time. Finalization of all remaining services contingent upon future funding approval) The selected associate will be required to integrate the BGSU Campus Utility Master Plan/Energy Audit/Energy Design Standards into the design process.

For projects advertised with an appropriately developed Program of Requirements (POR), upon award of the Agreement, commence with Design. For projects without such a POR, upon award of the Agreement, commence by developing the Program of Requirements.

The selected Architect/Engineer (A/E), as a portion of its required Scope of Services and prior to submitting its proposals, will discuss and clarify with the Owner, the cost breakdown of the Architect/Engineer Agreement detailed cost components to address the Owner's project requirements. Participate in the Encouraging Growth, Diversity & Equity (EDGE) Program as required by statute and the Agreement.

Request For Qualifications (A/E) continued



Project Name BGSU Firelands Campus New Allied Health Sciences Building

Project Number BGU-125778

As required by the Agreement, and as properly authorized, provide the following categories of services: Schematic Design only at his time. Design Development, Construction Document Preparation, Bid and Award Support, Conformed Documents, Construction Phase, Post-Construction Phase, and Extra Services and Additional Services of all types to be provided at a later date pending funding availability for construction. Design fees for Schematic Design will be contracted with the selected design consultant at this time with the option to pick up all other services later. Refer to *The SAO Manual* for additional information about the type and extent of services required for each.

During the construction period, provide not less than 16 hours (excluding travel time) on-site construction administration services each week, including (1) attendance at progress meetings, (2) a written field report of each site visit, (3) on-site representation comprised of the A/E and its consultant staff involved in the primary design of the project, all having relevant and appropriate types of construction administration experience.

For purposes of completing the Relevant Project Experience Matrix in Section F of the Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330), below is a list of relevant scope of work requirements for this RFQ:

1. Higher education institutional experience – preferably State supported universities in Ohio.
2. Familiarity with Ohio SAO requirements and procedures – design through construction.
3. University health sciences type building architectural and teaching lab design.
4. University health sciences type building energy efficient HVAC and lab hood design plus commissioning.
5. University health sciences type building HVAC controls/building automation design and integration with existing Campus system.
6. Extensive experience with accurate project budgeting and scheduling.
7. University type existing space renovations within occupied buildings.
8. Extensive knowledge and project experience with the LEED certification process.

C. Funding / Estimated Budget

| | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Total Project Cost | <u>\$15,750,000</u> | State Funding | <u>\$4,700,000</u> |
| Construction Cost | <u>\$11,241,386</u> | Other Funding | <u>\$11,050,000</u> |
| Estimated A/E Fee | <u>6.5% to 8%</u> | | |

NOTE: The A/E fee percentage for this project includes all professional design services, and consultant services necessary for proper completion of the Basic Services for the successful completion of the project, including but not limited to: review and verification of the Program of Requirements provided by the Owner, validation of existing site conditions (but not subsurface or hidden conditions), preparation of cost estimates and design schedules for the project. Fees may be negotiated and allocated for Additional Services (e.g., creation of a Program of Requirements, extensive evaluation or validation of site conditions, extensive pre-design investigations, code-required special inspection and testing, Quality Assurance testing during the construction period, and testing due to unforeseen conditions).

D. Services Required (see note below)

| | |
|-----------|---|
| Primary | <u>Architectural including lab consultant</u> |
| Secondary | <u>Mechanical and DDC Controls</u> |
| | <u>Electrical</u> |
| | <u>Civil/Structural</u> |
| | <u>Commissioning</u> |
| | <u>Fire Protection</u> |
| | <u>IT Design</u> |
| Others | <u>Landscape</u> |

E. Anticipated Schedule

| | |
|---|------------------|
| A/E Services Start (mm/yy) | <u>07 / 2012</u> |
| Construction Contracts Start (mm/yy) | <u>TBD</u> |
| Construction Contracts Completed (mm/yy) | <u>TBD</u> |
| A/E Services Completed (mm/yy) (Schematic Design only) | <u>10 / 2012</u> |

F. EDGE Participation Goal

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Percent of <i>initial</i> TOTAL A/E Fee | <u>5%</u> |
|---|-----------|

NOTE: The primary A/E shall be (1) a registered architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, (2) a landscape architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Landscape Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, or (3) a professional engineer or professional surveyor holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Engineers and Surveyors Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4733.

Request For Qualifications (A/E) continued

Project Name BGSU Firelands Campus New Allied Health Sciences Building

Project Number BGU-125778

G. Evaluation Criteria for Selection

Demonstrated ability to meet Owner's programmed project vision, scope, budget, and schedule on previous projects. Previous experience – (minimum 7 years related to educational health care/lab facilities) compatible with the proposed project (e.g., type, size). Relevant past work of prospective firm's proposed consultants. Past performance of prospective firm and its proposed consultants. Qualifications and experience of individuals directly involved with the project. Proposer's previous experience (numbers of projects, sizes of projects) when working with its proposed consultants. Specification writing credentials and experience. Experience and capabilities of creating or using Critical Path Method (CPM) schedules and of using CPM schedules as a project management resource. Approach to and success of using partnering and Alternative Dispute Resolution. Proximity of prospective firms to the project site. Proposer's apparent resources and capacity to meet the needs of this project. The selected A/E and all its consultants must have the capability to use the Internet within their normal business location(s) during normal business hours.

Interested A/E firms are required to submit the Commitment to Participate in the EDGE Business Assistance Program form in its Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330) submitted in response to the RFQ, to indicate its intent to contract with and use EDGE-certified Business Enterprise(s), as a part of the A/E's team. The Intent to Contract and to Perform and / or waiver request letter and Demonstration of Good Faith Effort form(s) with complete documentation must be attached to the A/E's Technical Proposal. Both forms can be accessed via the SAO website at <http://ohio.gov/sao> (click on Forms). The Intent to Contract and to Perform form is again required at the Fee Proposal stage.

For all Statements of Qualifications, please identify the EDGE-certified Business Enterprises, by name, which will participate in the delivery of the proposed professional services solicited in the RFQ.

Prior to executing the Architect/Engineer Agreement, the selected A/E must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in O.R.C. Section 2909.33(C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that it has provided or shall provide such to the Contracting Authority and/or the Ohio Business Gateway (<https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc>).

H. Submittal Instructions

Firms are required to submit the current version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO website at <http://ohio.gov/sao> (click on Forms).

Paper copies of the Statement of Qualifications should be stapled only. Do not use special bindings or coverings of any type. Cover letters and transmittals are not necessary.

Electronic submittals should be combined into one PDF file named with the project number listed on the RFQ and your firm's name. Use the "print" feature of Adobe Acrobat Professional or similar software for creating a PDF rather than using a scanner. If possible, please reduce the file size of the PDF. In Adobe Acrobat Professional, go to Advanced, then PDF Optimizer. Also, please label the CD and the CD cover with the project number and firm name.

Submit all questions regarding this RFQ in writing to **Beth Nagel** at bnagel@bgsu.edu with the project number included in the subject line (no phone calls please). Questions will be answered and posted to the OAKS Capital Improvements (OAKS CI) website at <http://ci.oaks.ohio.gov> on a regular basis until one week before the response deadline. The Question & Answer (Q&A) document can be found by downloading a new version of the RFQ or by clicking on the Q&A link to the right of the project listing. The name of the party submitting a question will not be included on the Q&A document.

A/E Selection Rating

Bowling Green State University |
Office of Design and Construction |
1010 Administration Building Bowling Green, Ohio 43403-0390



www.bgsu.edu/offices/architect/index.html@bgsu.edu
Phone: 419.372.2511 • f: 419.372.2513

Project Name BGSU Firelands Campus New Allied Health Sciences Building Proposer Firm _____
Project Number BGU-125778 City, State, Zip _____

| Selection Criteria | | Value | Score |
|--|---|-----------------|-------|
| 1. A/E Firm Location (5 points) | | | |
| Proximity of primary A/E firm office where majority of work is to be performed in relationship to project site | Less than 50 miles | 4 - 5 | |
| | 50 to 250 miles | 2 - 3 | |
| | More than 250 miles | 0 - 1 | |
| 2. A/E Firm Size (5 points) | | | |
| Number of relevant licensed professionals within primary A/E firm available to perform the work. | Small = Less than 5 licensed professionals | 3 | |
| | Medium = 5 to 10 licensed professionals | 5 | |
| | Large = More than 11 licensed professionals | 4 | |
| 3. Current Workload (5 points) | | | |
| Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold) | Less than \$100,000 | 4 - 5 | |
| | \$100,000 to \$1,000,000 | 2 - 3 | |
| | More than \$1,000,000 | 0 - 1 | |
| 4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points) | | | |
| a. Project Management Lead | Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality | 0 - 10 | |
| b. Project Design Lead | Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner | 0 - 5 | |
| c. Technical Staff | Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents | 0 - 5 | |
| d. Construction Administration | Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction | 0 - 10 | |
| 5. A/E Consultant Qualifications (10 points) | | | |
| Key Discipline Leads | Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work | 0 - 10 | |
| 6. Project Team Qualifications (15 points) | | | |
| a. Previous Team Collaboration Number of projects that a majority of the team members have worked together | Less than 5 projects (Low) | 0 - 1 | |
| | 5 to 10 projects (Average) | 2 - 3 | |
| | More than 10 projects (High) | 4 - 5 | |
| b. LEED* Experience within Team | LEED AP(s)** on Team | 0 - 1 | |
| | LEED Registered Project(s) | 0 - 2 | |
| | LEED Certified Project(s) | 0 - 2 | |
| | Satisfies ALL above Criteria | Sum = 0 - 5 | |
| c. Team Organization | Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization | 0 - 5 | |
| 7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points) | | | |
| a. Budget and Schedule Management | Performance in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations | 0 - 5 | |
| b. Experience with Similar Project Type | Less than 5 projects (Low) | 0 - 3 | |
| | 5 to 10 projects (Average) | 4 - 6 | |
| | More than 10 projects (High) | 7 - 10 | |
| c. Past Performance | Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference | 0 - 5 | |
| d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process | Less than 5 projects (Low) | 0 - 3 | |
| | 5 to 10 projects (Average) | 4 - 6 | |
| | More than 10 projects (High) | 7 - 10 | |
| | | Subtotal | |

* LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council
** LEED AP = LEED Accredited Professional credential by the Green Building Certification Institute

Notes:

Evaluator:

Name _____

Signature _____ Date _____

Request for Qualifications for Construction Manager at Risk



Division of Administration and Finance
Planning + Design + Construction
P.O. Box 210186 Cincinnati, Ohio 45221-0186

www.uc.edu/af/pdc/
v: 513.558.8999 • f: 513.556.4885

A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The University of Cincinnati (the 'Owner') is requesting interested firms to submit qualifications to provide pre-construction and construction services for the project described below. This project will be constructed using the construction manager at risk project delivery format utilizing "best value" means of selection in a two step process. The Construction Manager at Risk form of agreement and related documents can be accessed via the State Architect's Office website at <http://ohio.gov/sao>.

MSB REHABILITATION PHASE 4
UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI
231 Albert Sabin Way
Cincinnati, OH 45267
UC PROJECT NUMBER; UCN-04132B

Construction Budget \$45,000,000
Construction Duration: June 2013 through December 2014
Architect of Record: BHDP Architecture
Engineer: CUH2A, a division of HDR

The MSB Rehabilitation Phase 4 is the last phase of a multi-phased project to upgrade and rehabilitate the Medical Sciences Building, an 815,000+ GSF building that consists of teaching facilities, research laboratories, lab support, classrooms, offices, and administrative spaces for the College of Medicine. This final phase of the MSB Rehabilitation covers approximately 40% of the total square footage within MSB and rehabilitates space from E level to level 7 in the southeast quadrant and from Level 3 to Level 7 in the southwest quadrant as well as the southern half of the penthouse. The primary focus of this renovation is MEP infrastructure replacement and code related additions/upgrades to the mechanical, electrical, plumbing and fire protection systems with a secondary focus on finish upgrades in the southeast quadrant along with specific public spaces. Portions of the demolition will include abatement of hazardous materials.

Access to the site will be limited and require extensive coordination. All noise related activities will be performed off hours. Temporary dust and sound barricades will be required on each level of the building separating the construction work areas from the occupied space. Indoor air quality is a priority to the University. The existing building occupants within the construction area of the building will be vacated prior to commencement of the work; however, the public corridors and remainder of the building will remain occupied.

Since this is the final phase of the project, the design team is already in place and has been involved with the two prior phases of work that are presently under construction. Currently the design team is 90% complete with the construction documents for Phase 4.

The Construction Manager shall be selected using a two-step “best value” selection process consisting of a qualifications phase and a request for proposal phase.

B. QUALIFICATION PHASE

Qualifications include: competence to perform the required management services; ability in terms of workload and the availability of qualified personnel; past performance as reflected by the evaluations by previous clients with respect to factors such as control of costs, quality of work, schedule; financial responsibility, including evidence of the capability to provide a surety bond equal to one hundred per cent of the contract sum; history of performance of meeting goals of diversity (EDGE); LEED Certification; and other qualifications that are consistent with the scope of this project.

Firms responding to this RFQ will be evaluated and selected based on their qualifications and the qualifications of the individuals identified as the firm’s proposed team for the project. The Owner will select no fewer than three firms which it considers most qualified to provide the required services. However, if the Owner determines that fewer than three firms are qualified, it will only select the qualified firms for short-listing.

C. REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL PHASE

The Owner will provide the short-listed firms a Request for Proposal (‘RFP’) that will contain a description of the project, including a statement of available design detail; a description of preconstruction services; the form of construction management contract; a description of how the Guaranteed Maximum Price (‘GMP’) for the project will be determined, and a request for a pricing and technical proposal.

Each short-listed firm responding to the RFP shall include at least the following in its pricing proposal: (a) a list of key personnel and role each will serve on the project; (b) a statement of the general conditions and contingency requirements; (c) a fee proposal for the preconstruction phase, construction phase, and the portion of the construction fee to be at risk in the GMP.

Each short-listed firm responding to the RFP shall include at least the following in its technical proposal: (a) a project-specific plan; (b) the identity of the proposed team; (c) the project-specific approach to deliver the expected services, and; (d) performance criteria including (1) schedule, (2) approach to the work, identifying anticipated self-performed work, (3) work sequencing, (4) performance history, (5) approaches to performance specifications, (6) plan for meeting EDGE and LEED Certification, and (7) any additional considerations.

Prior to the submission of technical and pricing proposals, the Owner may meet individually with each short-listed firm to permit the firm to ask questions regarding the proposal requirements.

D. SUBMITTAL AND COMMUNICATION INSTRUCTIONS

Firms responding to this RFQ are strictly prohibited from communicating informally with any member of the Owner's staff. All questions should be directed in writing to Zondra Hall at zondra.hall@uc.edu with the project name and number. Questions will be answered in writing until one week before the time of proposal submittal.

Six (6) paper copies and one (1) electronic copy must be received at the below address by 2:00 PM eastern time, Thursday, May 17, 2012.

University of Cincinnati
Department of Purchasing
University Hall, Suite 320
51 Goodman Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45221-0089

Firms are requested to submit the following information in response to this RFQ:

1. Current version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO Web site at <http://www.ohio.gov/sao> (click on Forms).
2. Competence to perform the required management services as indicated by the resumes of the employees who would be assigned to this project.
3. Ability in terms of workload and the availability of qualified personnel, equipment, and facilities to perform the required management services competently and expeditiously, including experience working on similar types of projects.
4. Past experience as reflected by the evaluation of previous clients with respect to control of cost, quality of work, dispute resolution, meeting deadlines, and the administration of subcontractors.
5. Financial responsibility including evidence of the ability to provide a surety bond equal to 100 percent of the contract sum.
6. History of meeting goals of diversity or any other inclusion program.
7. Other qualifications consistent with the scope and needs of this project including, but not limited to, knowledge of the local area and working relationships with local subcontractors and suppliers.
8. Prior construction experience working with the following: (a) University of Cincinnati projects; (b) research/hospital lab projects; (c) occupied research/hospital projects; (d) projects located on compact urban sites; (e) projects served by central utility plants; (f) other State of Ohio projects, and (g) other University projects.

The Owner reserves the right to modify or waive minor variations in the selection process and the right to accept or reject any or all proposals in whole or in part.

Request for Qualifications (A/E)

Ohio Department of Natural Resources
2045 Morse Road, Bldg. E-3
Columbus, Ohio 43229-6693



www.dnr.state.oh.us
Phone 614.265.6948

Administration of Project: Ohio Department of Natural Resources

| | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Project Name | <u>Shooting Range Improvements</u> | Response Deadline | <u>May 21, 2012 4:30 p.m. local time</u> |
| Project Location | <u>Statewide</u> | Project Number | <u>DNR-120034</u> |
| City / County | <u>Columbus / Franklin</u> | Project Manager | <u>Jeremy Wenner, P.E.</u> |
| Agency/Institution | <u>Division of Engineering</u> | Contracting Authority | <u>Division of Engineering</u> |
| No. of paper copies requested (stapled, not bound) | <u>Three (3)</u> | No. of electronic copies requested on CD (PDF) | <u>One (1)</u> |

Submit the requested number of Statements of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) directly to [Beth Pratt at 2045 Morse Road Bldg. E-3, Columbus, Ohio 43229-6693]. See Section H for additional submittal instructions.

Project Overview

A. Project Description

Professional engineering services are requested to provide for the assessment, design, preparation of bidding documents and construction administration of improvements to various outdoor Wildlife Area Shooting Ranges owned and operated by the ODNR Division of Wildlife. The items of work shall include, but not be limited to, design of new and rehabilitation of existing rifle, pistol, shotgun and/or archery ranges, reclamation of lead at the ranges, providing bullet containment systems, rehabilitation and/or replacement of earthen backstops, improvements to site drainage, access, parking, security and other buildings and facilities.

B. Scope of Services

The selected firm shall perform necessary site investigations, surveys and assessments to complete design of desired improvements to identified Shooting Ranges statewide. The information shall be used to prepare preliminary design and cost estimates. Upon ODNR approval and authorization, the selected firm shall proceed with obtaining all permits, final design development, estimates of probable construction costs, preparation of bidding documents for construction, and construction administration services.

For purposes of completing the Relevant Project Experience Matrix in Section F of the Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330), below is a list of relevant scope of work requirements for this RFQ:

1. Experience in field inspections and evaluation of similar facilities.
2. Knowledge and experience in design of new and improvements to shooting ranges, including, but not limited to, bullet containment systems, target systems, automatic clay pigeon throwing equipment and backstop drainage systems.
3. Knowledge and experience in embankment stability, soil drainage criteria, general excavation and grading.
4. Knowledge and experience in public facility design and ADA compliance, including restrooms, moveable buildings and range access.
5. Knowledge and experience in facility security, including video surveillance equipment.
6. Knowledge and experience in environmental rehabilitation, to include lead recovery.
7. Knowledge and experience with environmental regulatory compliance pertaining to permitting and closure of existing shooting areas.

C. Funding / Estimated Budget

| | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Total Project Cost | <u>\$ To Be Determined</u> | State Funding | <u>\$ 100% of Project Costs</u> |
| Construction Cost | <u>\$ To Be Determined</u> | Other Funding | <u>\$0.00</u> |
| Estimated A/E Fee | <u>5% to 10%</u> | | |

NOTE: The estimated fee percentage for this project includes all professional design services and subconsultant services necessary for providing for the successful completion of the project as defined in the contract. Fees may be negotiated and allocated for additional services (via supplemental agreements) as necessary.



Request For Qualifications (A/E) continued

Project Name ODNR Statewide Shooting Range Improvements

Project Number DNR-120034

D. Services Required (see note below)

| | |
|-----------|----------------------------------|
| Primary | <u>Civil Engineering</u> |
| Secondary | <u>Environmental Engineering</u> |
| | <u>Surveying</u> |
| | <u>:</u> |
| | <u></u> |
| Others | <u>Shooting Range Systems</u> |

E. Anticipated Schedule

| | |
|--|----------------|
| A/E Services Start (mm/yy) | <u>11 / 12</u> |
| Construction Contracts Start (mm/yy) | <u>06 / 13</u> |
| Construction Contracts Completed (mm/yy) | <u>06 / 15</u> |
| A/E Services Completed (mm/yy) | <u>08 / 15</u> |

F. EDGE Participation Goal

| | |
|---|------------|
| Percent of <i>initial</i> TOTAL A/E Fee | <u>5 %</u> |
|---|------------|

NOTE: The primary A/E shall be (1) a registered architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, (2) a landscape architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Landscape Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, or (3) a professional engineer or professional surveyor holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Engineers and Surveyors Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4733.

G. Evaluation Criteria for Selection

Previous experience, similar in size and scope to the proposed project, including relevant past work of the prospective firm's proposed staff, sub-consultants and/or other team members; appropriate qualifications of proposed project team members; appropriate size of team for the project; proximity of prospective firm to the office of the project manager; past performance of prospective firm and team for ODNR and others; and dollar value of ODNR and State of Ohio contracts in the previous three years.

All firms must provide the SAO Form #F110-330 Parts I and II. Please note that ODNR no longer requests additional supplemental information along with the SAO Form #F110-330. Please contact Beth Pratt, P.E., with the ODNR Division of Engineering at 614/265-6957, if you have any questions on the format or process for the submission of the RFQ.

Firms responding to this Request for Qualifications are required to submit the Commitment to Participate in the EDGE Business Assistance Program form in its Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330) submitted in response to the RFQ, to indicate its intent to contract with and use of EDGE-certified Business Enterprise(s), as a part of the proposed team. The Intent to Contract and to Perform and / or waiver request letter and Demonstration of Good Faith Effort form(s) with complete documentation will be required at the Fee Proposal stage. Both forms can be accessed via the SAO website at <http://ohio.gov/sao> (click on Forms).

In accordance with Section 9.24 of the Ohio Revised Code, ODNR is prohibited from awarding a contract for goods, services, or construction, paid for in whole or in part with state funds, to a person against whom a finding for recovery has been issued by the auditor of state, if the finding for recovery is unresolved. In preparing a short list recommendation for this project, ODNR shall verify each consultant's compliance with the requirements of Section 9.24.

Prior to executing a Contract, the selected firm must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in O.R.C. Section 2909.33(C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List (found on the Ohio Homeland Security web site at www.homelandsecurity.ohio.gov/dma.asp) and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that shall provide such to ODNR.

H. Submittal Instructions

Firms are required to submit the current version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO website at <http://ohio.gov/sao> (click on Forms).

Paper copies of the Statement of Qualifications should be stapled only. Do not use special bindings or coverings of any type. Cover letters and transmittals are not necessary.

Electronic submittals should be combined into one PDF file named with the project number listed on the RFQ and your firm's name. Use the "print" feature of Adobe Acrobat Professional or similar software for creating a PDF rather than using a scanner. If possible, please reduce the file size of the PDF. In Adobe Acrobat Professional, go to Advanced, then PDF Optimizer. Also, please label the CD and the CD cover with the project number and firm name.

Selection of Engineering, Architectural, Landscape Architectural, and Land Surveying Firms

**Ohio Department of Natural Resources
Division of Engineering
Evaluation of Statements of Interest**

PROJECT: STATEWIDE SHOOTING RANGE IMPROVEMENTS

PROJECT NUMBER: DNR- 120034

FIRM: _____

EVALUATORS: _____

CITY, STATE: _____

EVALUATION DATE: _____

| | | Value | Rating | Weight | Score | |
|---|--|---|--------|-----------|----------|---|
| A. FIRM'S LOCATION Proximity of office where consultant's project manager will be located to Fountain Square. _____ miles (Estimated) | 0-50 miles | 5 | | | 0 | |
| | 51-100 miles | 4 | | | | |
| | 101-150 miles | 3 | | 2 | | |
| | 151-200 miles | 2 | | | | |
| | over 200 miles | 1 | | | | |
| B. SIZE OF PROJECT TEAM 1. Size from Statement of Interest: Firm _____ Subconsultants _____ | Score based on size of team, firm, sub-consultants, as compared to project size and scope. | 1-5 | | 1 | 0 | |
| | 2. Coordination with subconsultants | Score based on role, location and number of subconsultants. | 1-5 | | 1 | 0 |
| C. QUALIFICATIONS OF TEAM 1. Appropriate disciplines and professional registrations provided | Project Manager | 1-5 | | 2 | 0 | |
| | Firm's staff and subconsultants | 1-5 | | 2 | 0 | |
| | 2. Relative project experience | Firm | 1-5 | | 2 | 0 |
| | | Project Manager | 1-5 | | 3 | 0 |
| | | Team Members (Firm, Staff and Sub-consultants) | 1-5 | | 3 | 0 |
| D. STATE WORK AWARDED RECENTLY 1. ODNR Contracts (fees) in past 2 years: \$ _____ | \$0 - \$75k | 5 | | | 0 | |
| | \$75k - \$150k | 4 | | | | |
| | \$150k - \$225k | 3 | | 0.5 | | |
| | \$225k - \$300k | 2 | | | | |
| | \$300k + | 1 | | | | |
| 2. Total State of Ohio work (fees) in past 2 years: \$ _____ | \$0 - \$250k | 5 | | | 0 | |
| | \$250k - \$500k | 4 | | | | |
| | \$500k - \$750k | 3 | | 0.5 | | |
| | \$750k - \$1 mil. | 2 | | | | |
| | \$1 mil. + | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| E. GENERAL IMPRESSIONS OF FIRM 1. Quality of Statement of Interest | | 1-5 | | 2 | 0 | |
| | 2. Performance (ODNR & Others) | | 1-5 | | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL SCORE | | | | 20 | 0 | |

Request for Qualifications (A/E)

Miami University
Physical Facilities/Facilities Contracting Office
181 Coles Service Building, 101 South Fisher Drive
Oxford, Ohio 45056



davidsea@muohio.edu

513.529.2453

Administration of Project: Local Administration

| | | | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------------------|---------------|------------|
| Project Name | <u>Anderson & McFarland Hall Renovation</u> | Response Deadline | <u>5/11/2012</u> | <u>4:00PM</u> | local time |
| Project Location | <u>Miami University, Oxford Campus</u> | Project Number | <u>MUN-100020</u> | | |
| City / County | <u>Oxford/ Butler</u> | Project Manager | <u>Bob Riggs</u> | | |
| Agency/Institution | <u>Miami University</u> | Contracting Authority | <u>Miami University</u> | | |
| No. of paper copies requested (stapled, not bound) | <u>3</u> | No. of electronic copies requested on CD (PDF) | <u>1</u> | | |

Submit the requested number of Statements of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) directly to Elizabeth Davidson at 181 Cole Service Building, Oxford, Ohio 45056; davidsea@muohio.edu. See Section H for additional submittal instructions.

Project Overview

A. Project Description

This project will include the rehabilitation of 2 Residence Halls on the Oxford Campus of Miami University, Anderson and McFarland Halls. Built in 1961, Anderson Hall is a 3-story 49,749 GSF concrete and masonry Residence Hall, with 199 existing student beds. McFarland Hall was built in 1959 and is 37,573 GSF also built of concrete and masonry construction, with 146 existing student beds. The total bed count will be reduced in each building to create more program space. This project shall meet or exceed the minimum requirements for LEED Silver Certification.

A component of the project will be improvements to the MEP, life safety, classroom technology and voice/data communication systems. Rehabilitation of the building envelope may also be required, including new windows, masonry restoration, new clay tile roof, and foundation wall waterproofing.

B. Scope of Services

The project delivery method for this project will be Construction Manager at Risk. A CMR will be hired after the Schematic Design Phase to be included as part of the project team to assist in constructability review, cost estimating, etc.

The selected Architect/Engineer (A/E), as a portion of its required Scope of Services and prior to submitting its proposals, will discuss and clarify with the Owner and the State Architect's Office, the cost breakdown of the Architect/Engineer Agreement detailed cost components to address the Owner's project requirements. Participate in the Encouraging Growth, Diversity and Equity (EDGE) Program as required by statute and the Agreement.

As required by the Agreement, and as properly authorized, provide the following categories of services: Program Verification, Schematic Design, Design Development, Construction Document Preparation, Bid and Award Support, Conformed Documents, Construction Phase, Post-Construction Phase, and Extra Services and Additional Services of all types. Refer to *The SAO Manual* for additional information about the type and extent of services required for each.

During the construction period, provide not less than 24 hours (excluding travel time) on-site construction administration services each week, including (1) attendance at progress meetings, (2) a written field report of each site visit, (3) on-site representation comprised of the A/E and its consultant staff involved in the primary design of the project, all having relevant and appropriate types of construction administration experience.

For purposes of completing the Relevant Project Experience Matrix in Section F of the Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330), below is a list of relevant scope of work requirements for this RFQ:

1. Public University housing experience.
2. Major building renovation experience.

Request For Qualifications (A/E) continued

 Project Name Anderson & McFarland Hall Renovation

 Project Number MUN-100020

C. Funding / Estimated Budget

| | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Total Project Cost | <u>\$20,000,000</u> | State Funding | <u>\$0</u> |
| Construction Cost | <u>\$16,000,000</u> | Other Funding | <u>\$20,000,000</u> |
| Estimated A/E Fee | <u>6% to 8%</u> | | |

NOTE: The A/E fee percentage for this project includes all professional design services, and consultant services necessary for proper completion of the Basic Services for the successful completion of the project, including but not limited to: review and verification of the Program of Requirements provided by the Owner, validation of existing site conditions (but not subsurface or hidden conditions), preparation of cost estimates and design schedules for the project. Fees may be negotiated and allocated for Additional Services (e.g., creation of a Program of Requirements, extensive evaluation or validation of site conditions, extensive pre-design investigations, code-required special inspection and testing, Quality Assurance testing during the construction period, and testing due to unforeseen conditions).

D. Services Required (see note below)

| | |
|-----------|----------------------|
| Primary | <u>Architectural</u> |
| Secondary | <u>MEP</u> |
| | <u> </u> |
| Others | <u> </u> |

E. Anticipated Schedule

| | |
|--|----------------|
| A/E Services Start (mm/yy) | <u>05 / 12</u> |
| Construction Contracts Start (mm/yy) | <u>04 / 13</u> |
| Construction Contracts Completed (mm/yy) | <u>10 / 13</u> |
| A/E Services Completed (mm/yy) | <u>08 / 13</u> |

F. EDGE Participation Goal

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Percent of <i>initial</i> TOTAL A/E Fee | <u>5%</u> |
|---|-----------|

NOTE: The primary A/E shall be (1) a registered architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, (2) a landscape architect holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Landscape Architects Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4703, or (3) a professional engineer or professional surveyor holding a license and certificate of authorization issued by the Ohio Engineers and Surveyors Board pursuant to O.R.C. Chapter 4733.

G. Evaluation Criteria for Selection

Demonstrated ability to meet Owner's programmed project vision, scope, budget, and schedule on previous projects. Previous experience compatible with the proposed project (e.g., type, size). Relevant past work of prospective firm's proposed consultants. Past performance of prospective firm and its proposed consultants. Qualifications and experience of individuals directly involved with the project. Proposer's previous experience (numbers of projects, sizes of projects) when working with its proposed consultants. Specification writing credentials and experience. Experience and capabilities of creating or using Critical Path Method (CPM) schedules and of using CPM schedules as a project management resource. Approach to and success of using partnering and Alternative Dispute Resolution. Proximity of prospective firms to the project site. Proposer's apparent resources and capacity to meet the needs of this project. The selected A/E and all its consultants must have the capability to use the Internet within their normal business location(s) during normal business hours.

Interested A/E firms are required to submit the Commitment to Participate in the EDGE Business Assistance Program form in its Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form #F110-330) submitted in response to the RFQ, to indicate its intent to contract with and use EDGE-certified Business Enterprise(s), as a part of the A/E's team. The Intent to Contract and to Perform and / or waiver request letter and Demonstration of Good Faith Effort form(s) with complete documentation must be attached to the A/E's Technical Proposal. Both forms can be accessed via the SAO website at <http://ohio.gov/sao> (click on Forms). The Intent to Contract and to Perform form is again required at the Fee Proposal stage.

Prior to executing the Architect/Engineer Agreement, the selected A/E must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in O.R.C. Section 2909.33(C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that it has provided or shall provide such to the Contracting Authority and/or the Ohio Business Gateway (<https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc>).

Request For Qualifications (A/E) continued

Project Name Anderson & McFarland Hall Renovation

Project Number MUN-100020

H. Submittal Instructions

Firms are required to submit the current version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO website at <http://ohio.gov/sao> (click on Forms).

Paper copies of the Statement of Qualifications should be stapled only. Do not use special bindings or coverings of any type. Cover letters and transmittals are not necessary.

Electronic submittals should be combined into one PDF file named with the project number listed on the RFQ and your firm's name. Use the "print" feature of Adobe Acrobat Professional or similar software for creating a PDF rather than using a scanner. If possible, please reduce the file size of the PDF. In Adobe Acrobat Professional, go to Advanced, then PDF Optimizer. Also, please label the CD and the CD cover with the project number and firm name.

Submit all questions regarding this RFQ in writing to Bob Riggs at riggsrd@muohio.edu with the project number included in the subject line (no phone calls please). Questions will be answered and posted to the OAKS Capital Improvements (OAKS CI) website at <http://ci.oaks.ohio.gov> on a regular basis until one week before the response deadline. The Question & Answer (Q&A) document can be found by downloading a new version of the RFQ or by clicking on the Q&A link to the right of the project listing. The name of the party submitting a question will not be included on the Q&A document.

Architect/Engineer Selection Rating



Miami University
 Physical Facilities Department/Facilities Contracting Office
 181 Cole Service Building, 101 South Fisher Drive
 Oxford, Ohio 45056

edavidsea@muohio.edu
 Phone 513.529.2453

Project Name Anderson & McFarland Hall Renovation Proposer Firm _____
 Project Number MUN-100020 City, State, Zip _____

| Selection Criteria | | Value | Score |
|--|---|-----------------|-------|
| 1. A/E Firm Location (5 points) | | | |
| Proximity of primary A/E firm office where majority of work is to be performed in relationship to project site | Less than 50 miles | 4 - 5 | |
| | 51 to 125 miles | 2 - 3 | |
| | More than 125 miles | 0 - 1 | |
| 2. A/E Firm Size (5 points) | | | |
| Number of relevant licensed professionals within primary A/E firm available to perform the work. | Small = Less than 5 licensed professionals | 0 - 1 | |
| | Medium = 5 to 10 licensed professionals | 2 - 3 | |
| | Large = More than 10 licensed professionals | 4 - 5 | |
| 3. Current Workload (5 points) | | | |
| Amount of fees awarded by the Contracting Authority to the primary A/E Firm in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold) | Less than \$250K | 4 - 5 | |
| | \$250K to \$500K | 2 - 3 | |
| | More than \$500K | 0 - 1 | |
| 4. Primary A/E Qualifications (30 points) | | | |
| a. Project Management Lead | Experience / ability of A/E project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality | 0 - 10 | |
| b. Project Design Lead | Experience / creativity of lead designer to meet needs of owner | 0 - 5 | |
| c. Technical Staff | Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents | 0 - 5 | |
| d. Construction Administration | Experience / ability of field representative to identify / solve issues during construction | 0 - 10 | |
| 5. A/E Consultant Qualifications (10 points) | | | |
| Key Discipline Leads | Experience / ability of all key discipline leads to effectively perform the work | 0 - 10 | |
| 6. Project Team Qualifications (15 points) | | | |
| a. Previous Team Collaboration Number of projects that a majority of the team members have worked together | Less than 3 projects (Low) | 0 - 1 | |
| | 4 to 7 projects (Average) | 2 - 3 | |
| | More than 7 projects (High) | 4 - 5 | |
| b. LEED* Experience within Team | LEED AP(s)** on Team | 0 - 1 | |
| | LEED Registered Project(s) | 0 - 2 | |
| | LEED Certified Project(s) | 0 - 2 | |
| | Satisfies ALL above Criteria | Sum = 0 - 5 | |
| c. Team Organization | Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization | 0 - 5 | |
| 7. Overall Project Team Experience (30 points) | | | |
| a. Budget and Schedule Management | Performance in completing projects within original budget and schedule limitations | 0 - 5 | |
| b. Experience with Similar Project Type | Less than 3 projects (Low) | 0 - 3 | |
| | 4 to 7 projects (Average) | 4 - 6 | |
| | More than 7 projects (High) | 7 - 10 | |
| c. Past Performance | Level of performance as indicated by past A/E evaluations / letters of reference | 0 - 5 | |
| d. Knowledge of State of Ohio Capital Project Administration Process | Less than 3 projects (Low) | 0 - 3 | |
| | 4 to 7 projects (Average) | 4 - 6 | |
| | More than 7 projects (High) | 7 - 10 | |
| | | Subtotal | |

* LEED = Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design developed by the U.S. Green Building Council
 ** LEED AP = LEED Accredited Professional credential by the Green Building Certification Institute

Notes:

Evaluator:

Name _____

Signature _____ Date _____

**REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR
CONSTRUCTION MANAGER-AT-RISK SERVICES ("RFQ")**

2012

A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1. The Ohio State University (the "Owner") is requesting interested firms to submit proposals to provide pre-construction and construction services as a construction manager at risk for the OARDC Ag Engineering Building Replacement (Project No. OSU-120210):

Construction budget is \$10,800,000.00; Total Project budget is \$14,000,000.00

Construction Duration: 2/2013 through 4/2014

Building Commissioning: 5/2014 through 6/2015

Occupancy: 5/2014

Architect of Record: NBBJ, LLC; Engineer: HAWA, Inc. is the Mechanical/Electrical Engineer; Sandhu & Associates is the Plumbing Engineer; Shelly Metz Baumann Hawk is the Structural Engineer; Kabil Associates, Inc. is the Civil Engineer; Lab Consultant will be in house by NBBJ, LLC.

This project consists of the demolition of the existing 27,645 sq. ft. Agriculture Engineering Building at the OARDC Campus which was badly damaged by the September, 2010, tornado in Wooster, Ohio. It will include the design and construction of a replacement facility on the existing site. As part of the demolition project the existing utilities in the tunnel will be disconnected and capped, and hazardous materials abated. The demolition, as well as the new construction must satisfy LEED requirements. The replacement facility will include labs for specialized research, faculty offices, lab and office support spaces, surface parking and connection to the existing utility system. Programming and design for the new building is anticipated to begin on or before June, 2012.

Demolition of the existing structure is anticipated to occur Summer, 2012.

2. EDGE. The Equal Opportunity Division of the Department of Administrative Services shall establish Encouraging Diversity, Growth and Equity ("EDGE") participation goals, which goals will be set forth in the RFP.

3. LEED. The Project is required to qualify for LEED Silver certification.

B. PROJECT DELIVERY

The Project will be constructed using the "construction manager at risk" project delivery format generally described below. The pre-construction and construction phase services shall be set forth in more detail in the Construction Management Agreement (CM at Risk), Ohio Department of Administrative Services, the form of which will be provided to short-listed firms, as defined in Section C.3.

1. Pre-Construction Services. The Construction Manager will work cooperatively with the Owner, design professional and Project team, and will provide, among other services, cost estimating, budgeting, value engineering, constructability review, scheduling and pre-construction planning throughout pre-construction.

When the construction documents are at a stage of completion specified in the Construction Management Agreement (CM at Risk), such partially completed documents (the "95% set of Construction Documents") shall be provided to the Construction Manager, who will work together with the design professional to reduce the Construction Manager's adjustments and clarifications of the Construction Documents to writing and submit them to the Owner. The Construction Manager shall submit to the Owner and the design professional its proposed guaranteed maximum price (the "GMP Proposal") and its clarifications and assumptions based upon the Construction Documents. The Construction Manager, the Owner and the design professional (along with selected engineers and consultants) shall meet to reconcile any questions, discrepancies or disagreements relating to the GMP Proposal. The reconciliation shall be documented by revision to the GMP Proposal. The Construction Manager shall then submit to the Owner, for the Owner's approval, the Construction Manager's final proposed GMP. Contingent upon the Owner's approval of the final proposed GMP, the parties will enter into a GMP Amendment establishing the GMP. The final negotiated GMP shall not exceed the Project budget established. If the proposed GMP exceeds such budget, then the Owner may terminate its agreement with the Construction Manager and may select an alternative delivery method for the Project.

2. Construction Phase Services. The parties will engage in an "open book" pricing method in which the Owner shall have access to all books, records, documents and other data in the Construction Manager's possession related to itself, its subcontractors and material suppliers pertaining to bidding, pricing or performance of the Construction Management Agreement (CM at Risk). The Construction Manager shall construct the Project pursuant to the construction documents and in accordance with the Owner's schedule requirements. The Construction Manager shall select subcontractors based on proposals submitted by prequalified subcontractors in accordance with criteria approved by the Owner. The Construction Manager shall hold all subcontracts and shall be fully responsible for the means and methods of construction, project safety, project completion within the schedule agreed upon in the pre-construction phase, monitoring compliance with all EDGE, equal employment, and prevailing wage requirements, and submitting monthly reports of these activities to the Owner.

C. INTERVIEWS AND CM SELECTION

1. Selection Criteria. The Construction Manager shall be selected using "best value criteria" in which award is based upon a combination of qualifications and price considerations. Qualifications include competence to perform the required management services; ability to manage the required workload and provide qualified personnel, equipment, and facilities; past performance as reflected by the evaluation of previous clients with respect to factors such as control of costs, quality of work, and meeting deadlines; financial responsibility as evidenced by the capability to provide a surety bond equal to one hundred per cent of the contract sum; and other similar factors.

2. Short-List. Each firm responding to this RFQ will be evaluated and selected based on its qualifications and the qualifications and experience of the particular individuals identified as the candidate's proposed team for the Project. The Owner may hold discussions with individual firms to explore further their qualifications, the scope and nature of the services they would provide, and the various technical approaches they may take regarding the project. After evaluating the responses to this RFQ, the Owner will select a short-list of no fewer than three candidates that it considers to be the most qualified, except if the Owner determines that fewer than three firms are qualified, it will only select the qualified firms.

3. RFP. The Owner will provide the short-listed firms a Request for Proposal ("RFP") that will contain a description of the project, including a statement of available design detail, a description of how the Guaranteed Maximum Price ("GMP") for the Project shall be determined, including the

estimated level of design detail upon which the GMP shall be based, the form of the construction management contract, and a request for a pricing proposal. The RFQ will specify that the pricing proposal shall contain the technical proposal and a separate pricing document identifying: (a) the pricing; the firm's list of key personnel for the project; (b) a statement of the general conditions and contingency requirements; and (c) a fee proposal divided into: (i) a preconstruction fee, (ii) a construction fee, and (iii) the portion of the construction fee to be at risk in the GMP.

4. Pre-Interview Meeting. Prior to submitting a response to the RFP, the short-listed firms will be invited to meet individually with the Owner. The purpose of the pre-interview meeting is to permit the short-listed firms to ask the Owner questions in an individual setting to help the firms prepare their responses to the RFP. The pre-proposal meeting will be held at 400 Central Classroom Building, 2009 Millikin Road, Room 400, Columbus, Ohio 43210. The Owner will notify each short-listed firm to schedule individual times for the pre-interview meetings.

5. Interview. After submitting responses to the RFP, the short-listed firms will be interviewed by the Owner. The purpose of the interview will be to meet the proposed Project team, become familiar with key personnel, and understand the project approach and ability to meet the Owner's stated objectives for the Project. Please be prepared to discuss with specificity the firm's capacity to conduct this work in compliance the Owner's timetable, budget and EDGE expectations. The interviews will be held at 400 Central Classroom Building, 2009 Millikin Road, Room 400, Columbus, Ohio 43210. The Owner will notify each short-listed firm to schedule individual times for the interviews.

6. Selection Schedule

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Qualifications Due | 6/1/2012 |
| Short-Listing of Firms | 6/12/2012 |
| RFP Issued to the Short-Listed Firms | 6/18/2012 |
| Pre-Proposal Meeting | 6/25/2012 |
| Proposals Due | 7/2/2012 |
| Interviews | 7/11/2012 |
| Selection of Construction Manager | 7/18/2012 |
| Execution of the GMP Agreement | TBD |

7. Communication. Firms considering responding to this RFQ are strictly prohibited from communicating with any member of the Owner's staff, as all questions should be directed to the person identified in Section E.2 hereof.

8. Cancellation and Rejection. The Owner reserves the right to cancel at any time for any reason this solicitation and to reject all proposals. The Owner shall have no liability to any proposer arising out of such cancellation or rejection. The Owner reserves the right to waive minor variations in the selection process

D. REQUESTED SUBMISSIONS

Proposers are requested to submit the following information in response to this RFQ:

1. Firm Profile.

1. Business. Identify the business form of contracting entity. If the proposed form of entity is a joint venture, please identify each venturer and their respective percentage of participation. Provide a summary, on three pages or less, describing why your firm/team is the most qualified for the Project.

2. Standard Qualifications. Complete and provide a contractor's qualification statement using most current version of the "Statement of Qualifications" available on Facilities Operations and Development's Vendor Resources web page (<http://fod.osu.edu/vendor.htm>) in the "Professional Services" section.

3. Bonding/Insurance. Provide evidence of capacity to provide bonding and a copy of the firm's certificate of insurance showing the firm's current limits of liability for commercial general liability, employer's liability, business automobile liability and professional liability.

4. Similar Experience. Provide names, addresses and telephone numbers of references for projects where your firm (or top firm principal or officer) has led or managed construction of a project similar in scope and complexity as the Project.

5. Personnel/Staffing. Provide a project organizational chart containing the names and titles of the proposed staff for the Project. At a minimum, the chart should include at least three (3) officers or senior employees (e.g., Project executive, Principal-in-charge, Project Manager or Project Superintendent or similar designation) who will be available for work on the Project. For every person listed on the chart, provide a one-page resume highlighting relevant experience and identify the phase(s) of the Project to which the individual will be assigned and the percentage of that individual's time to be devoted to the Project.

6. Management Systems. Describe the record keeping, reporting, monitoring and other information management systems that the firm would propose to use for the Project. Describe the scheduling and cost control systems the firm would propose to use for the Project.

7. Self-Performed Work. Indicate whether the firm intends to self-perform any work on the Project through an acceptable competitive process and, if so, the nature of the work and capability to self-perform.

8. Estimating. Demonstrated capability of in-house estimating on projects comparable to the Project.

9. Other Considerations. Describe the firm's willingness to accept liquidated damages and experience with such provisions on other similar projects. Describe any prior experience with a construction manager at-risk project delivery method.

E. INSTRUCTIONS FOR DELIVERY AND DEADLINE

1. Six (6) copies of the proposal must be submitted in sealed envelopes clearly marked "Proposal for Construction Manager at Risk Services for "OARDC – Ag Engineering Building Replacement " and delivered to:

The Ohio State University
Facilities Operations and Development
Attention: Marjory Trishman
2009 Millikin Road
Central Classroom Building, room 400
Columbus, Ohio 43210

2. Questions must be in writing and directed to Marjory Trishman, email address at trishman.2@osu.edu. Answers to any questions shall be in writing and shall be sent to all firms who have received this RFQ.

3. Responses to this RFQ must be received at the address listed in Section E.1 by 4:30 pm local time on June 1, 2012.

Request for Qualifications (Design-Builder)



Ohio Department of Administrative Services
General Services Division
State Architect's Office • 4200 Surface Road • Columbus, Ohio 43228-1395

<http://ohio.gov/sao>
Phone 614.466.4761

Administration of Project: State Architect's Office

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| Project Name | <u>Roof Replacement</u> | Response Deadline | <u>5/18/2012</u> | <u>4:30 PM</u> | local time |
| Project Location | <u>Toledo Correctional Institution</u> | Project Number | <u>DRC-110022</u> | | |
| City / County | <u>Toledo / Lucas</u> | Project Manager | <u>John McCreedy</u> | | |
| Agency/Institution | <u>Department of Rehabilitation & Correction</u> | Contracting Authority | <u>State Architect's Office</u> | | |
| No. of paper copies requested (stapled, not bound) | <u>2</u> | No. of electronic copies requested on CD (PDF) | <u>1</u> | | |

Submit the requested number of Statements of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) directly to The State Architect's Office at 4200 Surface Road, Columbus, OH 43228-1395. See Section G for additional submittal instructions.

Project Overview

A. Project Description

The Toledo Correctional Institution opened in 2000, and currently has a population of 1,559 male inmates, under security levels 2, 3 and 4. This project will include the removal and replacement of the existing built up roofing system, of approximately 200,000 square feet, on the administration and housing buildings.

The buildings have numerous leaks, the membrane has pulled away from the roof drains, and the flashing needs to be replaced. This is consistent across the entire roof.

It is imperative that the facility will remain in operation during this project, and we anticipate a phased construction approach to complete the work.

B. Scope of Services

The selected Design-Builder ("DB"), as a portion of its required Scope of Services and prior to submitting its proposal, will discuss and clarify with the Owner and the State Architect's Office, the breakdown of the Design-Build Agreement detailed cost components, to address the Owner's project requirements and refine the project schedule.

The Scope of Services for this project are as follows:

Preconstruction Services:

- Program Verification
- Schematic design
- Design Development
- Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) Proposal
- Construction Documents
- Constructibility Review
- Permits

Construction Services:

- Construction Supervision
- Progress Schedule
- Weekly Progress meetings
- Observation of Roofing System Installation by Registered Roofing Observer (RRO)
- Testing and Inspection
- Punch List
- Project Close Out

Request For Qualifications (Design-Builder) continued



Project Name Roof Replacement – Toledo Correctional

Project Number

DRC-110022

For purposes of completing the Relevant Project Experience Matrix in Section F of the Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330), below is a list of relevant scope of work requirements for this RFQ:

1. Design-Build Preconstruction Stage Services
2. Design-Build Construction Stage Services
3. Design-Build Contract Sum (GMP) Proposal and Negotiating Experience
4. Constructability Review, Estimating and Scheduling Experience
5. Roofing Design and Installation Experience
6. LEED Experience
7. Experience with State of Ohio Capital Projects
8. OAKS CI Experience
9. Correctional Facilities Experience

C. Funding / Estimated Budget

| | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Total Project Cost | <u>\$4,250,000.00</u> | State Funding | <u>\$4,250,000.00</u> |
| Construction Cost | <u>\$3,650,000.00</u> | Other Funding | <u>\$0</u> |

D. Anticipated Schedule

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|
| DB Services Start (mm/yy) | <u>07 / 2012</u> |
| Construction Stage Start (mm/yy) | <u>09 / 2012</u> |
| DB Services Completed (mm/yy) | <u>07 / 2014</u> |
| Contract Completed (mm/yy) | <u>09 / 2014</u> |

E. EDGE Participation Goals

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Percent of Total Subcontracts Awarded | <u>5%</u> |
| Percent of Initial Design Services Fee | <u>5%</u> |
| Percent of Initial Design-Build Compensation | <u>5%</u> |

F. Evaluation Criteria for Selection

Selection Criteria:

The Design-Builder will be selected using (i) a qualification based selection process during the initial Request for Qualifications (RFQ) stage to develop a short list and (ii) a best value selection process during the final Request for Proposal stage for the final D-B selection. The qualifications-based selection criteria for the RFQ is included in this announcement.

Short List:

Each firm responding to this RFQ will be evaluated and selected based on its qualifications and the qualifications and experience of the particular individuals identified as the candidate's proposed team for the Project. After evaluating the responses to this RFQ, the State Architect's Office will select a short list of no fewer than three candidates that it considers to be the most qualified, except if the State Architect's Office determines that fewer than three firms are qualified, it will only select the qualified firms.

Request for Proposal:

The short-listed firms shall be sent a Request for Proposal (RFP) that will invite the firms to submit pricing proposals containing their proposed preconstruction stage design fee, construction stage personnel costs, construction stage itemized general conditions cost percentage, construction stage contingency percentage and construction stage design fee percentage. The short-listed candidates will also receive (i) a form of the D-B Agreement with the State Architect's Office (the D-B Agreement) containing the contract terms and conditions, (ii) a set of the most recent design documents and (iii) a proposed Project schedule.

Request For Qualifications (Design-Builder) continued

Project Name Roof Replacement – Toledo Correctional

Project Number

DRC-110022

Pre-Interview Meeting:

Prior to submitting a response to the RFP, the short-listed firms will be invited to meet individually with the State Architect's Office and the Owner. The purpose of the pre-interview meeting is to permit the short-listed firms to ask the State Architect's Office and the Owner questions in an individual setting to help the firms prepare their responses to the RFP. The pre-interview meeting will be held at the State Architect's Office. The State Architect's Office will notify each short-listed firm to schedule individual times for the pre-interview meetings.

Interview:

After submitting responses to the RFP, the short-listed firms will be interviewed by the State Architect's Office and representatives of the Owner. The purpose of the interview will be to meet the proposed Project team, become familiar with key personnel, and understand the project approach and ability to meet the stated objectives for the Project. Please be prepared to discuss with specificity the firm's capacity to conduct this work in compliance with the timetable, budget and EDGE expectations. The State Architect's will notify each short-listed firm to schedule individual times for the interviews.

Selection Schedule:

Tentative schedule is subject to change.

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Qualifications Due | May 18, 2012 |
| RFP issued to the Short-Listed Firms | June 1, 2012 |
| Site Visit at Toledo Correctional project site | June 6, 2012 |
| Pre-interview Meetings at SAO | June 8, 2012 |
| Proposals Due | June 15, 2012 |
| Interviews at SAO | June 22, 2012 |
| Selection of Design-Builder | June 22, 2012 |
| Controlling Board Meeting for approval of Design-Build Agreement | July 23, 2012 |
| | |

Cancellation and Rejection:

The State Architect's Office reserves the right to reject all proposals and cancel at any time for any reason this solicitation, any portion of this solicitation or any phase of the Project. The State Architect's Office shall have no liability to any proposer arising out of such cancellation or rejection. The State Architect's Office reserves the right to waive minor variations in the selection process.

The EDGE participation goal for Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction is 5 percent, and the use of EDGE certified consultants is strongly encouraged by ODRC and the State Architect's Office.

For all Statements of Qualifications, please identify the EDGE-certified Business Enterprises, by name, which will participate in the delivery of the proposed professional services solicited in the RFQ.

Prior to executing the Design-Build Agreement, the selected D-B must represent and warrant that it has not provided any material assistance, as that term is defined in O.R.C. Section 2909.33(C), to an organization that is identified by, and included on, the United States Department of State Terrorist Exclusion List and that it has truthfully answered "no" to every question on the Declaration Regarding Material Assistance/Non-Assistance to a Terrorist Organization, and that it has provided or shall provide such to the Contracting Authority and/or the Ohio Business Gateway (<https://ohiobusinessgateway.ohio.gov/OBG/Membership/Security.mvc>).

G. Submittal Instructions

Firms are required to submit the current version of Statement of Qualifications (SAO Form F110-330) available via the SAO website at <http://ohio.gov/sao> (click on Forms).

Paper copies of the Statement of Qualifications (if requested) should be stapled only. Do not use special bindings or coverings of any type. Cover letters and transmittals are not necessary.

Electronic submittals should be combined into one PDF file named with the project number listed on the RFQ and your firm's name. Use the "print" feature of Adobe Acrobat Professional or similar software for creating a PDF rather than using a scanner. If possible, please reduce the file size of the PDF. In Adobe Acrobat Professional, go to Advanced, then PDF Optimizer. Also, please name the PDF file and mark or label the CD and the CD cover with the project number and firm name.

Request For Qualifications (Design-Builder) continued

Project Name Roof Replacement – Toledo Correctional

Project Number

DRC-110022

Submit all questions regarding this RFQ in writing to The State Architect's Office at StateArchOff@das.state.oh.us with the project number included in the subject line (no phone calls please). Questions will be answered and posted to the OAKS Capital Improvements (OAKS CI) website at <http://ci.oaks.ohio.gov> on a regular basis until one week before the response deadline. The Question & Answer (Q&A) document can be found by downloading a new version of the RFQ or by clicking on the Q&A link to the right of the project listing. The name of the party submitting a question will not be included on the Q&A document.

Proposers are requested to submit the following information in response to this RFQ within **Section H** of SAO Form F110-330.

1. Summary: Provide a summary, on one page or less, describing why your firm/team is the most qualified for the Project.
2. Bonding/Insurance: Provide evidence of capacity to provide bonding in the amount of the project budget and a copy of the firm's certificate of insurance showing the firm's current limits of liability for commercial general liability, employer's liability, business automobile liability and professional liability.
3. Management Systems: Describe the scheduling and cost control systems the firm would propose to use for the Project
4. Self-Performed Work: Indicate whether the firm intends to self-perform any work on the Project through a competitive process and, if so, the nature of the work and capability to self-perform.
5. Estimating: Demonstrated track record of performance of in-house estimating on projects comparable to the Project.
6. Scheduling: Demonstrated track record of performance of managing projects to the original schedule.

Design-Builder Selection Rating



Ohio Department of Administrative Services

General Services Division

State Architect's Office • 4200 Surface Road • Columbus, Ohio 43228-1395

<http://ohio.gov/sao>

Phone 614.466.4761

Project Name Roof Replacement – Toledo Correctional Proposer Firm _____
 Project Number DRC-110022 City, State, Zip _____

| Selection Criteria | | Value | Score |
|---|--|-----------------|-------|
| 1. DB Location and Workload (Maximum 10 points) | | | |
| a. Proximity of DB's office where the majority of work will be performed to the principal project site | Less than 50 miles | 4 - 5 | |
| | 50 miles to 100 miles | 2 - 3 | |
| | More than 100 miles from | 0 - 1 | |
| b. Amount of contracts awarded by the Contracting Authority to the DB in the previous 24 months (exclude projects on hold) | Less than \$2,000,000 | 5 | |
| | \$2,000,000 to \$5,000,000 | 2 | |
| | More than \$5,000,000 | 0 | |
| 2. DB Qualifications (Maximum 35 points) | | | |
| a. Project Management Lead (e.g., education, experience, credentials) | Experience / ability of project manager to manage scope / budget / schedule / quality | 0 - 10 | |
| b. Project Administrative Staff (e.g., superintendent, project engineer, administrative support) | Experience / knowledge of project admin staff to achieve owner's vision and requirements | 0 - 5 | |
| c. Project Design Lead (e.g., awards, publications) | Experience / creativity of lead designer | 0 - 5 | |
| d. Construction Technical Staff (e.g., scheduling / estimating, education, experience, credentials) | Experience / ability of construction technical staff to fully coordinate estimate and schedule | 0 - 5 | |
| e. Design Technical Staff (e.g., BIM/CAD capabilities education, experience, CDT or CCS* credentials) | Experience / ability of technical staff to develop quality construction documents | 0 - 5 | |
| f. Construction Administration Staff (e.g., education, experience, CDT, CCM, or CCCA* credentials) | Experience / ability of field representatives to identify and solve issues during construction | 0 - 5 | |
| 3. Key Consultant Qualifications (Maximum 15 points) | | | |
| a. Key Consultants (e.g., architectural, civil, mechanical, or electrical engineering, roofing or other specialty consultants) | Experience / ability of key consultants to perform effectively and collaboratively | 1 - 10 | |
| b. Proposed EDGE-certified Consultant Participation** (fully executed Statements of Intent to Contract and Perform with relevant EDGE firms) | One additional point for every 2 percent increase in professional services over the advertised EDGE participation goal | 1 - 5 | |
| 4. Overall Team Qualifications (Maximum 10 points) | | | |
| a. Previous Collaboration of the Project Team (sample projects on which a significant number of individual team members have worked together) | Less than 2 sample projects | 1 | |
| | 2 to 4 sample projects | 2 | |
| | More than 4 sample projects | 3 | |
| b. LEED*** Training / Professional Accreditation (demonstrated either by the DB or relevant consultant) | LEED*** Credentials* (Maximum 3 points) | GA | 1 |
| | | AP | 2 |
| | | AP+ | 3 |
| c. LEED*** Registered / Certified Project Experience (demonstrated either by the DB or relevant consultant) | LEED*** Registered Projects (RP) or LEED*** Certified Projects (CP) (Maximum 2 points) | RP | 1 |
| | | CP | 2 |
| d. Team Organization (showed formal relationships between owner, contracting authority, consultants) | Clarity of responsibility / communication demonstrated by table of organization | 0 - 2 | |
| 5. Overall Team Experience (Maximum 30 points) | | | |
| a. Past Performance of the Project Team (provided reference letters from sample project contacts) | Past performance as indicated by evaluations and letters of reference | 0 - 10 | |
| b. Experience with similar projects and Design-Build project delivery method | Less than 3 projects | 0 - 3 | |
| | 3 to 6 projects | 4 - 6 | |
| | More than 6 projects | 7 - 10 | |
| c. Budget and Schedule Management (included data on estimate versus bid and original contract sum & time versus change orders for sample projects) | Performance in completing projects within original construction budget and schedule | 0 - 5 | |
| d. Knowledge of Ohio Capital Improvements Process (e.g., experience following <i>The SAO Manual</i> , the Standard Requirements, and ORC Chapter 153) | Less than 2 projects | 0 - 1 | |
| | 2 to 4 projects | 2 - 3 | |
| | More than 4 projects | 4 - 5 | |
| * Refer to list of applicable credentials in Section H of the RFP | | Subtotal | |
| ** Must be comprised of consulting firm(s) and NOT the lead firm of the DB entity | | | |
| *** Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design administered by the Green Building Certification Institute | | | |

Notes:

Evaluator:

Name _____

Signature _____ Date _____

Question and Answer List

Ohio Department of Administrative Services
General Services Division
State Architect's Office ▪ 4200 Surface Road ▪ Columbus, Ohio 43228-1395

OhioDAS
<http://ohio.gov/sao>
StateArchOff@das.state.oh.us
Phone 614.466.4761

| | | | |
|------------------|--|----------------|-------------------|
| Project Name | <u>Roof Replacement</u> | Project Number | <u>DRC-110022</u> |
| Project Location | <u>Toledo Correctional Institution</u> | | |

Date posted: May 11, 2012

Date revised: N/A

Below are the questions that have been received to date for the RFQ of the above-referenced project:

1. If two companies form a team to bid this project could either company secure the bond regardless of who the lead company is ?
Answer: Yes. As long as the proposed Design – Build team is a legally constituted entity, the bond can be obtained by either firm. Please refer to the Construction Reform rules in the ORC Chapter 153:1-4-01.

2. On the Design-Builder Selection Rating Form, item 3.b. states that Edge certified consultant participation must be comprised of firms that are not the lead in the DB entity. We are unclear of the intent of this statement; if the lead of the DB team is edge certified will we have to utilize another additional edge consultant firm ? What if the DB team edge consultant is not the lead, can they then account for the specified Edge participation ?

Answer: If either member of the Design Build team is EDGE certified, that will count toward the stated EDGE participation goal (typically 5%). However, to receive the points shown in 3b on the score sheet, the Design Build team must subcontract with an outside EDGE consultant for professional design or construction services.

3. In the RFQ, in section F paragraph 3, the short listed team will need to submit a percentage of itemized General Conditions costs, what costs to the project are considered to be part of the General Conditions ? i.e., temporary facilities, supervision, dumpsters ?

Answer: Items such as temporary facilities, office staff, superintendent's wages, dumpsters, etc are considered part of general conditions costs. Please refer to Article 9 of the Design Builders contract that is currently posted on our web site. <http://www.ohio.gov/sao>

