



**Fund • Plan • Design • Build • Renovate
Ohio's K-12 Public Schools**



School Facilities
Commission

FY 12 Annual Report

*Cover Photo: Leipsic LSD (Putnam County)
Photo Credit: Beilharz Architects, Inc.*

Table of Contents

Commissioners.	2
Executive Director’s Message	3
Vision-Mission-Goals.	4
Performance Metrics.	5
Ohio Construction Reform	6
Legislative Highlights	7
Green Schools.	8
Ohio School Design Manual.	9
Building Maintenance Facility Visits	9
Staff Organization	10
Staff Activities	11
Training & Outreach	13
21st Century Learning Environments	14
Programs.	16
Projects Completed	21
Project Status	29
Funding Offered FY 2012	39
Fiscal Snapshot	40



*Western Reserve LSD (Mahoning County)
Photo Credit: Olsavsky Jaminet Architects*

Commissioners



*Timothy S. Keen
Chair*



*Robert Blair
Vice-Chair*



Stan W. Heffner



Rep. Johnson



Rep. Ramos



Sen. Manning



Sen. Sawyer

The commission consists of seven members, three of whom are voting members. The voting members are the director of administrative services, the superintendent of public instruction, and the director of budget and management. The four non-voting members include two members from both the House and the Senate. Non-voting members serve for the biennial legislative session in which they are appointed.

The voting members of the Commission in FY 2012 were:

- Timothy S. Keen, Director of the Office of Budget and Management (Chair)
- Robert Blair, Director of the Department of Administrative Services (Vice-Chair)

- Dr. Stan Heffner, State Superintendent of Public Instruction (Eric Bode, designee)

The four non-voting legislative members in FY 2012 were:

Representative Terry Johnson
Representative Dan Ramos
Senator Gayle Manning
Senator Tom Sawyer

Executive Director's Message

Since the Commission was created 15 years ago, it has been our mission to serve and support our school district partners in the construction, renovation and maintenance of school facilities. That mission requires us to be flexible, responsive and open to change. The passage of H.B. 153 that brought us new construction delivery methods, and the passage in June 2012 of H.B. 487, the Mid-Biennial Budget Review, presented us with an exciting opportunity to make changes to our organizational structure and how we conduct business that will transform the construction of state facilities.



To get the most value for public owners, including school districts, construction delivery should be implemented in a consistent manner. Contractors, architects, engineers and construction managers can all be more efficient when the same set of policies and rules apply. The construction industry has stressed the importance of this, and we agree.

Starting on September 10, 2012, the Ohio School Facilities Commission (OSFC) will be an independent agency contained within the newly created Ohio Facilities Construction Commission (OFCC). This new arrangement will consolidate OSFC with the staff of the State Architect's office, and allow both staffs to work together as one to share knowledge and expertise of facility construction. However, OSFC's commission and programs will remain intact, allowing us to continue our unique partnership with school districts as co-owners of their facilities improvements.



Fiscal Year 2012 also brought changes to some of our long-standing programs, as well as created new ones. In order to assist districts in addressing their greatest facilities needs sooner, the Commission looked at our programs to find ways to give districts more flexible options when accessing our programs, whether fixing one building, a small number of buildings, or fixing the entire district's building stock. With the support of the General Assembly and Administration, the changes made to our Exceptional Needs Program, Expedited Local Partnership Program and Classroom Facilities Assistance Segmenting will not cost extra, but will enable districts to access both local and state funds sooner in order to move forward on needed construction as quickly as possible. We are working with our first Regional STEM School and College Preparatory Boarding School to complete their facility needs.

While our organizational structure, procedures and programs may change, one thing will remain the same – we are committed to serving Ohio's schoolchildren by being the leader in public school construction.

A handwritten signature in black ink, which appears to read "Richard M. Hickman".

Richard M. Hickman
Executive Director

*Edgerton LSD (Williams County)
Photo Credit: Garmann Miller
& Associates*

New Vision–Mission–Goals Adopted

In December 2011, OSFC staff gathered for an All-Staff meeting for the purpose of gaining thoughts on major priorities for the Commission. During that meeting, seven staff members (Sue Meyer, David Moore, Tamara Rachel, Pamela Schaal, Rob Slagle, Sarah Spence, and Keith VanDeusen) volunteered to form a work group to review the preliminary work that was started at the All-Staff meeting and move forward to update the agency’s Vision, Mission, and Goal Statements.

The group convened several times in late 2011 and early 2012 and the result of their work became OSFC’s Vision, Mission, and Goals.

Vision and Mission statements provide an overarching direction for personal and professional accomplishment, while Goal statements provide focus and a way to measure success.



Vision

To be the leader in public school construction.

Mission

To serve and support school district partners in the construction, renovation, and maintenance of educational facilities for the advancement of Ohio’s public schoolchildren.

Goals

To create quality educational facilities that promote student learning.

To embrace innovation and encourage continuous improvement.

To maximize existing partnerships and create new ones.

To be always worthy of the public’s respect and trust.

*Miami Trace LSD (Fayette County)
Photo Credit: SHP Leading Design*



Performance Metrics

With the adoption of OSFC's new Vision, Mission, and Goal statements, OSFC worked to develop performance metrics to measure how well we were doing in reaching these goals. The staff identified eight performance metrics that focus on quality construction, innovation and improvements, partnerships, and building trust. By the end of FY 2012, OSFC staff had a baseline in place and was able to report on eight strategic measures.

FY 2012 Performance Metrics

1. Enrollment Projection Accuracy

Enrollment projections drive square footage, which drives project budgets. Thirty-three projects were completed with an average enrollment variance of 4.7 percent.

2. Projects within Budget

A historical average was used as a baseline. Eighty-seven percent of the projects were completed without a budget adjustment. Many of the 87 percent came in under budget with excess funds distributed to the state and local school district.

3. Quality Construction Projects

Based on a historical baseline, 87 percent of projects in FY 2012 were completed without a post-construction issue.

4. Energy Efficient Buildings

Ninety-eight percent of school facilities constructed were LEED registered. There were only two waivers granted for special circumstances.

5. Availability of Web Tools

Online applications used by our school district partners, construction managers and Commission staff are available for use 24/7. These web tools were available 99 percent of the time.

6. Webinars & External Events

Throughout FY 2012, OSFC conducted 16 webinars and seven Ohio Construction Reform academies. These events were held to provide training and information to our partners.

7. School District Project Audits

To ensure compliance with state processes, school district project accounts are audited. In FY 2012, 90 percent of completed district projects were audited.

8. Project Financial Close-Out

With a goal to close out projects within two years of occupancy, OSFC completed the financial reconciliation in the project funds for 42 projects in FY 2012, reducing backlog by 12 percent.



*Taft Information Technology HS,
Cincinnati CSD, (Hamilton County)
Photo Credit: VSWC Architects*

Ohio Construction Reform

New Delivery Models and a New Agency



State Architect's Office
Service · Support · Solutions



The passage of H.B. 487, the Mid-Biennial Budget Review, brought with it a significant change for the structure of the Ohio School Facilities Commission (OSFC). In September 2012, OSFC and the staff from the State Architect's Office (SAO) will be consolidated under the governance of a new commission, called the Ohio Facilities Construction Commission. OSFC will continue to operate under the umbrella of the new agency.

Consolidation will bring together the two main facility construction agencies in the state: OSFC, which administers K-12 construction, and the State Architect's Office, which administers construction for state agencies, boards, commissions, and institutions of higher education. We have already begun the integration of OSFC and SAO staff and internal processes.



The reason for this new agency goes back to the construction reforms that were enacted this biennium. On June 30, 2011, Governor Kasich signed H.B. 153, which included the first changes in the state's method of performing public construction in more than 134 years. These changes, collectively known as Ohio Construction Reform (OCR), will substantially alter how public improvement projects are completed and will allow for the use of alternative construction delivery methods that can be faster and more flexible, have less risk, and have a lower cost.

Ohio Construction Reform project delivery methods include:

- Retaining **multiple-prime (MP)** design-bid-build project delivery
- Removing limitations on single-prime, design-bid-build project delivery—**general contracting (GC)**
- Allowing **design-build (DB)** project delivery—a single entity assumes risk for final design and construction of facility, guaranteed maximum price is provided
- Allowing **construction manager-at-risk (CMR)** delivery—the CM holds subcontracts and guaranteed maximum price is provided
- Retaining **construction manager as agent (CMA)** delivery—the CM acts as owner's representative
- Allowing for open-book Guaranteed Maximum Price, design-assist, and subcontractor prequalification within design-build and CMR delivery methods

Ohio Construction Reform

These new delivery methods can be used by state agencies, colleges and universities, counties, townships, municipal corporations, school districts, or other political subdivisions. Southern Local School District in Meigs County was the first OSFC-funded project to use one of the new delivery methods. The school district awarded a \$10.6 million general contracting (single-prime) contract in June 2012 for a new high school addition to the existing Southern Elementary School.

The creation of this new commission to oversee facilities construction across the state will allow Ohio to maximize the benefits of the new construction delivery methods by focusing on consistently implementing the new methods.

OSFC's current programs will remain intact and the Ohio School Facilities Commission itself will continue to function within the new commission structure, allowing us to continue to meet the unique facilities needs of the school districts with which we work. This consolidation presents an opportunity for school districts to take advantage of the experience gained from processes and procedures developed for state and higher education projects.



The State of Ohio, the Ohio School Facilities Commission, and the State Architect's Office presented the Ohio Construction Reform Academy during February and March. The Academy was designed to be the definitive seminar to provide details of the changes in public facilities construction in Ohio.

More than 2,100 attendees (public owners, architects, engineers, construction managers, general & trade contractors, and construction attorneys) learned how general contracting (single-prime), construction manager-at-risk (CMR), and design-build (DB) project delivery methods will be implemented by the state, and the specific processes and contract documents that will be used.

Ohio Construction Reform Academy offered in seven locations around the state

February 16, 2012 | Toledo

February 24, 2012 | Cleveland

February 28, 2012 | Reynoldsburg

March 1, 2012 | Cincinnati

March 13, 2012 | Columbus

March 22, 2012 | Akron

March 27, 2012 | Bowling Green

Legislative Highlights

In fiscal year 2012, the General Assembly recognized that during tougher economic times, school districts need to be more flexible in the options they take to voters for facilities funding. The changes contained in the Mid-Biennium Review bills (H.B. 476, S.B. 316, and S.B. 315) give the Commission new ways to provide a variety of options to school districts wishing to enter into a Commission program. Notable changes to our programs include facilities maintenance plans and obligations, project segment size, Exceptional Needs Program participation, Expedited Local Partnership Program funding offers, and STEM Classroom Facilities Assistance Program.

Capital Funding

The General Assembly renewed its commitment to building better Ohio schools with the passage of H.B. 482, which was the capital appropriations bill. The bill provided funding for the Commission's programs (\$675 million over fiscal years 2013 and 2014). The Commission expects to offer funding to at least 25 districts each year with the funds.

Facilities Maintenance: Half-mill Obligation and Maintenance Plan

To ensure that our school districts' new facilities are properly maintained at a level that a district can afford, the Commission worked with the General Assembly in H.B. 487 to allow school districts that either segment their classroom facilities project or participate in the Exceptional Needs Program to prorate their half-mill maintenance obligation to cover the facility or facilities constructed under that program. School districts must also adhere to a commission-approved facility maintenance plan for the upkeep of facilities built with state funds.

Project Segment Size

School districts may segment a portion of the Master Facilities Plan as long as the segment was four percent of the district's tax valuation and the segment included at least one complete building. S.B. 316 reduces the segment size to two percent of the tax valuation, giving districts greater flexibility in handling their facilities needs at a lower tax millage for district voters.

Exceptional Needs Program Participation

The Exceptional Needs Program is a building replacement program that provides school districts with the ability to protect the health and safety of their students with a new facility. Previously, only school districts that ranked below the 75th percentile on the equity list could apply. The wealth cap was removed in S.B. 316, allowing all school districts, regardless of equity rank, to apply to the program.

Expedited Local Partnership Program Funding Offers

S.B. 316 authorizes the Commission to offer Classroom Facilities Assistance Program funding to school districts participating in the Expedited Local Partnership program if the district has enough credit to complete a segment or entire plan, and has the half-mill maintenance requirement in place. The offer can only be made after any lapsed districts have been funded for the year.

STEM Classroom Facilities Assistance Program

Created in H.B. 153, the STEM Classroom Facilities Assistance Program is designed to help regional STEM schools acquire adequate classroom facilities. (STEM stands for Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math.) Changes to the program were made in both H.B. 487 and S.B. 316 that clarify the types of funds to be used in the project, and create the concept of STEM districts. In the case of a STEM district, the governing body of a group of STEM schools must submit a proposal for each school under its direction separately, and the Commission must consider each proposal separately.



*London Middle School, London CSD (Madison County)
Photo Credit: SHP Leading Design / Chris Phebus*

Green Schools Program

The Green Schools Program at the Ohio School Facilities Commission (OSFC) has been in existence since September 2007, when the Commission adopted LEED for Schools® as the standard for OSFC projects. The LEED for Schools Rating System is a comprehensive tool that looks at design and construction practices including classroom acoustics, indoor air quality, selection of building materials, and energy efficiency. The system is based on prerequisites and credits that address seven areas:

- Sustainable sites
- Water efficiency
- Energy and atmosphere
- Materials and resources
- Indoor environmental quality
- Innovation in design
- Regional priority

Once design and construction for a building are complete, its systems have been tested, and it has met the LEED standards, a project is eligible for one of four levels of recognition based on the number of credits obtained: Certified, Silver, Gold, or Platinum. OSFC set LEED Silver as our certification target.

Nearly 300 school buildings in our programs are on track to meet the rigorous LEED standards. In December 2011, the U.S. Green Building Council's Center for Green Schools included the State of Ohio and the Ohio School Facilities Commission in its inaugural Best of Green Schools 2011 list for leading the nation in the number of schools seeking certification. The list recognizes school administrators and government leaders in 10 categories for their efforts to create sustainable learning environments.

At the end of June 2012, 37 buildings had been awarded certifications. This includes 19 Gold certifications, 15 Silver certifications, and one Certified building. London's Middle School and Cincinnati's Taft High School achieved the elite Platinum certification level.

The London Middle School (Madison County) project is just one example of a team pulling together to provide a sustainable, high performance building. The facility has a geothermal heating and cooling system and has a solar electric system that generates more than 15 percent of the electricity needed to power the school. The project team expects that these two features, along with the use of natural light, will be the basis of a 42 percent reduction in energy costs compared to similarly sized buildings. On a sunny day, the school's energy use is equal to that used by a medium-sized house. The project was completed within budget, with the full complement of education components incorporated into the building, and it was able to achieve the elite LEED rating. It was within our average cost per square foot guidelines and without any outside grants, locally funded initiatives, or outside funding sources.

School district administrators and educators have embraced "greening" their schools and have implemented additional sustainable initiatives and educational opportunities. This is evidenced by the selection of three Ohio schools for the U.S. Department of Education's new Green Ribbon Schools. North Adams Elementary in Adams County/Ohio Valley school district is a Green Ribbon School winner and was an OSFC LEED project. It is a shining example of a district pursuing energy efficiencies and sustainable efforts to better its facilities and education for its students. The Green Ribbon Schools pilot year was a huge success and we look forward to seeing additional Ohio schools recognized. More information on Green Ribbon Schools is available at <http://www2.ed.gov/programs/green-ribbon-schools/index.html>.



Ohio School Design Manual

Since the inception of the Ohio School Facilities Commission and development of the Ohio School Design Manual, nearly a thousand educational facilities have been planned, designed, constructed, and occupied by Ohio schoolchildren. The Ohio School Design Manual has and will continue to provide guidance for the planning and development of Ohio educational facilities. The OSDM is a guide to providing quality standards and flexible guidelines for use by the commission, school districts, design professionals, and construction managers.

OSFC recognizes the impact of educational delivery models on the planning, design, and construction of school facilities. We are working with our school district partners to build facilities that are responsive to the needs of teaching and learning in the 21st century. As we continue to define what a 21st century learning environment is, we are adapting to the rapidly evolving programs, services, and delivery methods.

A new section in the OSDM entitled, “Student Centered Learning Environments” (SCLE) provides guidance for the development of a 21st century Student Centered Learning Environment. These planning concepts may be implemented by the district to ensure that the instructional mission, vision, goals, and objectives of the district will be met today and into the future. The SCLE chapter is intended to be an optional choice for Ohio school districts in the development of their physical facilities as a response to 21st Century Learning Environments. A district that wants to pursue an OSFC co-funded SCLE facility follows and completes each step in the Planning Process section.

As with all sections in the OSDM, this section will continue to be developed over time, respond to educational trends, and be updated annually.



Building Maintenance Facility Visits

In partnership with local school districts, OSFC has completed more than 920 buildings and spent close to \$10 billion in construction since its inception. With so many completed buildings, OSFC has the opportunity to look back on completed projects and evaluate our programs and processes.

One area for evaluation has been the maintenance of completed buildings. Over the past year, OSFC has visited multiple districts to evaluate the maintenance and upkeep of the state and community investment and, consistent with our mission,

provide guidance on ways that the buildings may be better maintained. During visits, districts shared their concerns over the breadth of the required maintenance plans and whether the maintenance policy fits with the resources of the district. Specifically, districts stressed that available resources simply do not meet the requirements of the provided maintenance plans.

As a direct result of these inspection visits and conversations with school districts, a new universal maintenance program is scheduled to be rolled out in the fall of 2012.

Staff Organization

Director's Office

The Executive Director is supported by the Executive Assistant and the Chief Legal Counsel.

Business Operations

The Business Operations Division provides budget, accounting, procurement, information technology, and human resources services for the agency. It also provides forecasting services that determine the availability of funding for new projects. The staff works closely with school district treasurers to ensure timely payments for ongoing projects. The division monitors project expenditures, oversees project audits, and works on fiscal reconciliation once a project is complete. The information technology staff provides comprehensive support for the effective use of information technology throughout the agency. IT provides desktop support services, including both hardware and software installation and maintenance, and LAN (local area network) management. The IT staff develops and improves upon web-based tools that help manage the school facilities projects. The Construction Manager website serves those involved in OSFC-funded projects through the electronic storage of design and construction records, web-based training, and the management of project financial information. The OASIS system tracks project activity. Some of the many tools that IT has developed and maintained include: CM Invoice, Drawdown, Project Status, Contract Tracking/EDGE, Contractor Evaluation, Variance Request, Master Plans, and Assessments. The Human Resources Administrator provides HR administrative support, develops HR policies and procedures, and manages the payroll and benefits function for the Commission.

Planning

Often the first contact that a school district has with OSFC is with a member of the Planning Division. These staff members develop the foundation of the school construction and renovation process: the Master Facilities Plan (MFP). Using their considerable expertise and the technical resources of various outside firms, planners work in conjunction with school administrators to develop an MFP that is comprehensive in terms of future needs, but is also unique for each school district.

This allows them to provide their educational program in the way that best serves their students. The MFP serves as the basis on which the budget is established for OSFC-funded projects.

Projects

The Projects Division directs the construction process by coordinating and administering projects during the design and construction phases. Project administrators and assistant project administrators lead the project team and oversee the budget, schedule, and overall progress of a school district's construction project. They monitor design conformance with the OSDM, review progress of design and construction, and troubleshoot and expedite projects as they progress through various stages. The Projects staff facilitates conflict management, dispute resolution, and problem solving during design, construction, and post-construction phases. The Projects Division also includes a Contracts & Compliance team that is responsible for the quality construction, EDGE compliance, and facility maintenance areas of the Commission.

Support Services

The Support Services Division has overall responsibility for the communications, legislative, and external affairs of the agency. This group responds to public inquiries, maintains the public website (osfc.ohio.gov), and provides information to stakeholders, community groups, the General Assembly, the Governor's Office, and the news media. The Support Services staff works with OSFC's divisions and other state agencies to develop and coordinate various workshops, conferences, seminars and webinars. The staff also coordinates, in consultation with the Chief Legal Counsel, the agency's response to public records requests.



Staff Activities



Employee Recognition - Anthony Tripodi Award

Bill Prenosil was named the winner of the 2011 Anthony Tripodi Award. The award, given every December, is named for former OSFC Chief Fiscal Officer, the late Anthony Tripodi. The award is presented to the OSFC staff member who best exemplifies Anthony's dedication to public service and his commitment to Ohio's schoolchildren. Tripodi award winners are nominated by the agency's staff and then selected by a team of past Tripodi winners.

In his role as a Senior Planning Director, Bill works with local school districts to discuss and develop options for the school district's classroom facilities needs. Focusing on the development of a comprehensive Master Facilities Plan, which establishes the size and number of buildings needed in each individual district, Prenosil has worked with nearly 100 school districts during his eight years with OSFC and has developed facility plans with a value approaching \$2 billion. Prenosil

holds a Master's Degree in Architecture from The Ohio State University.

Employee Recognition - Retirement

Karen Kirk, a Fiscal Specialist in the Commission's Columbus office, retired in June 2012. Karen was a dedicated staff member who served the Commission for 12 years—30 years of state service in all. At the Commission, Karen was responsible for reviewing and approving school district drawdowns, voucher and purchase requisition entries, asset management, accounts receivable deposits, and other accounting functions. She was also recognized by her peers by winning the 2006 Anthony Tripodi Award.

Pictured above: Tripodi Award winners with Dillon Tripodi and mom, Patricia. Bottom left: Lori Coy, Madison Dowlen, Dillon Tripodi. Top left: Eric Mitchell, Bill Taylor, Melanie Drerup, Bill Prenosil, Rhonda Hagemeyer, Patricia Tripodi, Lisa Laney, Diane Brown, Karen Kirk

Staff Activities

Tripodi Award Winners

2011 - Bill Prenosil
2010 - Diane Brown
2009 - Rhonda Hagemeyer
2008 - Lori Coy
2007 - Madison Dowlen
2006 - Karen Kirk
2005 - Eric Mitchell
2004 - Joy Dent
2003 - Jeff Tuckerman
2002 - Lisa Laney
2001 - Melanie Drerup
2000 - Bill Taylor

Ohio Combined Charitable Campaign

The State of Ohio's Combined Charitable Campaign consolidates many charitable campaigns into one, asking State of Ohio employees for voluntary donations through payroll deductions or one-time gifts. Each year, OSFC employees generously contribute to the state's charitable effort. The 2011 campaign, which ran from September through mid-October 2011, was no exception. OSFC exceeded its assigned goal of \$6,127.00 by raising \$8,387.50, an increase of 36.8 percent.

Walking Challenge

From April 16-June 10, 2012, six OSFC staffers took part in the State of Ohio Walking Challenge, a competition to promote healthy lifestyles. State employees count their steps and submit the numbers to Ohio Department of Administrative Services in an effort to compete against other state agencies. OSFC Planning staff member Janice Parker organized the agency's walking competition. During this period, OSFC employees took 4,311,385 steps (2,100 miles), the equivalent of walking from Columbus to San Francisco. Stepping it up and making huge strides toward improving their health were Cheryl Lyman, Rick Savors, Sarah Spence, David Moore, Melanie Drerup, and Janice Parker.

Operation Backpack

For the third consecutive year, OSFC staff donated backpacks full of school supplies to Volunteers of America's Operation

Backpack. This program ensures Central Ohio homeless and at-risk children are able to start the school year as prepared as classmates who do not have to struggle with the challenges of homelessness. This year, OSFC was able to contribute 10 completely filled backpacks (plus additional assorted supplies) for elementary and middle/high school students to the Operation Backpack program.

Take Our Daughters & Sons to Work Day

On July 29, 2011, OSFC celebrated Take Our Daughters and Sons to Work Day. This year the day began at the Ruscilli Construction job site trailer located at Whitehall City Schools. Accompanied by their parents, Aaron and Adam Swart, Abby, Maddie, and Wyatt Berezansky, David Hager, Jacob and Jared Lucas, Jalen and Jordan Dearing-Hardiman, Mason Nowlin, and Alex Lutz spent the morning learning about OSFC and construction drawings, and toured a hard-hat construction area at Kae Avenue Elementary School. After the tour, the children participated in team competitions, including a tool grab, building marshmallow skyscrapers, and testing their mettle in a nail toss. The day's event ended with a picnic—hot dogs and brownies were enjoyed by all.



Participants in the 2011 OSFC Take Our Daughters & Sons to Work Day. Bottom left: David Hager, Jalen Dearing-Hardiman, Jordan Dearing-Hardiman, Mason Nowlin, Aaron Swart, Adam Swart, Jared Lucas, Chandler Kertesz, Coleson Kertesz, Jacob Lucas, Maddie Berezansky, Abby Berezansky; Top left: Todd Hager, Nina Dearing, Michelle Mulroy, Rick Swart, Craig Kertesz (Ruscilli Construction), John Lucas, Steve Berezansky, Wyatt Berezansky, Steve Lutz, Alex Lutz

Training & Outreach

Webinar Series

During fiscal year 2012, the OSFC Webinar Series provided 16 webinars to our school district partners and others within the school construction industry. The series included:

- School as a Learning Tool
- Student-Centered Learning Environments
- Ohio School Design Manual Update
- Legislative Updates (2)
- Fundamentals of Contract Submissions
- H.B. 264: Significant Updates
- Safe Routes to School
- H.B. 264: Best Practices in Wind & Solar Deployment in Ohio K-12 Schools
- Corrective Action Program Update
- Technology Planning Series (3)
- Public Records Update
- AEP Ohio gridSMART: T12 Phase Out
- Getting to LEED Platinum in an Ohio Public School

Past webinar recordings and presentations for each topic are located on the OSFC website, <http://osfc.ohio.gov/MediaCenter/Events/WebinarArchive.aspx>, as a resource for OSFC staff, community, and school district partners.

A/E-CM Regional Meetings

A series of regional meetings (Columbus, Cincinnati, and Cleveland) targeted to architectural, engineering, and construction management firms associated with OSFC school building projects were held in May 2012. Attendees were updated on changes to OSFC policies and procedures, and received an update on 21st century learning environments, contracts and compliance, Ohio Construction Reform, and best practices for design review.

Ohio Public Facilities Maintenance Association

One of the major factors in the life span of a building is the amount of regular maintenance that the building receives. The Ohio Public Facilities Maintenance Association (OPFMA), a non-profit organization, is a leader in the education and training of building management professionals throughout Ohio. OSFC maintains a close relationship with OPFMA, providing speakers for the group's workshops and annual conference and making school districts across the state aware of the organization's Building Operator Certification courses.

BASA School Facilities Advisory Committee

The Buckeye Association of School Administrators (BASA) is a professional organization whose membership comprises school superintendents, assistant superintendents, and other administrators from across the state. BASA's School Facilities Advisory Committee is a group of 25 BASA members that provides invaluable insight to OSFC staff from the perspective of an educational practitioner. This group meets four times a year with OSFC staff to discuss issues pertaining to school construction and how OSFC policies and practices are affecting educators. Topics during the Fiscal Year 2012 meetings ranged from updates in the LEED® for Schools program, difficulties for local districts in acquiring the necessary local share of a project, alternative construction delivery methods, mid-biennium review, and issues that were affecting specific school districts.

eTech Educational Technology Conference

OSFC presented two topics at the eTech conference. The first, Considerations, Challenges & Checklists: Technology for 21st Century Learning Challenges was co-presented with BCL Enterprises, Inc. and Heapy Engineering. Presenters shared how planning for technology systems in schools should be integrated into the overall construction master plan and construction process. The presentation also spoke to the important role of the district technology director as a key role in moving to a 21st Century Generation Z service application. The second presentation, Ohio School Design Manual Update, provided attendees the opportunity to learn about the recent updates to the OSDM, and a chance to suggest and discuss potential changes to future editions of the design manual.

OSBA Capital Conference

The Ohio School Boards Association's November Capital Conference is the largest educational conference in the state, with an average yearly attendance that approaches 10,000. As it does annually, OSFC used the 2011 conference as an outreach opportunity, spending two days hosting an information station at the Conference's "Avenue for Answers." The information station allows OSFC employees to meet with conference attendees, answering questions regarding OSFC programs and inquiries on the status of specific school district projects. In addition, staff presented a breakout session on student-centered learning and how it fits into the design plans of the building.

21st Century Learning Environments

Educational Visioning Pilot Program

OSFC launched the Student-Centered Learning Environment chapter in the 2011 OSDM update. This section recognizes the importance of engaging an educational planner early in the planning process to assist the district in determining how education will be delivered into the future. To validate the importance placed on the role of the educational planner, the Commission elected to run a pilot. The Commission engaged national experts in the educational planning field to take three districts through a visioning and transformation process.

The pilot projects included:

- Dayton Regional STEM School: planning renovations for a grades 6-12 facility
- Austintown: new high school facility
- Winton Woods City School District: district-wide solution

Each district went through a process that was specific to their needs. This exercise helped the districts examine their current instructional delivery model and develop an educational specification that defines how space will be used. In addition, the districts tried to anticipate how that model will change in the future so that the space developed is agile and adaptable to future change.

Dayton Regional STEM School

Dayton's educational planning pilot began in October 2011. The Commission engaged The Third Teacher Plus (of Cannon Design) and Harrison Planning Group to provide educational visioning to support a master facilities planning effort and to foster a rigorous connection between STEM teaching and learning methodologies and the design of 21st century learning environments. The first workshop was held in December 2011 using a design thinking process to develop a wallet for a 21st century learner. During the second workshop, spaces were defined. In the third workshop, the work from the previous two workshops was further developed into planning concepts. Participants in the process included students, staff, and community members. Planning concept diagrams were developed based on functionality from the work completed in the workshops. A space plan was developed from the diagrams.

Winton Woods City Schools

The Winton Woods educational planning pilot began in January 2012. The Commission engaged Warner Concepts and Brain Spaces Inc., to help the district develop an educational vision and guiding principles to assist in development of a 21st century teaching and learning environment. The pilot kicked off in March 2012 with a movie night followed by an all-day work session. Participants including students, community members, and staff went through a variety of exercises. This workshop was followed by a second two-day workshop culminating in a third workshop, where the educational vision and guiding principles were finalized. The guiding principles were used as a filter to assist in determining the Master Facilities Plan.

Austintown Local Schools

The Austintown educational planning pilot began in March 2012. The Commission engaged DeJong/Healy and Frank Locker Educational Planning to help the district develop an educational vision and transformation plan. The first workshop looked at student-centered learning and what was relevant to Austintown, followed by defining student success in life, and a review of current programs and learning modalities. Day two of the first workshop examined project-based learning, integrating curriculum, school organizational structure, and school transformation. The second workshop looked at places for learning, defining places, guiding principles, and the overall school organization.

These three distinctly different workshops helped the districts define the space and organization for a renovation, a new facility, and an entire district-wide solution that will enable each district to transform from a traditional classroom environment to a 21st century learning environment.



OSFC21Cstudio

at the intersection of learning and space

©OSFC2011

HOME WHAT IS 21C? EVENTS FORUM VIDEOS PHOTOS LIBRARY BLOG MEMBERS MY P

EVENTS Edit

+ Add an Event

BLOG POSTS Edit

Beaver Local School ...Educational Visioning

Beaver Local School (New PK -12 Facility) had a 3 day Workshop of Educational Visioning lead by Frank Locker. We had about 25-30 Teachers, Principals, Students, OSFC Planners, Design/Construction Professionals attending.

Some of the dialogue covered was 21st Century Learning, Defining Students Success in Life, Current Programs, Learning Modalities, School...

[Continue](#)

ESSENTIAL QUESTION Edit

is there a reason to rethink education?

please join the forum + share your thoughts

click the link below

During 2011-2012, OSFC hosted school design workshops that were intended to introduce school districts to the concepts associated with 21st century school planning and design. In an effort to extend the conversation started during the workshops, and the value beyond the one-day workshops, OSFC developed an online community to provide school districts and design professionals with a convenient source of information, resources, and connections associated with the challenges of building 21st century school facilities.

The online community, <https://osfc21cstudio.ning.com/>, stays abreast of what's happening in Ohio—regionally, nationally, and internationally in the world of educational facilities planning. The Studio continues to be an Ohio leader in providing members with the opportunity to research, offer opinions, and discuss the world of K-12 school planning and design. Moreover, the 21CStudio provides a collegial or safe place to share questions, answers, fears, and successes that might be associated with a school facilities capital improvement program.

Programs

Classroom Facilities Assistance Program (CFAP)

Established in 1997, CFAP is the oldest state-funded OSFC program. From a fiscal standpoint, CFAP is the largest of the Commission's building programs, encompassing \$16.5 billion in projects in over 260 active or completed school district projects. The first building renovated under the program was dedicated in November 2000.

CFAP funding is guided by the eligibility ranking list, which is based on the assessed property valuation per student. It determines the state and local share of the facilities project. OSFC has begun moving up the eligibility ranking list to serve districts with the least amount of wealth first.

CFAP takes a holistic approach with local districts by addressing the entire facility needs of a district from kindergarten through 12th grade. However, a school district may opt to divide its entire classroom facilities needs into discrete segments. With this option, the district can raise the local share of the segment instead of the larger local share of the entire facility needs, and still obtain state matching funds.

Included in this program is the Accelerated Urban Initiative, which addresses the facility needs in Ohio's six largest urban districts—Akron, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Columbus, Dayton, and Toledo.

Expedited Local Partnership Program (ELPP)

Introduced as a pilot program in 1999, the Expedited Local Partnership program (ELPP) is designed to give districts not yet participating in the Classroom Facilities Assistance Program (CFAP) the opportunity to move ahead with portions of their project that best fit the district's need at that time. Once a district enters CFAP, they receive credit applied to their required local contribution of the entire Master Facilities Plan for the work completed under ELPP. A school district must be more than two years away from participation in CFAP to be eligible.

School districts interested in participating in the program must submit an application to the Commission. A facilities assessment and an enrollment projection are conducted. The district

and the Commission use this information to develop a Master Facilities Plan. The district then chooses a specific part of the scope of work defined by the plan to pursue through ELPP. Any project approved must be compliant with the Ohio School Design Manual. ELPP projects are funded entirely by the district and the construction of the project is administered by the district.

Vocational Facilities Assistance Program (VFAP)

This program provides assistance to Joint Vocational School Districts (JVSD) for the improvement of classroom facilities suitable to their vocational education programs. State law requires that the Commission begin with the lowest ranked district on the JVSD eligibility ranking and provide funding for the entire district's needs. VFAP projects are co-funded by the local JVSD and the state. The Commission may use up to two percent of its yearly appropriations for these projects. State law prohibits the Commission from providing assistance for any space that will be used exclusively for an adult education program, the operation of a driver training school, or for space not used for educational programs of the JVSD.



*Grindstone Elementary School, Berea CSD (Cuyahoga)
Photo Credit: Todd Williams, Shooting Star Photography*

Programs (cont'd)

Vocational Facilities Assistance Expedited Local Partnership Program (VFAP-ELPP)

This program gives Joint Vocational School Districts the opportunity to move forward with facility improvements prior to their participation in the Vocational Facilities Assistance program and receive state credit for qualifying expenditures. Districts wishing to participate in VFAP-ELPP must submit an application and pass a resolution to participate. OSFC then performs an assessment of the district's facilities and enters into an agreement with the district on a Master Facilities Plan that covers the entire needs of the district. The district then chooses a "discrete portion" of their Master Facilities Plan to fund through local efforts. When the district's turn later arises in the VFAP-ELPP, the money spent by the district on the discrete portion is credited against the local share of the entire Master Facilities Plan. Five JVS districts, including the Greene County Career Center, Wayne County Joint Vocational School, Tri-County Career Center, Warran County Joint Vocational School, and the Mid-East Ohio Career and Technology Center, have participated in the VFAP-ELPP program. Both the Wayne County JVS and the Mid-East Ohio Career and Technology Center have moved into the funded VFAP program.

Exceptional Needs Program (ENP)

The Exceptional Needs Program is a building replacement program that provides school districts with the ability to protect the health and safety of their students with a new facility. The Commission has the authority to use up to 25 percent of its annual appropriations for the program.

An ENP subprogram, called the Extreme Environmental Contamination program, assists districts with buildings whose occupants are exposed to contaminants that pose a health and safety risk to students and staff.

To qualify for ENP, the building involved must need replacement as opposed to expansion or renovation. A district's priority for participation in the program is based on an annual selection process. School districts are required to submit applications for each building to be considered. These applications must contain written and photographic documentation portraying the exceptional need. Applications are scored and ranked according to degree of severity in five major categories: health, life safety, structure, heating and ventilation, and electrical.

The Commission has an extensive review process, including assistance from an independent group of experts who scores each application and makes recommendations about which districts should receive funding. The executive director recommends program funding each year based upon the evaluation committee recommendations, funding availability, and other OSFC programs to be funded in the fiscal year. School districts served by ENP remain eligible for funding assistance under the Classroom Facilities Assistance program and may also participate in the Expedited Local Partnership program to complete the balance of their facility needs. Districts that have executed a construction contract for work under an approved Expedited Agreement are not eligible for the ENP.

Energy Conservation Financing Program (H.B. 264)

Among OSFC's duties is the administration of the Energy Conservation Financing Program. This program, commonly referred to as "H.B. 264" in reference to the legislation that created it in 1984, allows school districts to issue unsecured bonds to make energy-saving facilities improvements. The cost of the improvements may not exceed the savings in energy, operating, and maintenance costs over a 15-year period. This program does not involve state funds. Commission approval simply allows districts to borrow funds for which they would otherwise need voter approval.



Programs (cont'd)

College-preparatory Boarding School Facilities Assistance Program

In 2011, H.B. 153 of the 129th General Assembly created College-preparatory Boarding Schools to serve disadvantaged, at-risk youth in grades 6-12. The law also created the College-preparatory Boarding School Facilities Assistance Program. OSFC will work with the board of trustees of a college-preparatory boarding school to develop a Master Facilities Plan for a campus. The school is required to secure at least \$20 million in private funds toward the acquisition of the facilities to participate in the program. State funds may only be used to build the academic facilities of the campus. Private funds may be used for the acquisition of residential and other non-classroom facilities.

STEM Facilities Assistance Program

H.B. 153 of the 129th General Assembly authorized OSFC, with Controlling Board approval, to provide classroom facility assistance to any Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) schools that are not governed by a single school district board. These entities are also known as Regional STEM Schools. The STEM school is required to secure at least 50 percent of the total cost of the acquisition of the classroom facilities. The Commission is working with its first Regional STEM school project—the Dayton Regional STEM School.



*Wayne County Career Center (Wayne County)
Photo Credit: SoL Harris/Day Architecture*

Programs (cont'd)

Expedited Local Partnership Program

The Expedited Local Partnership Program (ELPP) allows school districts to fund a portion of their Master Facilities Plan through local monies prior to the time state funding becomes available through the Classroom Facilities Assistance Program (CFAP). Once a district enters CFAP, they receive credit against their required local contribution for the work completed under ELPP. Joint Vocational School Districts (VFAP) may participate in the VFAP ELPP program in a similar manner.

The districts listed below have obtained funding for their projects and have either completed their work or are in the design or construction phase of the project.

Districts that have transitioned from ELPP to CFAP or VFAP ELPP to VFAP are in bold:

District	County	District	County
Ada Local SD	Hardin	Green County JVSD	Green
Amherst Exempted Village SD	Lorain	Green Local SD	Summit
Ashtabula Area City SD	Ashtabula	Greeneview Local SD	Greene
Athens City SD	Athens	Hamilton City SD	Butler
Barberton City SD	Summit	Hamilton Local SD	Franklin
Bath Local SD	Allen	Heath City SD	Licking
Berea City SD	Cuyahoga	Hillsboro City SD	Highland
Bloom Carroll Local SD	Fairfield	Indian Lake Local SD	Logan
Brookville Local SD	Montgomery	Jackson Center Local SD	Shelby
Buckeye Local SD	Medina	Jackson-Milton Local SD	Mahoning
Canal Winchester Local SD	Franklin	Jefferson Area Local SD	Ashtabula
Cardinal Local SD	Geauga	Jonathan Alder Local SD	Madison
Chillicothe City SD	Ross	Kalida Local SD	Putnam
Clark-Shawnee Local SD	Clark	Keystone Local SD	Lorain
Clinton-Massie Local SD	Clinton	Lake Local SD	Stark
Cloverleaf Local SD	Medina	Lakewood City SD	Cuyahoga
Colonel Crawford Local SD	Crawford	Lebanon City SD	Warren
Crestview Local SD	Columbiana	Leipsic Local SD	Putnam
Dalton Local SD	Wayne	Liberty Union-Thurston Local	Fairfield
Delaware City SD	Delaware	Licking Heights Local SD	Licking
Eaton Community SD	Preble	Logan-Hocking Local SD	Hocking
Edgerton Local SD	Williams	London City SD	Madison
Elida Local SD	Allen	Louisville City SD	Stark
Fairbanks Local SD	Union	Madison Local SD	Butler
Fairfield Union Local SD	Fairfield	Marietta City SD	Washington
Fredericktown Local SD	Knox	Mason City SD	Warren
Gahanna-Jefferson City SD	Franklin	Miami East Local SD	Miami
Galion City SD	Crawford	Middletown City SD	Butler
Garfield Heights City SD	Cuyahoga	Mid-East Career Technical	Muskingham
Geneva Area City SD	Ashtabula	Midview Local SD	Lorain
Genoa Area Local SD	Ottawa	Milford Ex. Village SD	Clermont
Graham Local SD	Champaign	Minerva Local SD	Stark

Programs (cont'd)

District

Minster Local SD
Mount Gilead Ex Vill SD
 Mount Vernon City SD
New Knoxville Local SD
Newark City SD
 Nordonia Hills City SD
North Baltimore Local SD
North Fork Local SD
North Union Local SD
 Northeastern Local SD
Northwest Local SD
Orrville City SD
Otsego Local SD
Ottawa-Glandorf Local SD
Pickerington Local SD
Pike-Delta-York Local SD
 Pleasant Local SD
Reynoldsburg City SD
Rittman Ex Vill SD
Ross Local SD
Russia Local SD
 Sheffield-Sheffield Lake City SD
 Sidney City SD
 Southwest Licking Local SD
Strasburg-Franklin Local SD
 Streetsboro City SD
 Swanton Local SD
 Talawanda City SD
 Tallmadge City SD
Teays Valley Local SD
 Tri-County Career Technical
Tri-Valley Local SD
Trotwood-Madison City SD
Tuslaw Local SD
Van Wert City SD
Wapakoneta City SD

County

Auglaize
Morrow
 Knox
Auglaize
Licking
 Summit
Wood
Licking
Union
 Defiance
Stark
Wayne
Wood
Putnam
Fairfield
Fulton
 Marion
Franklin
Wayne
Butler
Shelby
 Lorain
 Shelby
 Licking
Tuscarawas
 Portage
 Fulton
 Butler
 Summit
Pickaway
 Athens
Muskingum
Montgomery
Stark
Van Wert
Auglaize

District

Warren County JVSD
 Warrensville Heights City SD
Wayne County JVSD
 West Clermont Local SD
 West Muskingum Local SD
Zanesville City SD

County

Warren
 Cuyahoga
Wayne
 Clermont
 Muskingum
Muskingum



*Elementary Campus, Ashtabula Area CSD (Ashtabula County)
 Photo Credit: Olsavsky Jaminet Architects*

Projects Completed FY 2012 (cont'd)

Brookfield Local (Trumbull)

CFAP – Funded in 2007	
Project Budget/State Share	\$31,418,704 (64%)
OSFC Project Administrator	Bill Courson
OSFC Planner	Steve Roka
Architect	Balog Steines Hendricks & Manchester
Construction Manager	Richard L. Bowen + Associates Inc. /Foreman P.C.M/EdArch Associates, Inc./Pardo Consultants, Inc.
Scope	Build one new K- 12 facility to house grades K-12

Brookville Local (Montgomery)

CFAP – Funded in 2008	
Project Budget/State Share	\$34,806,728 (43%)
OSFC Project Administrator	Robert Grinch
OSFC Planner	Lisa Laney
Architect	SHP Leading Design
Construction Manager	Shook Touchstone LLC
Scope	Build one new elementary school to house grades PK- 3

Clay Local (Scioto)

CFAP – Funded in 2009	
Project Budget/State Share	\$24,106,052 (81%)
OSFC Project Administrator	Rick Swart
OSFC Planner	Lisa Laney
Architect	Tanner Stone Architects
Construction Manager	Hill International
Scope	Build one new PK-12 facility to house grades PK-12 and career technology students

Dayton City (Montgomery)

Urban – Funded in 2006	
Project Budget/State Share	\$186,820,600 (61%)
OSFC Project Administrator	Todd Hager
OSFC Planner	Glenn Rowell
Architect	Fanning/Howey, SFA, Levin Porter, Moody/Nolan, Lorenz Williams, Robert P. Madison International, Dayton School Design
Construction Manager	Shook Touchstone LLC
Scope	Build eight new elementary/middle schools to house grades PK-8 and two new high schools to house grades 9-12; renovate Patterson Career Academy to house grades PK-8

Dayton Public Schools First Urban to Complete Building Program

In FY 2012, Dayton Public Schools opened its 26th and final building under the Commission's Classroom Facilities Assistance program, making it the first of the state's six urban districts to complete its OSFC-funded program. Dayton was one of six urban districts approved for funding in 2002 through the Accelerated Urban Initiative, along with Columbus, Cleveland, Cincinnati, Toledo, and Akron.

The cost of the work completed under the state-local project budget, once financial reconciliation has been completed, is likely to exceed \$433 million. In addition, the district added a number of enhanced features with their own funding, bringing the total cost of the decade-long facilities construction and renovation project to nearly \$627 million.

Projects Completed FY 2012 (cont'd)

Eastern Local (Brown)

1990 Lookback – Funded in 2005

Project Budget/State Share \$46,392,007 (86%)

OSFC Project Administrator Todd Hager

OSFC Planner Lisa Laney

Architect SHP Leading Design

Construction Manager Turner Construction

Scope Build one new elementary school to house grades PK-8 and one new high school to house grades 9-12; renovate Russellville Elementary School to house grades PK-5; renovations/additions/partial demo to Eastern High School for conversion to middle school to house grades 6-8

Edgerton Local (Williams)

CFAP – Funded in 2008

Project Budget/State Share \$18,664,408 (59%)

OSFC Project Administrator Madison Dowlen

OSFC Planner Wayne Colman

Architect Garmann Miller

Construction Manager Hill International/Van Auken Akins Architects LLC/R.J. Runge Company, Inc./G. Stephens, Inc.

Scope Addition to Edgerton 7-12 Middle/High School to house grades PK-12 and career technology students

Elyria City (Lorain)

ENP – Funded in 2007

Project Budget/State Share \$60,343,954 (39%)

OSFC Project Administrator Bill Courson

OSFC Planner Bill Prenosil

Architect Architectural Vision Group

Construction Manager Regency Construction/The Coniglio Company

Scope Renovations/additions to the 1894 Elyria High School to house grades 9-12

Fairfield Union Local (Fairfield)

CFAP – Funded in 2005

Project Budget/State Share \$59,326,104 (72%)

OSFC Project Administrator Jennifer Stokes

OSFC Planner Lisa Laney

Architect Burgess & Niple

Construction Manager Lend Lease (US) Construction, Inc./Van Auken Akins Architects

Scope Build two new elementary schools to house grades PK-4 and one new high school to house grades 9- 2; renovate Fairfield Union Jr/Sr High School to house grades 5-8



Projects Completed FY 2012 (cont'd)

Franklin Monroe Local (Darke)

CFAP – Funded in 2008

Project Budget/State Share	\$24,812,908 (83%)
OSFC Project Administrator	Jennifer Stokes
OSFC Planner	Glenn Rowell
Architect	SHP Leading Design
Construction Manager	Resource International, Inc./McGuinessUnlimited Inc./ The Skillman Corporation
Scope	Build one new K-12 facility to house grades K-12 & career technology students



Fremont City (Sandusky)

ENP – Funded in 2008

Project Budget/State Share	\$27,403,496 (32%)
OSFC Project Administrator	Madison Dowlen
OSFC Planner	Wayne Colman
Architect	Fanning/Howey Associates, Inc.
Construction Manager	Touchstone CPM
Scope	Build one new middle school to house grades 6-8

Garfield Heights (Cuyahoga)

CFAP – Funded in 2009

Project Budget/State Share	\$68,952,904 (26%)
OSFC Project Administrator	Dennis Kaplan
OSFC Planner	Steve Roka
Architect	ThenDesign Arch.
Construction Manager	PCS/with KBJ
Scope	Segment One: Renovations only to Elmwood Elementary School to house grades K-5; renovations/addition to Maple Leaf Intermediate School to house grades K-5

Geneva Area City (Ashtabula)

CFAP – Funded in 2006

Project Budget/State Share	\$78,611,213 (73%)
OSFC Project Administrator	Dennis Kaplan
OSFC Planner	Glenn Rowell
Architect	Balog Steines Hendricks & Manchester
Construction Manager	Scaparotti Construction/Van Auken Akins Architects LLC
Scope	Build three new elementary schools to house grades PK-5 and one new middle school to house grades 6-8



*Beverly PK-8, Toledo City Schools (Lucas County)
Photo Credit: The Collaborative Inc.*

Projects Completed FY 2012 (cont'd)

Genoa Area Local (Ottawa)

CFAP – Funded in 2008	
Project Budget/State Share	\$22,426,397 (58%)
OSFC Project Administrator	Keith VanDeusen
OSFC Planner	Wayne Colman
Architect	Buehrer Group
Construction Manager	Regency Construction
Scope	SEGMENT 1: Build one elementary school to house grades K-5

Greeneview Local (Greene)

CFAP – Funded in 2008	
Project Budget/State Share	\$28,197,548 (62%)
OSFC Project Administrator	Stacey Thomas
OSFC Planner	Glenn Rowell
Architect	Ruetschle Architects
Construction Manager	The Quandel Group/Dynamix Engineering/TesTech, Inc.
Scope	SEGMENT 1: Build one new elementary/middle school to house grades 5-8; renovations to Greeneview High School to house grades 9-12

Hamilton City (Butler)

CFAP – Funded in 2007	
Project Budget/State Share	\$211,732,519 (59%)
OSFC Project Administrator	Rick Swart
OSFC Planner	Glenn Rowell
Architect	SHP Leading Design
Construction Manager	Turner Construction
Scope	Build eight new elementary schools to house grades PK-6; renovations/additions to Hamilton High School to house grades 10-12 and career technology students; renovations to Garfield and Wilson middle schools to house grades 7-8

Hardin-Houston Local (Shelby)

CFAP – Funded in 2007	
Project Budget/State Share	\$23,024,190 (60%)
OSFC Project Administrator	Jennifer Stokes
OSFC Planner	Wayne Colman
Architect	Freytag & Associates
Construction Manager	Lend Lease (US) Construction, Inc.
Scope	Build one new K-12 facility to house grades K-12



Projects Completed FY 2012 (cont'd)

Highland Local (Morrow)

1990 Lookback – Funded in 2008

Project Budget/State Share	\$47,798,252 (75%)
OSFC Project Administrator	Samantha Cothorn
OSFC Planner	Eugene Chipiga
Architect	MSA Architects
Construction Manager	The Quandel Group/Dynamix Engineering
Scope	Build one new high school to house grades 9-12 & career technology students; renovations/additions to Central Elementary/Highland Middle School to house grades K-5; renovations to Highland High School to house grades 6-8



Hubbard Exempted Village (Trumbull)

CFAP – Funded in 2006

Project Budget/State Share	\$53,461,193 (68%)
OSFC Project Administrator	Bill Courson
OSFC Planner	Bill Prenosil
Architect	Burgess & Niple
Construction Manager	Hammond Construction, Inc./M Engineering/McGuinness Unlimited Inc.
Scope	Build one new elementary school to house grades PK-5 and one new middle school to house grades 6-8; renovations/additions to Hubbard High School to house grades 9-12



Jonathan Alder Local (Madison)

CFAP – Funded in 2008

Project Budget/State Share	\$50,790,509 (54%)
OSFC Project Administrator	Jennifer Stokes
OSFC Planner	Glenn Rowell
Architect	SHP Leading Design
Construction Manager	The Quandel Group/G. Stephens, Inc./Dynamix Engineering
Scope	SEGMENT 1: Build one new elementary school to house grades PK-4; renovations/addition/demolition to Canaan Middle School to house grades 5-6

Leipsic Local (Putnam)

CFAP – Funded in 2008

Project Budget/State Share	\$23,118,108 (65%)
OSFC Project Administrator	Samantha Cothorn
OSFC Planner	Wayne Colman
Architect	Beilharz Architects
Construction Manager	Richard L. Bowen + Associates Inc./Foreman P.C.M.
Scope	Addition to the grades 6-12 facility to house grades K-12

*Reynoldsburg STEM ES/HS, (Franklin County)
Photo Credit: Moody Nolan*

Projects Completed FY 2012 (cont'd)

Ottawa-Glandorf Local (Putnam)

CFAP – Funded in 2008

Project Budget/State Share \$40,261,779 (67%)

OSFC Project Administrator Bill Courson

OSFC Planner Bill Prenosil

Architect MKC Associates

Construction Manager The Ruhlin Co./Bernie Jenkins Inc.

Scope Build two new elementary/middle schools to house grades PK-8

Pettisville Local (Fulton)

CFAP – Funded in 2008

Project Budget/State Share \$21,410,523 (82%)

OSFC Project Administrator Keith VanDeusen

OSFC Planner Wayne Colman

Architect Buehrer Group

Construction Manager Rupp/Rosebrock/R. J. Runge/McCarthy & Smith, LLC

Scope Build one new PK-12 facility to house grades PK-12

Pike-Delta-York Local (Fulton)

CFAP – Funded in 2007

Project Budget/State Share \$21,742,852 (71%)

OSFC Project Administrator Madison Dowlen

OSFC Planner Wayne Colman

Architect Fanning/Howey Associates, Inc.

Construction Manager URS/G. Stephens, Inc.

Scope Build one new elementary school to house grades PK-4; renovations to Pike Delta York Middle School to house grades 5-8; renovations to Pike Delta York High School to house grades 9-12 & career technology students

Pioneer Career Tech (Richland)

CFAP – Funded in 2007

Project Budget/State Share \$29,266,628 (71%)

OSFC Project Administrator Jennifer Stokes

OSFC Planner Eugene Chipiga

Architect SHP Leading Design

Construction Manager Lend Lease (US) Construction, Inc./Van Auken Akins Architects LLC

Scope Renovate the existing Pioneer Career Technology facility to house 887 students



Projects Completed FY 2012 (cont'd)

Rittman Exempted Village (Wayne)

CFAP – Funded in 2007
 Project Budget/State Share \$27,733,738 (62%)
 OSFC Project Administrator Bill Courson
 OSFC Planner Bill Prenosil
 Architect MKC Associates
 Construction Manager Richard L. Bowen + Associates Inc.
 Scope Build one new elementary/middle/high school to house grades 5-12

Ross Local (Butler)

CFAP – Funded in 2008
 Project Budget/State Share \$50,063,970 (28%)
 OSFC Project Administrator Robert Grinch
 OSFC Planner Lisa Laney
 Architect SHP Leading Design
 Construction Manager The Skillman Corporation/Resource International, Inc.
 Scope SEGMENT 1: Renovations to Elda Elementary School to house grades PK-5 and Ross Middle School to house grades 6-8

Russia Local (Shelby)

CFAP – Funded in 2008
 Project Budget/State Share \$11,600,363 (43%)
 OSFC Project Administrator Samantha Cothorn
 OSFC Planner Wayne Colman
 Architect Freytag & Associates
 Construction Manager The Quandel Group/Dynamix Engineering/TesTech, Inc.
 Scope Renovations/addition to Russia K-12 school to house grades K-12

Southington Local (Trumbull)

CFAP – Funded in 2006
 Project Budget/State Share \$21,492,467 (70%)
 OSFC Project Administrator Mark Barr
 OSFC Planner Steve Roka
 Architect GPD Associates
 Construction Manager Scaparotti Construction Group/Van Auken Akins Architects LLC
 Scope Build one new facility to house grades K-12



Clark Hall HS, Gahanna-Jefferson Schools (Franklin County)
 Photo Credit: Bird Houk, A Division of OHM

Projects Completed FY 2012 (cont'd)

Tuslaw Local (Stark)

CFAP – Funded in 2008
 Project Budget/State Share \$27,389,593 (44%)
 OSFC Project Administrator Tamara Rachel
 OSFC Planner Bill Prenosil
 Architect MKC Associates
 Construction Manager Scaparotti Construction Group
 Scope SEGMENT ONE: Build one new elementary school to house grades PK-4

Washington-Nile Local (Scioto)

ENP – Funded in 2008
 Project Budget/State Share \$17,051,143 (98%)
 OSFC Project Administrator Robert Grinch
 OSFC Planner Lisa Laney
 Architect Tanner Stone Architects/Holsinger Donges
 Construction Manager Hill International
 Scope Build one new elementary/middle school facility to house grades 5-8

Wayne County JVSD (Wayne)

VFAP – Funded in 2008
 Project Budget/State Share \$30,103,166 (61%)
 OSFC Project Administrator Tamara Rachel
 OSFC Planner Eugene Chipiga
 Architect Harris Day Architecture
 Construction Manager The Quandel Group
 Scope Renovations/addition to Wayne County Career Center to house 798 career technology students

Western Reserve Local (Mahoning)

CFAP – Funded in 2008
 Project Budget/State Share \$24,445,544 (54%)
 OSFC Project Administrator Dennis Kaplan
 OSFC Planner Steve Roka
 Architect Olsavsky & Jaminet
 Construction Manager Hammond Construction, Inc.
 Scope Build one new K-12 facility to house grades K-12



Project Status

Deferred and Lapsed District Status

Through a series of four major programs, the Ohio School Facilities Commission provides state assistance to school districts in the acquisition, construction, and renovation of classroom facilities. Each of these programs is centered on the development of a Master Facilities Plan that addresses the entire facility needs of a school district. A school district's priority for state assistance from the OSFC is based on the district's three-year average "adjusted valuation per pupil," as calculated by the Ohio Department of Education.

Program participation is voluntary, with eligible districts given an annual opportunity to accept an offer of funding from the Commission. Those districts not accepting the offer of funding are said to have "deferred" participation.

Districts that accept an offer of funding from OSFC have, by statute, one year (changed to 13 months starting in July 2012) to raise their legally required local share of the project cost. If a district does not meet their requirement, any state funds encumbered for their project are returned to OSFC's general appropriations to be used on other projects. Districts who do not acquire their local share within that period are said to have "lapsed" their funding. There are currently 68 lapsed districts in Ohio.

Lapsed districts can participate in OSFC programs in the future, but only after they raise their required local share. Districts that participate in multiple OSFC programs may be considered lapsed if they were unable to acquire the local funding necessary for one program, even if they had completed another program.

The following is a list of the districts that were considered to be in lapsed status during Fiscal Year 2012:

CLASSROOM FACILITIES ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (CFAP) - LAPSED DISTRICTS

District Name	County	District Name	County
Arcadia Local	Hancock	Kenton City	Hardin
Arlington Local	Hancock	Lake Local	Stark
Batavia Local	Clermont	Liberty Benton Local	Hancock
Beaver Local	Columbiana	Liberty Center Local	Henry
Buckeye Local	Jefferson	Logan Elm Local	Pickaway
Caldwell Exempted Village	Noble	Loudonville-Perrysville Exempted Village	Ashland
Carey Exempted Village	Wyandot	Manchester Local	Summit
Carrollton Exempted Village	Carroll	National Trail Local	Preble
Central Local	Defiance	Noble Local	Noble
Chippewa Local SD	Wayne	North Central Local	Williams
Clear Fork Valley Local	Richland	North Fork Local	Licking
Covington Exempted Village	Miami	Northeastern Local	Clark
Crestwood Local	Portage	Norton City	Summit
Defiance City	Defiance	Old Fort Local	Seneca
Eastwood Local	Wood	Ridgmont Local	Hardin
Federal Hocking Local	Athens	South Central Local	Huron
Fort Recovery Local	Mercer	Southeastern Local	Clark
Fostoria City	Seneca	South-Western City	Franklin
Harrison Hills City	Harrison	Springfield Local	Mahoning
Hillsdale Local	Ashland	Triway Local	Wayne
Jefferson Township Local	Montgomery	Tuscarawas Valley Local	Tuscarawas

Project Status (cont'd)

CLASSROOM FACILITIES ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (CFAP) - LAPSED DISTRICTS (cont'd)

District Name	County
Twin Valley Community Local	Preble
United Local	Columbiana
Valley View Local	Montgomery
Vanlue Local	Hancock
Warren Local	Washington
Weathersfield Local	Trumbull
Wellington Exempted Village	Lorain
West Liberty-Salem Local	Champaign
Westfall Local	Pickaway

EXCEPTIONAL NEEDS PROGRAM (ENP) - LAPSED DISTRICTS

School District	County
Lancaster City	Fairfield
Lucas Local	Richland
Madison-Plains Local	Madison
Northridge Local	Licking
Woodmore Local	Sandusky

VOCATIONAL FACILITIES ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (VFAP) - LAPSED DISTRICTS

School District	County
Apollo	Allen
Ashtabula County	Ashtabula
Belmont Harrison	Belmont
Columbiana County	Columbiana
Coshocton County	Coshocton
Stark County	Stark
U S Grant	Clermont



*Belmont High School, Dayton Public Schools (Montgomery County)
Photo Credit: Levin Porter Architects Inc./Ken Shory*

Project Status (cont'd)

School District Project Status

By June 30, 2012, through the Classroom Facilities Assistance Program (CFAP) and Exceptional Needs Program (ENP), the Ohio School Facilities Commission had opened 931 new or renovated buildings in 284 school districts. Another 34 buildings were in design and 96 were under construction. In 230 school districts, all facility needs have been fully addressed. Additionally, work in the Commission-sponsored Expedited Local Partnership

Program (ELPP) has resulted in the opening of 54 buildings, for a total of 985 on all OSFC programs. The following report summarizes the work completed or ongoing across the state in the CFAP and ENP programs. Please note that in some instances, ENP projects address the entire facility need of a district through consolidation into one building. Therefore, a completed ENP project may or may not represent the completion of all work in a district.

District	County	Program	Year Funded	Status	Total Project Budget (%)	State Share	Buildings in Design	Buildings Under Construction	Buildings Complete
Ada EVSD	Hardin	Multiple	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$22,272,357.00	73%	0	0	1
Adena Local SD	Ross	CFAP	1998	All Buildings Complete	\$25,145,841.00	89%	0	0	1
Akron City SD	Summit	CFAP	2002	Funded, Not Complete	\$564,105,924.00	59%	1	3	25
Alexander Local SD	Athens	ENP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$28,879,040.00	73%	0	0	1
Allen East Local SD	Allen	CFAP	2003	All Buildings Complete	\$27,746,189.61	79%	0	0	1
Alliance City SD	Stark	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$61,423,828.70	84%	0	0	6
Amanda-Clearcreek Local SD	Fairfield	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$37,347,563.00	85%	0	0	2
Ansonia Local SD	Darke	ENP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$13,394,022.00	80%	0	0	1
Antwerp Local SD	Paulding	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$20,380,036.00	84%	0	0	1
Arcanum Butler Local SD	Darke	CFAP	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$29,594,284.00	64%	0	0	1
Ashtabula Area City SD	Ashtabula	Multiple	2005	Funded, Not Complete	\$105,588,543.00	70%	0	0	6
Austintown Local SD	Mahoning	CFAP	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$50,343,583.00	47%	0	2	0
Barberton City SD	Summit	Multiple	2007	Funded, Not Complete	\$76,114,103.00	60%	0	2	2
Barnesville EVSD	Belmont	CFAP	1998	All Buildings Complete	\$20,246,346.80	83%	0	0	3
Bellaire City SD	Belmont	CFAP	1998	All Buildings Complete	\$31,343,452.00	87%	0	0	3
Bellefontaine City SD	Logan	CFAP	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$35,003,787.00	61%	0	2	0
Bellevue City SD	Huron	CFAP	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$38,382,138.00	41%	0	2	0
Bethel-Tate Local SD	Clermont	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$27,859,217.00	82%	0	0	4
Bettsville Local SD	Seneca	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$5,226,375.29	85%	0	0	1
Blanchester Local SD	Clinton	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$32,062,813.72	84%	0	0	3
Bloom-Vernon Local SD	Scioto	CFAP	1997	All Buildings Complete	\$19,327,504.00	88%	0	0	2
Botkins Local SD	Shelby	CFAP	2011	Funded, Not Complete	\$23,298,556.00	75%	1	0	0
Bradford EVSD	Miami	CFAP	1998	All Buildings Complete	\$16,329,471.00	91%	0	0	1
Bridgeport EVSD	Belmont	CFAP	2003	All Buildings Complete	\$19,357,563.27	80%	0	0	1
Bright Local SD	Highland	CFAP	1997	All Buildings Complete	\$18,639,879.31	94%	0	0	2
Bristol Local SD	Trumbull	Multiple	1997	Funded, Not Complete	\$9,890,019.00	71%	0	0	3
Brookfield Local SD	Trumbull	CFAP	2007	Funded, Not Complete	\$34,851,549.00	64%	0	0	1
Brookville Local SD	Montgomery	Multiple	2008	All Buildings Complete	\$34,806,729.00	43%	0	0	2
Buckeye Central Local SD	Crawford	CFAP	2006	All Buildings Complete	\$16,061,782.00	80%	0	0	1
Bucyrus City SD	Crawford	CFAP	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$33,481,802.86	72%	0	0	2
Cambridge City SD	Guernsey	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$50,692,280.00	84%	0	0	5
Campbell City SD	Mahoning	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$31,746,656.00	90%	0	0	2
Canton City SD	Stark	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$161,214,529.00	77%	0	0	18

Project Status (cont'd)

District	County	Program	Year Funded	Status	Total Project Budget (%)	State Share	Buildings in Design	Buildings Under Construction	Buildings Complete
Cardington-Lincoln Local SD	Morrow	CFAP	2002	All Buildings Complete	\$24,964,289.51	87%	0	0	3
Cedar Cliff Local SD	Greene	CFAP	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$21,732,871.00	52%	0	1	0
Centerburg Local SD	Knox	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$23,407,528.00	80%	0	0	2
Central Local SD	Defiance	Multiple	1999	Funded, Not Complete	\$9,754,390.77	72%	0	0	1
Chesapeake Union EVSD	Lawrence	CFAP	1998	All Buildings Complete	\$22,142,650.52	82%	0	0	3
Cincinnati City SD	Hamilton	CFAP	2002	Funded, Not Complete	\$915,945,589.00	23%	0	8	41
Circleville City SD	Pickaway	CFAP	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$65,375,214.00	42%	3	2	0
Clay Local SD	Scioto	CFAP	2008	All Buildings Complete	\$22,822,331.00	81%	0	0	1
Claymont City SD	Tuscarawas	CFAP	1997	All Buildings Complete	\$30,537,414.25	100%	0	0	5
Clearview Local SD	Lorain	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$25,516,809.00	82%	0	0	3
Cleveland Municipal SD	Cuyahoga	CFAP	2002	Funded, Not Complete	\$1,506,370,354.00	68%	8	0	37
Clinton-Massie Local SD	Clinton	Multiple	2006	All Buildings Complete	\$48,332,140.70	74%	0	0	3
Clyde-Green Springs EVSD	Sandusky	CFAP	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$56,397,692.00	66%	0	0	4
Coldwater EVSD	Mercer	CFAP	2002	All Buildings Complete	\$24,458,096.91	79%	0	0	1
Columbus City SD	Franklin	CFAP	2002	Funded, Not Complete	\$1,337,758,703.00	30%	2	8	34
Columbus Grove Local SD	Putnam	CFAP	2006	Funded, Not Complete	\$25,883,861.00	68%	0	1	0
Conneaut Area City SD	Ashtabula	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$45,364,095.37	84%	0	0	4
Continental Local SD	Putnam	CFAP	1998	All Buildings Complete	\$15,366,707.60	89%	0	0	2
Cory-Rawson Local SD	Hancock	CFAP	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$13,099,790.00	52%	0	1	0
Coshocton City SD	Coshocton	CFAP	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$24,078,445.00	67%	1	0	0
Crestline EVSD	Crawford	CFAP	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$24,782,877.00	60%	0	1	0
Crestview Local SD	Richland	CFAP	2002	All Buildings Complete	\$17,995,421.73	89%	0	0	3
Crestview Local SD	Van Wert	CFAP	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$11,835,182.00	79%	0	0	1
Crestwood Local SD	Portage	Multiple	2000	Funded, Not Complete	\$19,499,461.92	57%	0	0	2
Crookville EVSD	Perry	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$7,399,867.32	82%	0	0	2
Danville Local SD	Knox	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$8,830,887.31	80%	0	0	1
Dawson-Bryant Local SD	Lawrence	CFAP	2001	All Buildings Complete	\$19,585,708.00	98%	0	0	2
Dayton City SD	Montgomery	CFAP	2002	All Buildings Complete	\$488,213,839.00	61%	0	0	26
Defiance City SD	Defiance	Multiple	2005	Funded, Not Complete	\$22,022,816.00	59%	0	0	1
Dover City SD	Tuscarawas	ENP	2011	Funded, Not Complete	\$33,871,640.00				
East Cleveland City SD	Cuyahoga	CFAP	1997	All Buildings Complete	\$104,211,164.00	90%	0	0	7
East Guernsey Local SD	Guernsey	CFAP	2001	All Buildings Complete	\$22,162,677.00	87%	0	0	2
East Liverpool City SD	Columbiana	CFAP	2002	All Buildings Complete	\$43,648,423.00	87%	0	0	3
East Muskingum Local SD	Muskingum	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$28,985,404.91	74%	0	0	4
East Palestine City SD	Columbiana	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$15,045,923.00	91%	0	0	2
Eastern Local SD	Brown	CFAP	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$37,096,087.00	86%	0	0	4
Eastern Local SD	Pike	CFAP	1997	All Buildings Complete	\$25,193,145.31	92%	0	0	1
Eaton Community City SD	Preble	Multiple	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$56,381,310.00	43%	0	2	1
Edgerton Local SD	Williams	Multiple	2008	All Buildings Complete	\$18,664,408.00	59%	0	0	2
Edgewood City SD	Butler	CFAP	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$42,146,325.00	51%	0	1	0
Edon-Northwest Local SD	Williams	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$18,161,819.16	81%	0	0	1
Elgin Local SD	Marion	CFAP	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$35,899,887.00	56%	0	1	0
Elmwood Local SD	Wood	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$27,807,863.24	80%	0	0	1
Elyria City SD	Lorain	Multiple	2011	Funded, Not Complete	\$57,059,562.00	39%	0	0	1
Euclid City SD	Cuyahoga	CFAP	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$60,330,934.00	41%	0	0	4
Evergreen Local SD	Fulton	ENP	2001	All Buildings Complete	\$24,085,135.61	49%	0	0	2

Project Status (cont'd)

District	County	Program	Year Funded	Status	Total Project Budget (%)	State Share	Buildings in Design	Buildings Under Construction	Buildings Complete
Euclid City SD	Cuyahoga	CFAP	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$60,330,934.00	41%	0	0	4
Evergreen Local SD	Fulton	ENP	2001	All Buildings Complete	\$24,085,135.61	49%	0	0	2
Fairfield Local SD	Highland	CFAP	1998	All Buildings Complete	\$18,071,618.33	90%	0	0	1
Fairfield Union Local SD	Fairfield	Multiple	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$59,236,105.00	72%	0	0	4
Fairland Local SD	Lawrence	CFAP	1998	All Buildings Complete	\$36,131,866.00	84%	0	0	4
Fairlawn Local SD	Shelby	CFAP	2006	All Buildings Complete	\$17,965,830.10	85%	0	0	1
Fairless Local SD	Stark	Multiple	2004	Funded, Not Complete	\$27,201,509.00	88%	0	0	2
Fayette Local SD	Fulton	ENP	2003	All Buildings Complete	\$16,573,157.00	81%	0	0	1
Fayetteville-Perry Local SD	Brown	CFAP	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$18,713,947.00	84%	0	0	2
Felicity-Franklin Local SD	Clermont	CFAP	2001	All Buildings Complete	\$15,395,811.93	91%	0	0	1
Findlay City SD	Hancock	ENP	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$57,532,766.00	32%	0	3	0
Fort Loramie Local SD	Shelby	CFAP	2006	All Buildings Complete	\$15,395,082.00	69%	0	0	2
Fort Recovery Local SD	Mercer	Multiple	1997	Funded, Not Complete	\$16,716,548.00	80%	0	0	2
Franklin Local SD	Muskingum	CFAP	2003	All Buildings Complete	\$32,730,081.05	91%	0	0	5
Franklin-Monroe Local SD	Darke	CFAP	2008	All Buildings Complete	\$24,812,909.00	83%	0	0	1
Fredericktown Local SD	Knox	Multiple	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$26,872,184.00	71%	0	0	1
Fremont City SD	Sandusky	ENP	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$27,403,497.00	32%	0	0	1
Frontier Local SD	Washington	CFAP	1998	All Buildings Complete	\$24,416,692.00	89%	0	0	3
Galion City SD	Crawford	Multiple	2004	All Buildings Complete	\$54,365,919.06	76%	0	0	4
Gallipolis City SD	Gallia	Multiple	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$54,229,668.00	66%	0	0	5
Garfield Heights City SD	Cuyahoga	Multiple	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$65,180,987.00	26%	0	0	3
Geneva Area City SD	Ashtabula	Multiple	2006	Funded, Not Complete	\$72,610,487.00	73%	0	0	5
Genoa Area Local SD	Ottawa	Multiple	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$37,708,244.00	58%	0	0	2
Georgetown EVSD	Brown	CFAP	2003	All Buildings Complete	\$23,149,739.00	78%	0	0	2
Gibsonburg EVSD	Sandusky	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$23,984,194.52	79%	0	0	2
Girard City SD	Trumbull	Multiple	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$53,461,193.00	80%	0	0	2
Goshen Local SD	Clermont	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$40,306,093.92	79%	0	0	5
Graham Local SD	Champaign	Multiple	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$36,565,334.00	56%	0	0	3
Grand Valley Local SD	Ashtabula	ENP	2001	All Buildings Complete	\$37,244,633.00	65%	0	0	1
Green Local SD	Wayne	CFAP	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$32,923,190.00	65%	0	1	0
Greeneview Local SD	Greene	Multiple	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$28,197,549.00	62%	0	1	1
Greenfield EVSD	Highland	CFAP	1997	All Buildings Complete	\$31,945,785.00	87%	0	0	5
Hamilton City SD	Butler	Multiple	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$211,732,520.00	59%	0	0	11
Hamilton Local SD	Franklin	Multiple	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$64,878,803.00	68%	0	0	4
Hardin Northern Local SD	Hardin	CFAP	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$12,643,985.00	73%	0	0	1
Hardin-Houston Local SD	Shelby	CFAP	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$23,024,191.00	60%	0	0	1
Hicksville EVSD	Defiance	CFAP	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$25,346,436.25	73%	0	0	1
Highland Local SD	Morrow	CFAP	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$47,798,253.00	75%	0	0	3
Hillsboro City SD	Highland	Multiple	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$48,558,357.51	77%	0	0	2
Holgate Local SD	Henry	CFAP	2001	All Buildings Complete	\$20,557,387.86	82%	0	0	1
Hopewell-Loudon Local SD	Seneca	CFAP	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$2,742,361.00	49%	1	0	0
Hubbard EVSD	Trumbull	CFAP	2006	Funded, Not Complete	\$53,461,193.00	68%	0	1	0
Huber Heights City SD	Montgomery	CFAP	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$157,059,250.00	52%	0	2	5
Huntington Local SD	Ross	CFAP	1997	All Buildings Complete	\$9,986,696.32	87%	0	0	1

Project Status (cont'd)

District	County	Program	Year Funded	Status	Total Project Budget (%)	State Share	Buildings in Design	Buildings Under Construction	Buildings Complete
Indian Creek Local SD	Jefferson	ENP	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$15,953,174.00	32%	0	1	0
Indian Valley Local SD	Tuscarawas	CFAP	2004	All Buildings Complete	\$42,443,233.91	79%	0	0	4
Ironton City SD	Lawrence	CFAP	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$47,030,110.00	73%	0	0	2
Jackson City SD	Jackson	CFAP	2001	All Buildings Complete	\$68,107,892.01	79%	0	0	5
Jefferson Area Local SD	Ashtabula	Multiple	2004	All Buildings Complete	\$51,718,949.00	68%	0	0	3
Jefferson Local SD	Madison	ENP	2003	All Buildings Complete	\$25,865,242.00	48%	0	0	2
Jennings Local SD	Putnam	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$15,005,067.00	83%	0	0	1
Jonathan Alder Local SD	Madison	Multiple	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$50,408,346.00	54%	0	0	4
Joseph Badger Local SD	Trumbull	ENP	2002	All Buildings Complete	\$29,160,127.00	73%	0	0	1
Kalida Local SD	Putnam	Multiple	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$17,577,236.00	75%	0	0	1
Kenton City SD	Hardin	CFAP	201	Funded, Not Complete	\$26,414,629.00	65%	1	0	0
La Brae Local SD	Trumbull	Multiple	2001	All Buildings Complete	\$28,739,711.00	69%	0	0	1
Lakota Local SD	Sandusky	ENP	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$28,928,094.00	58%	0	0	1
Lancaster City SD	Fairfield	ENP	2012	Funded, Not Complete	\$81,026,341.00	35%	5	0	0
Leetonia EVSD	Columbiana	CFAP	1998	All Buildings Complete	\$18,435,399.00	91%	0	0	1
Leipsic Local SD	Putnam	Multiple	2008	All Buildings Complete	\$23,118,109.00	65%	0	0	1
Liberty Union-Thurston Local SD	Fairfield	Multiple	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$24,197,954.00	60%	0	0	3
Licking Valley Local SD	Licking	CFAP	2004	All Buildings Complete	\$27,436,234.55	78%	0	0	3
Lima City SD	Allen	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$104,029,247.00	89%	0	0	9
Lincolnview Local SD	Van Wert	CFAP	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$17,375,781.00	71%	0	0	1
Lisbon EVSD	Columbiana	CFAP	2002	All Buildings Complete	\$15,089,016.69	85%	0	0	2
Logan-Hocking Local SD	Hocking	Multiple	2004	All Buildings Complete	\$90,676,961.00	71%	0	0	8
London City SD	Madison	Multiple	2008	All Buildings Complete	\$50,286,751.00	46%	0	0	3
Lorain City SD	Lorain	CFAP	2001	Funded, Not Complete	\$216,284,880.00	81%	1	0	13
Louisville City SD	Stark	Multiple	2008	All Buildings Complete	\$72,594,443.00	52%	0	0	4
Lowellville Local SD	Mahoning	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$14,957,182.82	84%	0	0	1
Lynchburg-Clay Local SD	Highland	CFAP	1997	All Buildings Complete	\$25,962,167.55	90%	0	0	3
Mad River Local SD	Montgomery	CFAP	2001	All Buildings Complete	\$84,942,644.30	80%	0	0	7
Madison Local SD	Lake	CFAP	2007	Funded, Not Complete	\$77,425,786.00	60%	0	2	0
Madison Local SD	Richland	CFAP	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$26,492,038.00	42%	1	0	0
Madison Local SD	Butler	Multiple	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$30,208,009.18	57%	0	0	2
Mansfield City SD	Richland	ENP	1999	Funded, Not Complete	\$41,497,933.00	70%	0	0	1
Maple Heights City SD	Cuyahoga	CFAP	2007	Funded, Not Complete	\$109,121,915.00	51%	0	1	4
Mapleton Local SD	Ashland	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$22,597,170.00	79%	0	0	2
Maplewood Local SD	Trumbull	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$25,729,041.00	88%	0	0	3
Marion City SD	Marion	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$96,198,689.80	83%	0	0	8
Marion Local SD	Mercer	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$9,477,927.66	84%	0	0	2
Martins Ferry City SD	Belmont	CFAP	2004	All Buildings Complete	\$37,585,202.00	76%	0	0	2
Massillon City SD	Stark	ENP	2001	Funded, Not Complete	\$28,609,749.00	64%	0	0	1
Maysville Local SD	Muskingum	CFAP	1998	All Buildings Complete	\$36,862,922.79	87%	0	0	2
McDonald Local SD	Trumbull	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$17,951,947.00	88%	0	0	2
Mechanicsburg EVSD	Champaign	CFAP	2003	All Buildings Complete	\$21,891,003.37	78%	0	0	1
Meigs Local SD	Meigs	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$33,182,763.00	82%	0	0	4
Miami East Local SD	Miami	Multiple	2008	All Buildings Complete	\$28,599,946.00	42%	0	0	2
Miami Trace Local SD	Fayette	Multiple	2005	Funded, Not Complete	\$42,558,944.00	46%	0	0	2
Midview Local SD	Lorain	Multiple	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$39,910,719.00	45%	0	1	3

Project Status (cont'd)

District	County	Program	Year Funded	Status	Total Project Budget (%)	State Share	Buildings in Design	Buildings Under Construction	Buildings Complete
Millcreek-West Unity Local SD	Williams	CFAP	2004	All Buildings Complete	\$19,003,031.96	67%	0	0	1
Miller City-New Cleveland Local SD	Putnam	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$12,804,294.00	85%	0	0	1
Milton-Union EVSD	Miami	CFAP	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$42,507,046.00	56%	0	1	0
Minerva Local SD	Stark	Multiple	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$41,850,904.34	68%	0	0	3
Minford Local SD	Scioto	CFAP	1997	All Buildings Complete	\$36,406,407.00	94%	0	0	2
Mississinawa Valley Local SD	Darke	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$16,135,822.00	86%	0	0	1
Mohawk Local SD	Wyandot	CFAP	2003	All Buildings Complete	\$27,087,140.00	75%	0	0	1
Montpelier EVSD	Williams	CFAP	2002	All Buildings Complete	\$26,216,846.89	80%	0	0	1
Morgan Local SD	Morgan	Multiple	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$50,930,283.00	81%	0	0	5
Mount Gilead EVSD	Morrow	Multiple	2006	All Buildings Complete	\$30,667,275.00	61%	0	0	2
Mount Healthy City SD	Hamilton	CFAP	2006	All Buildings Complete	\$80,654,930.00	71%	0	0	3
Napoleon City SD	Henry	CFAP	2011	Funded, Not Complete	\$45,938,772.00	38%	2	0	0
Nelsonville-York City SD	Athens	CFAP	2004	All Buildings Complete	\$24,867,063.00	86%	0	0	1
New Boston Local SD	Scioto	CFAP	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$19,200,238.00	88%	0	1	0
New Knoxville Local SD	Auglaize	Multiple	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$8,507,310.00	71%	0	0	1
New Lebanon Local SD	Montgomery	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$31,373,976.56	81%	0	0	3
New Lexington City SD	Perry	CFAP	1998	All Buildings Complete	\$33,184,143.66	88%	0	0	4
New London Local SD	Huron	CFAP	1998	All Buildings Complete	\$22,409,255.65	85%	0	0	1
New Miami Local SD	Butler	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$12,744,851.62	81%	0	0	1
New Riegel Local SD	Seneca	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$12,469,834.81	89%	0	0	1
Newark City SD	Licking	Multiple	2007	Funded, Not Complete	\$144,209,701.00	51%	0	1	10
Newcomerstown EVSD	Tuscarawas	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$16,517,271.00	80%	0	0	3
Newton Falls EVSD	Trumbull	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$23,038,427.73	81%	0	0	3
Newton Local SD	Miami	CFAP	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$17,411,129.00	63%	0	0	1
Niles City SD	Trumbull	Multiple	1999	Funded, Not Complete	\$70,034,300.00	68%	0	3	1
North Baltimore Local SD	Wood	Multiple	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$20,529,792.00	59%	0	1	1
North College Hill City SD	Hamilton	CFAP	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$39,215,074.00	63%	0	0	2
North Fork Local SD	Licking	Multiple	2005	Funded, Not Complete	\$32,672,561.00	71%	0	0	2
North Union Local SD	Union	Multiple	2006	All Buildings Complete	\$34,903,711.00	64%	0	0	3
Northern Local SD	Perry	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$45,746,376.00	80%	0	0	5
Northmor Local SD	Morrow	CFAP	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$32,399,856.00	63%	0	0	1
Northwest Local SD	Scioto	CFAP	2001	All Buildings Complete	\$14,782,269.00	78%	0	0	3
Northwest Local SD	Stark	Multiple	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$53,758,778.00	55%	0	3	1
Northwestern Local SD	Clark	CFAP	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$51,345,655.00	45%	0	2	0
Northwestern Local SD	Wayne	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$18,459,617.16	82%	0	0	3
Norwayne Local SD	Wayne	CFAP	2006	Funded, Not Complete	\$30,100,236.00	67%	0	0	2
Oak Hill Union Local SD	Jackson	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$30,293,243.00	77%	0	0	2
Ohio Valley Local SD	Adams	CFAP	2006	All Buildings Complete	\$59,549,405.00	93%	0	0	7
Olmsted Falls City SD	Cuyahoga	ENP	2007	Funded, Not Complete	\$22,859,992.00	34%	0	0	2
Orrville City SD	Wayne	Multiple	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$46,463,568.00	39%	0	1	2
Osnaburg Local SD	Stark	CFAP	2006	All Buildings Complete	\$27,671,027.00	66%	0	0	1
Otsego Local SD	Wood	Multiple	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$40,337,493.00	55%	0	2	1
Ottawa-Glandorf Local SD	Putnam	Multiple	2008	All Buildings Complete	\$40,261,780.00	49%	0	0	2
Ottoville Local SD	Putnam	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$17,963,883.21	83%	0	0	1

Project Status (cont'd)

District	County	Program	Year Funded	Status	Total Project Budget (%)	State Share	Buildings in Design	Buildings Under Construction	Buildings Complete
Painesville City Local SD	Lake	CFAP	2004	All Buildings Complete	\$80,305,695.00	78%	0	0	5
Paint Valley Local SD	Ross	CFAP	1997	All Buildings Complete	\$23,974,483.00	90%	0	0	2
Pandora-Gilboa Local SD	Putnam	ENP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$17,174,119.00	74%	0	0	1
Parkway Local SD	Mercer	ENP	2002	All Buildings Complete	\$31,361,071.00	77%	0	0	1
Patrick Henry Local SD	Henry	Multiple	2002	All Buildings Complete	\$9,397,124.41	61%	0	0	1
Paulding EVSD	Paulding	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$29,438,154.36	74%	0	0	2
Pettisville Local SD	Fulton	CFAP	2008	All Buildings Complete	\$21,410,523.00	82%	0	0	1
Pickerington Local SD	Fairfield	Multiple	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$102,721,216.00	51%	0	3	4
Pike-Delta-York Local SD	Fulton	Multiple	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$21,742,852.00	71%	0	0	3
Piqua City SD	Miami	CFAP	2011	Funded, Not Complete	\$54,880,540.00	47%	3	0	0
Plymouth-Shiloh Local SD	Richland	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$23,600,111.00	89%	0	0	2
Portsmouth City SD	Scioto	CFAP	2001	All Buildings Complete	\$60,900,772.40	82%	0	0	3
Preble-Shawnee Local SD	Preble	Multiple	1998	Funded, Not Complete	\$7,641,900.00	52%	0	0	2
Pymatuning Valley Local SD	Ashtabula	CFAP	2001	All Buildings Complete	\$30,712,159.59	80%	0	0	3
Ravenna City SD	Portage	ENP	2005	Funded, Not Complete	\$25,776,617.00	53%	0	0	1
Reynoldsburg City SD	Franklin	Multiple	2007	Funded, Not Complete	\$88,239,580.00	58%	0	1	11
Ridgewood Local SD	Coshocton	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$20,369,942.37	86%	0	0	3
Ripley-Union-Lewis Local SD	Brown	CFAP	2001	All Buildings Complete	\$28,910,387.00	93%	0	0	3
Rittman EVSD	Wayne	Multiple	2007	Funded, Not Complete	\$27,671,027.00	64%	0	0	2
River Valley Local SD	Marion	ENP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$38,434,260.50	21%	0	0	4
Riverdale Local SD	Hardin	ENP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$22,841,259.80	75%	0	0	1
Riverside Local SD	Logan	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$16,880,113.16	87%	0	0	1
Rock Hill Local SD	Lawrence	CFAP	1997	All Buildings Complete	\$42,332,413.33	87%	0	0	3
Ross Local SD	Butler	Multiple	2008	All Buildings Complete	\$50,063,970.00	48%	0	0	3
Russia Local SD	Shelby	Multiple	2008	All Buildings Complete	\$11,600,363.00	43%	0	0	1
Sandy Valley Local SD	Stark	CFAP	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$34,878,041.00	75%	0	0	2
Scioto Valley Local SD	Pike	CFAP	1997	All Buildings Complete	\$30,304,875.00	72%	0	0	3
Sebring Local SD	Mahoning	CFAP	1998	All Buildings Complete	\$16,007,810.00	89%	0	0	2
Seneca East Local SD	Seneca	ENP	2004	All Buildings Complete	\$27,847,837.13	68%	0	0	1
Shelby City SD	Richland	CFAP	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$22,162,395.00	50%	0	1	0
South Point Local SD	Lawrence	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$45,430,200.00	80%	0	0	3
South Range Local SD	Mahoning	CFAP	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$33,432,426.22	52%	0	0	1
Southeast Local SD	Portage	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$34,075,729.00	79%	0	0	3
Southeastern Local SD	Ross	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$27,176,665.86	89%	0	0	1
Southern Local SD	Columbiana	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$14,593,458.00	78%	0	0	1
Southern Local SD	Perry	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$8,620,841.46	98%	0	0	1
Southern Local SD	Meigs	Multiple	1998	Funded, Not Complete	\$20,339,931.00	58%	0	1	0
Southington Local SD	Trumbull	CFAP	2006	All Buildings Complete	\$21,492,468.00	70%	0	0	1
Spencerville Local SD	Allen	CFAP	2003	All Buildings Complete	\$26,662,726.00	79%	0	0	1
Springfield City SD	Clark	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$198,154,430.31	80%	0	0	16
Springfield Local SD	Summit	ENP	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$33,547,476.00	25%	0	1	0
St Henry Consolidated Local	Mercer	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$19,844,552.00	86%	0	0	2
St Marys City SD	Auglaize	CFAP	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$48,331,102.00	61%	0	0	4
Stuebenville City SD	Jefferson	Multiple	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$44,015,034.00	77%	0	0	4
Strasburg-Franklin Local SD	Tuscarawas	Multiple	2007	Funded, Not Complete	\$19,533,595.00	62%	0	0	2
Struthers City SD	Mahoning	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$31,388,859.00	86%	0	0	3
Stryker Local SD	Williams	ENP	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$11,203,565.26	54%	0	0	1

Project Status (cont'd)

District	County	Program	Year Funded	Status	Total Project Budget (%)	State Share	Buildings in Design	Buildings Under Construction	Buildings Complete
Switzerland Of Ohio Local SD	Monroe	CFAP	2004	Funded, Not Complete	\$85,659,873.00	63%	0	6	1
Symmes Valley Local SD	Lawrence	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$6,450,013.64	96%	0	0	2
Teays Valley Local SD	Pickaway	Multiple	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$109,594,809.00	63%	0	0	8
Tecumseh Local SD	Clark	CFAP	2003	All Buildings Complete	\$91,756,623.55	77%	0	0	6
Three Rivers Local SD	Hamilton	ENP	2010	Funded, Not Complete	\$53,373,198.00	50%	0	1	0
Tiffin City SD	Seneca	ENP	2000	Funded, Not Complete	\$15,241,427.00	52%	0	0	1
Toledo City SD	Lucas	CFAP	2002	Funded, Not Complete	\$797,817,229.00	77%	0	1	43
Toronto City SD	Jefferson	CFAP	2010	Funded, Not Complete	\$19,244,940.00	61%	1	0	0
Triad Local SD	Champaign	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$20,297,982.15	80%	0	0	3
Trimble Local SD	Athens	CFAP	1997	All Buildings Complete	\$12,604,902.91	92%	0	0	2
Tri-Valley Local SD	Muskingum	Multiple	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$68,760,780.00	72%	0	0	6
Tri-Village Local SD	Darke	ENP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$16,438,463.00	61%	0	0	1
Trotwood-Madison City SD	Montgomery	Multiple	2004	All Buildings Complete	\$76,238,502.00	66%	0	0	5
Tuslaw Local SD	Stark	Multiple	2008	All Buildings Complete	\$27,009,439.00	44%	0	0	2
Union Local SD	Belmont	CFAP	2008	All Buildings Complete	\$2,682,076.00	80%	0	0	2
Union Scioto Local SD	Ross	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$33,394,374.36	87%	0	0	3
Upper Scioto Valley Local SD	Hardin	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$17,302,986.33	87%	0	0	1
Valley Local SD	Scioto	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$3,439,029.78	80%	0	0	3
Van Wert City SD	Van Wert	Multiple	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$53,095,390.00	50%	0	2	1
Versailles EVSD	Darke	CFAP	2006	All Buildings Complete	\$35,941,632.00	69%	0	0	1
Vinton County Local SD	Vinton	Multiple	1998	All Buildings Complete	\$48,245,948.00	90%	0	0	5
Wadsworth City SD	Medina	CFAP	2009	Funded, Not Complete	\$103,002,928.00	37%	0	4	0
Wapakoneta City SD	Auglaize	Multiple	2007	All Buildings Complete	\$51,363,525.00	63%	0	0	4
Warren City SD	Trumbull	CFAP	2003	All Buildings Complete	\$152,327,704.00	81%	0	0	5
Warrensville Heights City SD	Cuyahoga	Multiple		Funding Offered					
Washington Court House City SD	Fayette	CFAP	2004	All Buildings Complete	\$65,780,219.00	74%	0	0	4
Washington-Nile Local SD	Scioto	Multiple	2001	All Buildings Complete	\$15,982,646.00	98%	0	0	1
Waterloo Local SD	Portage	ENP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$25,670,798.19	58%	0	0	1
Wauseon EVSD	Fulton	CFAP	2006	All Buildings Complete	\$36,913,711.00	67%	0	0	3
Waverly City SD	Pike	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$47,102,254.00	82%	0	0	4
Wayne Trace Local SD	Paulding	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$19,876,783.00	81%	0	0	3
Waynesfield-Goshen Local SD	Auglaize	ENP	2005	All Buildings Complete	\$16,581,043.00	91%	0	0	1
Wellston City SD	Jackson	CFAP	1998	All Buildings Complete	\$38,870,979.00	86%	0	0	3
Wellsville Local SD	Columbiana	CFAP	1997	All Buildings Complete	\$12,890,924.00	87%	0	0	2
West Branch Local SD	Mahoning	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$50,664,740.00	80%	0	0	4
Western Brown Local SD	Brown	CFAP	1997	All Buildings Complete	\$53,438,155.00	89%	0	0	4
Western Local SD	Pike	CFAP	2001	All Buildings Complete	\$13,446,736.00	95%	0	0	1
Western Reserve Local SD	Huron	CFAP	1999	All Buildings Complete	\$19,135,931.07	83%	0	0	2
Western Reserve Local SD	Mahoning	CFAP	2008	All Buildings Complete	\$24,445,545.00	54%	0	0	1
Westfall Local SD	Pickaway	Multiple	1999	Funded, Not Complete	\$13,013,295.00	65%	0	0	1

Project Status (cont'd)

District	County	Program	Year Funded	Status	Total Project Budget (%)	State Share	Buildings in Design	Buildings Under Construction	Buildings Complete
Wheelersburg Local SD	Scioto	CFAP	2004	All Buildings Complete	\$38,043,839.00	74%	0	0	1
Whitehall City SD	Franklin	CFAP	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$78,144,967.00	61%	0	3	2
Willard City SD	Huron	CFAP	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$51,648,815.00	63%	1	1	0
Wilmington City SD	Clinton	CFAP	1997	Funded, Not Complete	\$10,437,246.00	19%	0	0	2
Windham EVSD	Portage	CFAP	1997	All Buildings Complete	\$28,000,571.00	97%	0	0	3
Xenia Community City SD	Greene	CFAP	2008	Funded, Not Complete	\$57,391,793.00	46%	0	5	0
Youngstown City SD	Mahoning	CFAP	2000	All Buildings Complete	\$190,327,255.62	80%	0	0	13
Zane Trace Local SD	Ross	Multiple	1997	Funded, Not Complete	\$13,552,522.00	39%	0	0	1
Zanesville City SD	Muskingum	Multiple	2006	All Buildings Complete	\$90,702,199.00	68%	0	0	6



Pettisville K-12, Pettisville Local Schools (Putnam County)
Photo Credit: Buehrer Group

Funding Offered in FY 2012

The Commission, through the use of \$525 million appropriated by the General Assembly, provided offers of funding to a total of nine districts during Fiscal Year 2011. The projects were funded through the Classroom Facilities Assistance (CFAP), Exceptional

Needs (ENP) and Vocational Facilities Assistance (VFAP) programs. The following are the districts offered funding. Those districts in bold have acquired their required local shares.

District	County	Program	Local Share	Total
Ayersville Local	Defiance	CFAP	\$8,053,568	\$16,778,266
Botkins Local	Shelby	CFAP	5,824,639	23,298,556
Carlisle Local	Warren	CFAP	23,445,667	53,285,607
Dover City	Tuscarawas	ENP	24,387,581	33,871,640
Elyria City	Lorain	CFAP	50,322,038	88,284,278
Greenville City	Darke	CFAP	27,289,125	45,481,875
Indian Creek Local	Jefferson	ENP	15,825,447	22,935,430
Kenton City	Hardin	CFAP	9,245,120	26,414,629
Napoleon Area City	Henry	CFAP	28,482,039	45,938,772
New Bremen Local	Auglaize	CFAP	6,845,203	13,690,406
Northmont City	Montgomery	CFAP	39,202,939	73,967,809
Piqua City	Miami	CFAP	29,086,686	54,880,540
Tri-Rivers JVSD	Marion	VFAP	6,864,655	19,788,571
Willard City	Huron	CFAP	19,110,062	51,648,815
Wynford Local	Crawford	CFAP	8,375,367	19,034,926
				\$589,300,120



*Elyria Middle School, Elyria City Schools,
(Lorain County)
Photo Credit: Architectural Vision Group / Elyria City Schools*



*Milton-Union K-12, Milton-Union Exempted Village
Schools (Miami County)
Photo Credit: Ruetschle Architects*

Fiscal Snapshot

Disbursements by Program

	FY 1998-2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	All Years
Classroom Facilities Assistance	\$5,637,294,557	\$968,903,446	\$943,455,583	\$826,411,435	\$451,369,041	\$14,464,728,617
Exceptional Needs	528,437,951	54,881,103	26,255,219	37,131,810	24,299,338	671,005,422
Vocational Facilities Assistance	59,458,822	23,299,147	28,212,437	35,606,737	34,771,734	181,348,877
Emergency Repair (program closed)	114,686,489	-	-	-	-	114,686,489
Big 8 (program closed)	119,477,486	522,513	-	-	-	119,999,999
Schools for the Deaf & Blind	772,065	1,084,610	1,897,682	7,036,659	11,580,809	22,371,825
Disability Access (program closed)	9,826,105	-	-	-	-	9,826,105
Emergency Assistance	0	324,393	-	-	4,164,830	4,489,223
Federal Emergency Repair (program closed)	27,730,770	-	-	-	-	27,730,770
Hardship Loan	3,018,907	635,000	-	-	266,707	3,920,614
Charter School Guaranteed Loan	0	65,064	49,767	-	870,595	985,425
Career Tech Loan (program closed)	1,000,00	-	-	-	-	2,000,000
ODOT School Access*	0	570,340	755,716	628,017	-	1,954,074
Corrective Action Program	0	-	930,502	879,916	1,491,933	3,302,351
Statehouse Debt	0	-	755,537	2,231,469	-	2,987,006
The School for the Creative & Performing Arts (Cincinnati)	0	-	4,000,000	-	-	4,000,000
	\$6,501,703,152	\$1,050,285,616	\$1,006,312,442	\$909,926,043	\$528,814,987	\$9,997,042,240

*Ohio Department of Transportation, State Highway Improvements at Entrances to Public Schools Grant

This page intentionally left blank.

Fund • Plan • Design • Build • Renovate
Ohio's K-12 Public Schools

Ohio School Facilities Commission

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1400

Columbus, OH 43215

614-466-6290 • 614-466-7749 Fax

1410 Highland Road, Suite 1

Macedonia, OH 44056

330-425-2288 • 330-425-2364 Fax

osfc.ohio.gov

program.info@osfc.ohio.gov